### U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

BUREAU OF ANIMAL INDUSTRY-Bulletin No. 79.

D. E. SALMON, D. V. M., Chief of Bureau.

## THE DETERMINATION OF GENERIC TYPES.

AND A

# LIST OF ROUNDWORM GENERA, WITH THEIR ORIGINAL AND TYPE SPECIES.

BY

#### CH. WARDELL STILES, Ph. D.,

Zoologist, U. S. Public Health and Marine-Hospital Service; Consulting Zoologist, Bureau of Animal Industry,

AND

ALBERT HASSALL, M. R. C. V. S.,

Assistant in Zoology, Bureau of Animal Industry.



WASHINGTON:

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE.

1905.



### LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Animal Industry, Washington, D. C., July 20, 1905.

SIR: I have the honor to transmit herewith for publication a technical manuscript entitled "The Determination of Generic Types, and a List of Roundworm Genera, with their Original and Type Species",

prepared by Doctors Stiles and Hassall.

Medical, veterinary, and zoological literature has been inconvenienced to no slight degree by changes in the technical names, due to a failure on the part of authors to designate type species for their genera. The present paper is prepared in the hope of definitely fixing the types for the roundworm genera, especially for those of importance in human and comparative medicine, so that confusion in the future may be reduced.

The adoption of a rule by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to the effect that no new generic name may demand recognition in the future unless its author definitely fixes the type at its original publication is worthy of serious consideration, as such a rule would greatly simplify work.

Respectfully,

D. E. SALMON, Chief of Bureau.

Hon. James Wilson, Secretary of Agriculture.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page.
Summary	7
Part I. Principles involved in designating the types of genera of parasites	10-80
Introduction	10
Genera other than nematodes included in this paper	11
Types designated or not designated	11
Division of work	12
Homonyms	12
Historical review of type designation	12
The principle of generic types foreshadowed by Linnæus, 1751	12
The British Association (Stricklandian) Code	13
The Dall Code, 1877	15
The American Ornithologists' Union Code, 1886, 1892	17
The Code of the German Zoological Society, 1894	18
The Merton Rules, 1896	18
Gill, 1896	20
Durrant, 1898	21
Code of Botanical Nomenclature, A. A. A. S., 1904	22
The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 1904	23
Axioms relative to type species.	24
Rules and recommendations concerning types	24
A. Genera for which types are designated or implied in the original	
publication	25
1. Genera originally published with only one species. "Mono-	
typical genera''	25
List of genera (chiefly nematodes) originally published	
with a single species.	25
2. Genera originally published with only one valid species, but	
also with one or more species inquirend:	29
Nematode genera of this class.	29
3. Genera originally published with a species definitely desig-	
nated as type (type by original designation)	30
Roundworm genera with types by original designation	31
4. Type by original implication through use of the specific name	
typicus or typus	31
Nematode genera with type determined by use of specific	
name typicus	32
5. Type by absolute tautonymy	32
Cases of type by absolute tautonymy	34
Case of Angiostoma Dujardin, 1845	34
Case of Anguillula Mueller, 1786	34
Case of Capsularia Zeder, 1800	37
Case of Chaos Linnæus, 1767	38
6. Type by virtual tautonymy 7. Types of renamed genera.	39
	40
8. Type by inclusion.	42
9. Genera containing types of several earlier genera	47
Case of Acuaria, Spiroptera, Anthuris, and Dispharagus.	48

Cart I. Principles involved in designating the types of genera of parasites—Continued.  Rules and recommendations concerning types—Continued.	. Page.
B. Genera for which types have been selected in later publications.	52
10. Type by subsequent designation	
Roundworm genera with types by later designation	
C. Genera for which no type has been definitely selected	
11. Collective biological groups requiring no type species	
12. Type by elimination.	
Elimination of species inquirendæ (see p. 29)	
Elimination of doubtfully referred species	
Elimination of species selected as types of other genera.	
Restricted and unrestricted elimination	
13. Preference to be shown to species not subsequently classified	1
in other genera	60
14. Type by page precedence	62
15. Sexually mature forms take precedence over larval or imma-	
ture forms	
<ol> <li>Preference to be shown to species examined by author of the genus.</li> </ol>	
17. Preference to be shown to species named communis, vulgaris,	
officinalis, or medicinalis	
18. The best described, best figured, best known, or most easily	
obtainable species	
19. The original generic name to go with the greater number of	
species	
20. Special points to be considered in connection with genera o	
parasitic groups	
21. Remaining genera mentioned in this paper	
Correlated nomenclatural questions	. 67
22. Synonymy by original publication	. 68
23. Rule of homonyms	
Roundworm generic names which are absolutely preoccu-	-
pied	. 70
Roundworm generic names which absolutely preoccupy	,
other names.	71
24. Phononyms	72
25. Doubtful homonyms	
26. Emendation of names	
27. Nomenclatural status of misprints.	
28. Origin of the Law of Priority	78
29. Rudolphi's Rules of Nomenclature	78
30. Polynomial authors between 1758 and 1819	
Part II. List of generic names, chiefly nematodes, with their original and type	
species	
Addenda	
tuuchua	16)()

### THE DETERMINATION OF GENERIC TYPES.

AND A

# LIST OF ROUNDWORM GENERA, WITH THEIR ORIGINAL AND TYPE SPECIES.

BY CH. WARDELL STILES, PH. D.,

Zoologist of U. S. Public Health and Marine-Hospital Service; Consulting Zoologist of Bureau of Animal Industry,

ANT

Albert Hassall, M. R. C. V. S.,

Assistant in Zoology, Bureau of Animal Industry.

#### SUMMARY.

Part I contains a general discussion on determination of generic types.

A genus without a type species is like a ship without anchor or rudder, and a failure on the part of authors to designate types has been one of the most fruitful sources of trouble in systematic zoology and nomenclature. The existing codes of nomenclature recognize the importance of type species, but the rules for their determination are not explicit enough, while the views on the method of determination vary greatly among authors.

It seems desirable, but at present impracticable, to have complete, objective rules covering type determination, whereby the subjective element may be entirely eliminated, and whereby all types may be determined purely from the literature, without reference to the diagnosis or anatomy of an animal. Page precedence, as supported by many systematists, would accomplish this, yet would lead to many difficulties; still it must be admitted that this rule has great advantages despite its disadvantages.

Although it seems impracticable at present to attempt to adopt any complete series of rules on type determination which shall be followed seriatin, still satisfactory rules can be formulated which will cover the majority of cases that arise, and these rules may be supplemented by recommendations which bring to mind methods which it will be well to follow, unless strongly contraindicated by practical considerations. While urging zoologists to designate the type of every new genus proposed in the future, we shall suggest to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature the following rules and recommendations, as amendments to the Code, for guidance in determining the types in the case of older genera.

- 1. Rule.—A genus proposed with a single original species takes that species as type. (Monotypical genera.)
- 2. Rule.—The type of a genus (containing, from the standpoint of the original author, both valid and doubtful species) must never be selected from the species which the original author of the genus clearly designated as species inquirende at the time of the publication of the generic name.

3. Rule.—When in the original publication of a genus one of the species is definitely designated as type, this species should be accepted as type, regardless of any other considerations. (Type by original designation.)

4a. Rule.—If, in the original publication of a genus, typicus or typus is used as a new specific name for one of the species, such use shall be construed as "type by

original designation."

4b. Recommendation.—It is well to avoid the introduction of the names typicus or typus as new names for species or subspecies, since such names are always liable to result in confusion later.

5. Rule.—If a genus, without designated type, contains among its original species one possessing the generic name as its specific or subspecific name, either as valid name or synonym, that species or subspecies becomes ipso facto type of the genus.

(Type by absolute tautonymy.)

6. Recommendation.—If a genus, without designated type, contains among its original species one possessing as specific or subspecific name, either as valid name or as synonym, a name which is virtually the same as the generic name, or of the same origin or same meaning, preference should be shown to that species in designating the type, unless such preference is strongly contraindicated by other factors. (Type by virtual tautonymy.)

7. Rule.—In case a generic name without designated type is proposed as a substitute for another generic name, with or without type, the type of either when

established becomes ipso facto type of the other.

8. Rule.—If an author proposes a genus, without designating a type, and includes among the original species [i. e., the valid species from his standpoint] the determined type of an earlier genus, such type becomes ipso facto the type of the new genus. (Type by inclusion.)

9. Rule.—If a genus without a designated type contains types of two or more earlier genera, the type of the new genus is to be selected from the contained types (the case being the same as a genus with two or more species, according to the number of types in question), unless it can be shown that such procedure is directly contraindicated by the original author's intentions.

10. Rule.—If an author, in publishing a genus with more than one valid species, fails to designate or to indicate its type, any subsequent author may select the type, and such designation is not subject to change. (Type by subsequent designation.)

11. Rule.—Certain biological groups which have been distinctly proposed as collective groups, but not as systematic units of generic rank, may be treated for convenience as if they were genera, but they require no type species. Example: Agamodistomum.

12a. Rule.—The following species are excluded from consideration in selecting the types of genera:

(a) Species which were not included under the generic name at the time of its original publication.

(b) Species which were species inquirend from the standpoint of the author of the generic name at the time of its publication.

(c) Species which the author of the genus doubtfully referred to it.

(d) Species which have subsequently been selected to serve as types for other genera, unless this applies to all of the available species, in which case the last species so selected becomes the type of the original genus; or unless the species which the original author took as his type has been transferred, in which case the original author's intentions should be carried out. (Type by elimination.)

12b. Rule.—In case of Linnean genera select as type the most common or the

medicinal species. (Linnæan rule.)

12c. Recommendation.—The following species should be shown preference in selecting the type, unless such procedure is contraindicated by the original author's intentions or by practical considerations:

(a) If the genus contains both exotic and nonexotic species from the standpoint of the original author, the type should be selected from the nonexotic species.

(b) If some of the original species have later been classified in other genera, but not designated as their types, preference should be shown to the species still remaining in the original genus.

(c) All other things being equal, page precedence should obtain in selecting a type.

(d) Species based upon sexually mature specimens should take precedence over species based upon larval or immature forms.

(e) All other things being equal, show preference to a species which the author of a genus actually studied at or before the time he proposed the genus.

(f) Show preference to a species bearing the name communis, vulgaris, medicinalis, or officinalis.

(g) Show preference to the best described, best figured, best known, most easily obtainable species, or of which a type specimen can be obtained.

(h) Show preference to a species which belongs to a group containing as large a number of the species as possible.

(i) In parasitic genera, select if possible a species which occurs in man or in some food animal, or in some very common and widespread host.

By following the foregoing rules and recommendations, types may be designated for the great majority of genera without reference to any subjective interpretation of diagnosis or anatomical characters and their value; in the majority of cases the type will be selected largely on the basis of the original publication, yet the inconveniences connected with the "rule of page precedence" will be very largely avoided.

In connection with correlated nomenclatural questions, the conclusion is drawn that the principle of "synonymy by original publication," despite its Draconian nature, is a just rule to follow (p. 68).

The "rule of homonyms" for absolute homonyms, as provided for in the International Code, is unreservedly adopted (p. 69), but the Merton "rule of phononyms" (p. 72) is rejected, while doubtful homonyms (p. 73) are accepted as distinct names.

It is a matter of regret that we do not see our way clear to apply the rule for emendation until its supporters accomplish the vast amount of pioneer work (p. 76) which is prerequisite to a practical application of their rule; hence, for the present, we find ourselves forced to continue to use "original orthography," be this good, bad, or indifferent.

Contrary to some authors it is maintained (p. 78) that misprints have a definite nomenclatural status.

The Law of Priority is not a new idea, as assumed by some zoologists, but dates from Linnaus, and contrary to the apparent assumption of some writers, it was accepted by Rudolphi in 1801, who proposed a code of nomenclature (p. 78) which has been very generally overlooked.

Some of the difficulties of which some authors complain in helminthological nomenclature could be obviated if the rule relative to polynomial authors (p. 80) were to be more rigidly enforced for authors between 1758 and 1819. By an agreement among helminthologists, to the effect that certain doubtfully binomial works were to be considered polynomial, and therefore excluded from consideration in nomenclatural matters, not an inconsiderable number of the difficulties which arise could be avoided.

Part II contains a list of all the roundworm genera accessible in the card catalogue of the Bureau of Animal Industry, together with certain other genera which are cited for practical reasons. With each genus the original species are given, and in most instances the type species is definitely fixed.

Bibliographic references in this paper are taken from the Index-Catalogue of Medical and Veterinary Zoology (Bulletin No. 39, Bureau of Animal Industry).

# PART I.—PRINCIPLES INVOLVED IN DESIGNATING THE TYPES OF GENERA OF PARASITES.

#### INTRODUCTION.

Cook (1900) has well remarked that "botany without designation of types is like geography without position," and the same remark applies with equal force to zoology. The designation of the typical species of

genera is one of the most important points in nomenclature.

Unfortunately none of the existing codes treats of the subject of type designation in an entirely satisfactory manner. Unfortunately, also, there is considerable diversity of opinion among authors as to the methods to be followed in selecting the type. In rare instances (Snellen), a systematist will deny the advisability of acknowledging that a genus should have a type. Very commonly, more particularly among earlier authors, the selection of generic types has been ignored. Some authors consider that the selection of a type should be made purely by rule, thus eliminating all subjective element; for instance, by selecting the first species in the original list. Other workers consider that a comparison of the original generic diagnosis with the original specific diagnosis is the most important process to be considered. Still other systematists are inclined to ignore the original diagnoses. Some systematists have attempted to formulate a definite series of rules, to be followed seriatim. Others doubt the advisability of rules to cover the subject and maintain that the entire process is one to be governed by the particular case which arises for decision. workers consider that the establishment of types is to be based primarily upon anatomical study; others maintain it is to be based purely upon a study of the literature. Several systematists have admitted that they disliked to determine types, because it seemed impossible to do so in such a way as to avoid polemic criticism.

We have been requested by several authors, botanical as well as zoological, to formulate our views on this subject, and it is partially in compliance with these requests that the present paper is prepared. A further reason for discussing the matter is that we consider it one of the most important subjects in the entire field of nomenclature, and we view the practice of failing to designate the type species as one of

the most fruitful sources of confusion in systematic writings.

Our general position on the subject may be summed up as follows: Types should be determined for all generic names as soon as possible, since a generic name without a definitely established type is always an element of danger in both systematic and bibliographic zoology. Although it does not seem possible to lay down any series of rules for the determination of types which will meet with the approval of all systematists, or which will not in some instances lead to rulings that will arouse criticism on the part of some authors, still it seems justified to adopt certain rules covering the subject and to carry them out consistently, even at the risk of disapproval of other workers. These rules should be objective so far as possible; recommendations (in distinction to rules) can not, however, be entirely avoided, since there are some cases in which it hardly seems possible at present to exclude entirely the subjective element.

Satisfactory rules can be made which will govern a large percentage (perhaps 80 to 90 per cent) of the cases. Any author who attempts to determine types in the remaining cases will incur criticism from one source or another, no matter what species he selects.

In determining types for certain of the nematode genera, this has accordingly been done with full knowledge of the fact that any person who attempts work of this kind subjects himself to criticism, frequently expressed in terms more vigorous than diplomatic.

In discussing the principles involved, the parasites especially have been held in mind, but the principles involved in helminthology are the same as those involved in other fields of zoology. One can not, therefore, plead for any exceptions in favor of helminthology, since exceptions in this field invite exceptions in other fields, and are thus both dangerous and shortsighted. The more exceptions admitted, the less hope there is for eventually having an international nomenclature. Better it is by far that a temporary inconvenience be borne than that exceptions be made in favor of any one group.

#### GENERA OTHER THAN NEMATODES INCLUDED IN THIS PAPER.

It has been found advisable to include in this list a few names which do not belong to the Nematoda, but which have at one time or another been used as or confused with nemathelminth names.

#### TYPES DESIGNATED OR NOT DESIGNATED.

For the generic names collected, an attempt has been made to determine the type in case the proper data were accessible. In some cases in which we have hesitated, for various reasons, to definitely fix the type species at present, species have been suggested with reserve (preceding the specific name by "?" or "probably") which it would probably be best to take as type, so far as the data are accessible.

This method is followed in order not to prevent some other author from selecting some other species in case it may seem best for him to do so. The action on these cases in the present paper is not to be interpreted as *designation of type*, but simply as an indication of the species which, other things being equal, it seems to be best (so far as data are accessible at the present moment) to select as "anchors" for the genera in question.

#### DIVISION OF WORK.

The list of genera (pp. 81–150), upon which the work is based, was originally compiled several years ago. Most of the names were taken from the card catalogue of the Bureau of Animal Industry. In the bibliographic work very material aid has been rendered by Miss Caroline Myers, of the Bureau of Animal Industry, and it is a pleasure to express our obligations to her for her painstaking labor, especially in tracing obscure references. The designation of types is the joint work of Stiles and Hassall. Owing to a prolonged absence of Hassall from Washington, during which time joint work was impossible, the discussion of the principles of type designation devolved upon Stiles.

#### HOMONYMS.

In the following list the homonyms (identical names) and phononyms (similar names) are given, so far as accessible in the Bureau catalogue. The orthography, authors, and dates of such names have not been personally verified by us, but they have been accepted from the lists by Agassiz, Scudder, the Zoological Record, Zoologischer Anzeiger, Palmer, Sherborn, Waterhouse, etc.

### HISTORICAL REVIEW OF TYPE DESIGNATION.

To give a complete historical review of the subject of type designation would exhaust both the readers and the writers, but in the present paper reference will be made to some of the more important historical data.

## THE PRINCIPLE OF GENERIC TYPES FORESHADOWED BY LINNÆUS, 1751.

The idea of the selection of a single species as type for a genus was foreshadowed by Linnaeus (1751, 197) in his Philosophia Botanica as follows: "Si genus receptum, secundum jus naturæ et artis, in plura dirimi debet, tum nomen antea commune manebit vulgatissimæ et officinali plantæ."

While Linnaus referred especially to plants, it has become customary to interpret the Linnauan Code as applicable in zoology also, and it is possible therefore to determine the types of a number of Linnauan genera on the basis of this passage.

#### THE BRITISH ASSOCIATION (STRICKLANDIAN) CODE.

It would appear that the Stricklandian Code was perhaps the first publication in which the subject of types was discussed and formulated in a rather definite manner; hence, from the historical view point the passages in question are important.

The British Association Code expressed the law of priority as follows:

Law of priority the only effectual and just one.—It being admitted on all hands that words are only the conventional signs of ideas, it is evident that language can only attain its end effectually by being permanently established and generally recognized. This consideration ought, it would seem, to have checked those who are continually attempting to subvert the established language of zoology by substituting terms of their own coinage. But, forgetting the true nature of language, they persist in confounding the name of a species or group with its definition; and because the former often falls short of the fullness of expression found in the latter, they cancel it without hesitation and introduce some new term which appears to them more characteristic, but which is utterly unknown to the science and is therefore devoid of all authority. If these persons were to object to such names of men as Long, Little, Armstrong, Golightly, etc., in cases where they fail to apply to the individuals who bear them, or should complain of the names Gough, Lawrence, or Harrey, that they were devoid of meaning, and should hence propose to change them for more characteristic appellations, they would not act more unphilosophically or inconsiderately than they do in the case before us; for, in truth, it matters not in the least by what conventional sound we agree to designate an individual object, provided the sign to be employed be stamped with such an authority as will suffice to make it pass current. Now, in zoology no one person can subsequently claim an authority equal to that possessed by the person who is the first to define a new genus or describe a new species, and hence it is that the name originally given, even though it may be inferior in point of elegance or expressiveness to those subsequently proposed, ought as a general principle to be permanently retained. To this consideration we ought to add the injustice of erasing the name originally selected by the person to whose labors we owe our first knowledge of the object; and we should reflect how much the permission of such a practice opens a door to obscure pretenders for dragging themselves into notice at the expense of original observers. Neither can an author be permitted to alter a name which he himself has once published, except in accordance with fixed and equitable laws. It is well observed by Decandolle, "L'auteur même qui a le premier établi un nom n'a pas plus qu'un autre le droit de le changer pour simple cause d'impropriété. La priorité en effet est un terme fixe, positif, qui n'admet rien, ni d'arbitraire ni de partial."

For these reasons we have no hesitation in adopting as our fundamental maxim the "law of priority," viz:

§1. The name originally given by the founder of a group or the describer of a species should be permanently retained to the exclusion of all subsequent synonyms (with the exceptions about to be noticed).

Generic names to be retained for the typical portion of the old genus.—When a genus is subdivided into other genera, the original name should be retained for that portion of it which exhibits in the greatest degree its essential characters as at first defined. Authors frequently indicate this by selecting some one species as a fixed point of reference which they term the "type of the genus." When they omit doing so, it may still in many cases be correctly inferred that the first species mentioned on their

list, if found accurately to agree with their definition, was regarded by them as the type. A specific name or its synonyms will also often serve to point out the particular species which by implication must be regarded as the original type of a genus. In such cases we are justified in restoring the name of the old genus to its typical signification, even when later authors have done otherwise.

We submit, therefore, that-

§4. The generic name should always be retained for that portion of the original genus which was considered typical by the author.

Example.—The genus Picumnus was established by Temminek and included two groups, one with four toes, the other with three, the former of which was regarded by the author as typical. Swainson, however, in raising these groups at a later period to the rank of genera gave a new name, Asthenurus, to the former group and retained Picumnus for the latter. In this case we have no choice but to restore the name, Picumnus Temm., to its correct sense, canceling the name Asthenurus Sw. and imposing a new name on the three-toed group which Swainson had called Picumnus.

When no type is indicated, then the original name is to be kept for that subsequent subdivision which first received it.—Our next proposition seems to require no explanation.

§5. When the evidence as to the original type of a genus is not perfectly clear and indisputable, then the person who first subdivides the genus may affix the original name to any portion of it at his discretion, and no later author has a right to transfer that name to any other part of the original genus.

A later name of the same extent as an earlier to be wholly canceled.—When an author infringes the law of priority by giving a new name to a genus which has been properly defined and named already, the only penalty which can be attached to this act of negligence or injustice is to expel the name so introduced from the pale of the science. It is not right, then, in such cases, to restrict the meaning of the later name so that it may stand side by side with the earlier one, as has sometimes been done. For instance, the genus Monaulus Vieill., 1816, is a precise equivalent to Lophophorus Temm., 1813, both authors having adopted the same species as their type, and therefore, when the latter genus came, in the course of time, to be divided into two, it was incorrect to give the condemned name, Monaulus, to one of the portions.

To state this succinctly:

§6. When two authors define and name the same genus, both making it exactly of the same extent, the later name should be canceled in toto, and not retained in a modified sense.

This rule admits of the following exception:

§7. Provided, however, that if these authors select their respective types from different sections of the genus, and these sections be afterwards raised into genera, then both these names may be retained in a restricted sense for the new genera, respectively.

Example.—The names Œdemia and Melanetta were originally coextensive synonyms, but their respective types were taken from different sections, which are now raised into genera, distinguished by the above titles.

No special rule is required for the cases in which the later of two generic names is so defined as to be *less extensive* in signification than the earlier, for if the later includes the type of the earlier genus, it would be canceled by the operation of §4; and if it does not include that type, it is in fact a distinct genus.

But when the later name is *more extensive* than the earlier, the following rule comes into operation:

A later name equivalent to several earlier ones is to be canceled.—The same principle which is involved in §6 will apply to §8.

§8. If the later name be so defined as to be equal in extent to two or more previously published genera, it must be canceled *in toto*.

Example.—Psarocolius Wagl., 1827, is equivalent to five or six genera previously published under other names, therefore Psarocolius should be canceled.

If these previously published genera be *separately adopted* (as is the case with the equivalents of *Psarocolius*), their original names will of course prevail; but if we follow the later author, in combining them into one, the following rule is necessary:

A genus compounded of two or more previously proposed genera whose characters are now deemed insufficient should retain the name of one of them.—It sometimes happens that the progress of science requires two or more genera, founded on insufficient or erroneous characters, to be combined together into one. In such cases the law of priority forbids us to cancel all the original names and impose a new one on this compound genus. We must therefore select some one species as a type or example, and give the generic name which it formerly bore to the whole group now formed. If these original generic names differ in date, the oldest one should be the one adopted.

§9. In compounding a genus out of several smaller ones, the earliest of them, if otherwise unobjectionable, should be selected and its former generic name be

extended over the new genus so compounded.

Example.—The genera Accentor and Prunella of Vieillot, not being considered sufficiently distinct in character, are now united under the general name Accentor, that being the earliest.

It will thus be seen that the principle of "page precedence" was recognized by this Code, §4, but not as an ironclad law; the principle of type by tautonymy also seems to be referred to, §4; further, the principle of the first reviser is clearly referred to under §5; the principle of "type by inclusion" is evident in §6.

The principle of "type by tautonymy," apparently indicated in the B. A. Code, is said to have first been advocated by Newton (1871, 1876, 1879). It was formulated by Carus and Stiles in 1898, and has recently (1902) been formally adopted by a number of American zoologists.

#### THE DALL CODE, 1877.

In the Dall (1877a, 39-40) Code the following paragraphs refer directly or indirectly to generic types:

\$LI. When a group or genus is divided into two or more groups the original name must be preserved and given to one of the principal divisions. The division including the typical species of the primitive genus, if any type had been specified, or the oldest, best known, or most characteristic of the species originally included when the primitive genus was first described by its author, is the portion for which the original name is to be preserved. If there is no section specially so distinguished, that which retains the larger number of species should retain the old name (D. C.), but the latter can not be applied to a restricted group containing none of the species referred to the primitive group by its author at the time when it was described or when he enumerated the species contained in it.

The majority of the replies to query XII of the circular concur in the above.

According to Linnaus the name should remain with the most common and officinal species; an equivocal expression if there is one which is most common and another the officinal species. The Convolvulus sepium and the Erica vulgaris were very common and very anciently named species when Brown made of one the genus Calystegia, and De Candolle of the other, his genus Calluna. It was, however, much better to do this than to change the names of a hundred species of Convolvulus and 200 of Erica. When there is no authoritative type the number of species should always be taken into consideration. (D. C.)

\$LII. When an author has specified no type, it is then necessary in dividing his genus to retain his name for the subdivision containing the species which the next subsequent author treating of the genus has specified or regarded as the typical exemplar. (B. A.) If no subsequent author has selected a type, the first species of the primitive author may frequently be taken as the type, or a species may be selected from among those originally specified as belonging to the genus when it was formed, due regard being paid to the necessity of retaining as many of the original species as possible in the division which is to retain the old name.

It would manifestly be liable to introduce errors and confusion if it were insisted that the first species should invariably be taken as the type, or were it permitted to take species subsequently added to the group, and which the original author did not know when he established his genus. No arbitrary rule will suffice to determine offhand questions of so much complication as is often the decision in regard to the type of an ancient genus which has been studied by a number of authors.

In the first of the above cases lists are often arranged in alphabetical or faunistic order, or the aberrant species are placed at or near the beginning and end of the list, while the more generalized and characteristic species are put between the others. In the second case, aberrant species might be added and subsequently taken away from the genus, carrying with them the name consecrated by the primitive author to the very group which the subsequent reviser might then seize on for his own. Still more, the aberrant species carrying the primitive generic name might subsequently be found to belong to a genus described before the one revised. Then the name originally given to a valid group might be subject to rejection as a synonym, while the valid group itself which originally bore that name was rejoicing under a new appellation received from the industrious revisers! Absurd as it may appear, mutations similar to this might be mentioned.

The answers received to questions on this point in the circular will be seen to be by a large majority in concurrence with this section.

§LIII. In dividing a genus of which there are already synonyms, if these synonyms or any of them are typified by the same species or group of species as that or those originally selected as types for the primitive genus, the names should be canceled in toto and not used for the restricted subdivisions. (B. A.)

To use strictly equivalent synonyms in a new sense for different divisions in one family is sure to create confusion and necessitate lengthy discriminating passages in subsequent synonymical work. When the so-called synonyms are founded on species belonging to different sections of the genus, although the names may have been considered as coextensive in their application, it is desirable to use these names to indicate the divisions of the genus when it may be revised. (B.A.) In fact there is hardly any difference between the latter case and the revival of a valid but forgotten name for the group properly designated by it and to which another legal name can not be applied.

\$LIV. In the case of the consolidation of two or more groups of the same nature, the oldest name must be retained for the whole. If both or all are of the same date, the reviser may select the one to be retained. (B. A., D. C.)

If a name of a genus be so defined as to be equal in extent to two or more previously published genera, it must be canceled in toto. (B. A.) Example: Tritonium Müller was so defined as to be equal to Buccinum, Strombus, and Murex of Linneus. Hence it should be wholly rejected. Psaracolius Wagler is equivalent to five or six previously published genera, and must, therefore, be canceled. (B. A.)

It follows from the above that when it is necessary to unite several groups already named the earliest unobjectionable name must be retained for the consolidated group, with a modified diagnosis.

#### THE AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION CODE, 1886, 1892.

The American Ornithologists' Union Code (1886, 1892, 42–44) treats generic types as follows:

CANON XX. When a genus is subdivided the original name of the genus is to be retained for that portion of it which contained the original type of the genus when this can be ascertained.

Remark.—This principle is universally conceded and requires no special comment. Canon XXI. When no type is clearly indicated the author who first subdivides a genus may restrict the original name to such part of it as he may judge advisable, and such assignment shall not be subject to subsequent modification.

Remarks.—This, in substance, is the rule promulgated by the B. A. Committee in 1842, and it has been reiterated in most subsequent nomenclatural codes. Its propriety is perfectly apparent, and, as regards the future, no trouble need arise under it. It has happened, however, in the subdivision of comprehensive genera of Linnæus and other early authors that most perplexing complications have arisen, successive authors having removed one species after another as types or elements of new genera till each of the species included in the original genus has received a new generic designation, while the old generic name, if not lost sight of, has come to be applied to species unknown to the author of the original genus! This, of course, is obviously and radically wrong.

CANON XXII. In no case should the name be transferred to a group containing none of the species originally included in the genus.

Remark.—This rule is in strict accordance with the B. A. Code and with current usage.

CANON XXIII. If, however, the genus contains both exotic and nonexotic species—from the standpoint of the original author—and the generic term is one originally applied by the ancient Greeks or Romans, the process of elimination is to be restricted to the nonexotic species.

Remarks.—The purpose of this restriction in the application of the "principle of elimination" is to prevent the palpable impropriety of the transference of an ancient Greek or Latin name to species unknown to the ancients. By the unrestricted action of the principle of elimination the genus Tetrao, for example, becomes transferred to an American species, viz, Tetrao phasianellus of Linnæus, the transference being in itself not only undesirable, but, as it happens, subversive of currently accepted names. The working of the proposed modification of the principle of elimination may be thus illustrated.

The genus Tetrao Linn., 1758, contains the following:

Nonexotic species.

1. urogallus (Urogallus Flem., 1822).

3. canadensis.

2. tetrix.

4. lagopus (Lagopus Briss., 1760).

7. bonasia (Bonasia Steph., 1819, plus Bon., 1828).

This leaves tetrix as the type of the genus Tetrao, since Lyrurus Sw. was not established for it till 1831.

5. phasianellus.

6. cupido.

On the other hand, the process of unrestricted elimination would result as follows:

1. urogallus (Urogallus Flem., 1822).

2. tetrix (Lyrurus Sw., 1831).

3. canadensis (Canace Reich., 1852).

lagopus (Lagopus Briss., 1760).
 phasianellus (Pediocxtes Bd., 1858).

6. cupido (Tympanuchus Glog., 1842; Cupidonia Reich., 1850).

7. bonasia (Bonasia Steph., 1819, plus Bon., 1828).

Which would leave, as type for the genus Tetrao, T. phasianellus, which was the last species to be removed from the genus Tetrao, its removal being made by Baird in 1858, who made it the type of a genus Pediocxtes. No species being now left to bear the name Tetrao, it must be restored either to T. phasianellus (under the unrestricted action of the principle of elimination), or to T. lyrurus (under the above-proposed restricted action of the principle of elimination). In the latter case, this ancient Greek name for a European species of Grouse would be still retained in nearly its original sense.

As in the case of *Tetrao*, so in the cases of many Linnæan and Brissonian genera, it has happened that, in the process of gradual elimination, exotic (or non-European) species only have been finally left in the original genus, while the European species have successively been made types of separate genera.

Canon XXIV. When no type is specified, the only available method of fixing the original name to some part of the genus to which it was originally applied is by the process of elimination, subject to the single modification provided for by Canon XXIII.

Canon XXV. A genus formed by the combination of two or more genera takes the name first given in a generic or subgeneric sense to either or any of its components. If both or all are of the same date, that one selected by the reviser is to be retained.

Remarks.—The propriety of this rule is too obvious to require special comment. It therefore follows that a later name equivalent to several earlier ones must be canceled, and that the earliest name applied to any of the previously established genera thus combined is to be taken as the designation of the new combination.

Canon XXVI. When the same genus has been defined and named by two authors, both giving it the same limits, the later name becomes a synonym of the earlier one; but in case these authors have specified types from different sections of the genus, and these sections be raised afterwards to the rank of genera, then both names are to be retained in a restricted sense for the new genera.

#### THE CODE OF THE GERMAN ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY, 1894.

The Code (1894, 13-14) of the German Zoological Society contains the following provisions regarding types:

§25. Sind für eine Gattung verschiedene zulässige Namen annähernd gleichzeitig aufgestellt worden, so dass die Priorität nicht festzustellen ist, so ist derjenige Name zu wählen, für welchen eine "typische Art" aufgeführt war. In allen dadurch nicht zu erledigenden Fällen ist die Entscheidung des ersten Autors, welcher die Synonymie der verschiedenen Namen nachweist, massgebend.

§26. Wird eine Gattung in mehrere neue Gattungen aufgelöst, so verbleibt der alte Gattungsname der als Typus anzusehenden Art. Ist eine solche nicht mit Sicherheit festzustellen, so hat der die Auflösung vornehmende Autor eine der ursprünglich in dieser Gattung enthalten gewesenen Arten als Typus zu bestimmen. Werden Untergattungen zu Gattungen erhoben, so wird der Untergattungsname zum Gattungsnamen.

#### THE MERTON RULES, 1896.

In the "Merton Rules" (Walsingham & Durrant, 1896, 14-16) are found the following passages relating to types of genera:

40. The type of a genus must be one of the species originally placed in the genus by its founder, but no species can be regarded as a possible type if it can be shown that the founder of the genus had not seen it.

[N. B.—This and the following rules (40-47) apply with equal force to the sections of any grade.]

- 41. A genus from its foundation belongs to one of three classes:
- (1) Monotypical (i. e., described from a single species, no other being known, or described from a single specified species with which are associated other species considered to be identical in structure).
- (2) Isotypical (i. e., described from more than one species, all of which are congeneric).
- (3) HETEROTYPICAL (i. e., described from more than one species, these differing in structure).

(In class one, the single species described, or the single species cited, is the type.

In classes two and three, the sum of the species therein contained constitutes the "type" of the original author, unless it was indicated that one or more of these species were not considered to be typical.)

- 42. If the author of an isotypical or heterotypical genus subsequently removes one of his original types to another genus, this species ceases to be a possible type for the genus in which it was first placed.
- 43. In ascertaining the type of a genus not monotypical absolute adherence must be given to the law of priority.
- 44. He who first restricts a genus under its own name limits the possible type to one of the species included in his restriction, but if possibly avoidable a heterotypical genus must not be restricted to the detriment of an existing monotypical or isotypical genus.
- 45. When a heterotypical genus by restriction or specification of type becomes monotypical, the single species to which it is limited must thenceforth be accepted as the type of the genus, provided that this species had not previously been constituted the type of another genus.
  - 46. Restriction is effected by omission, by elimination, or by specification.
- 47. The name of a heterotypical genus dates from its publication, but it dates as a genus from the time that it became isotypical or monotypical; e. g.,

Tortrix, Jones 1850 (heterotypical for)

- (1) viridana, Jones.
- (2) atrana, Jones.

Heterognomon, Smith 1855 (type) viridana, Jones.

Pandemis, Smith 1855 (type) atrana, Jones.

(Jones first published a generic name for which viridana was a possible type, but Smith first established Heterognomon as a genus based on the type viridana. The law of priority forbids the subsequent restriction of Tortrix to the detriment of Heterognomon, and therefore by elimination atrana was constituted the type of Tortrix, Jones, with which Pandemis, Smith, is synonymous.

N. B.—For brevity of illustration a fictitious example has been employed.)

- 48. If a subsequent author subdivide a heterotypical genus, distributing its types among differently named genera but retaining the original name as a subgeneric heading in more than one genus to which he refers a type, the law of priority shall be rigidly enforced, and his first limitation shall be taken as restricting the type; but should he in addition make use of the heterotypical generic name in a generic sense, it shall be held that it was his intention to limit the type to the species referred to in this sense, and his previous subgeneric limitation shall be ignored.
- e. g., (1) Hübner published the heterotypical genus Eudemis, Verz. bek. Schm. 382 (1826). Stephens adopted this name in a subgeneric sense for the four genera Ditula Stph., Pseudotomia Stph., Cnephasia Crt., and Sericoris Tr. He constituted profundana, F. (=porphyrana, Hb., Stph.) the type of the first subgenus so named under Ditula. The law of priority should prevent any other species from becoming the type of Eudemis Hb.

e. g., (2) Hübner published the heterotypical genus *Notocelia*, Verz. bek. Schm. 379–80 (1826). Stephens' first use of this name is as a subgenus of *Spilonota* Stph., in which sense *ocellana* F. became the type of *Notocelia* Hb.

But a few pages later he employed *Notocelia* in a generic sense with the type *uddmanniana*, L., describing the genus and remarking on its synonymy. It is, therefore, obvious that it was his intention to retain the full generic value of the name, and his second limitation should be adopted in preference to his first.

#### GILL, 1896.

Gill (1896, 20-21), in discussing types, makes the following remarks:

#### TYPONYMS.

The question, what is necessary to insure reception of a generic name, is one of those concerning which there is a difference of opinion. By some a definition is considered to be requisite, but by others the specification of a type is only required. But the demand in such case is simply that the definition shall be made. It may be inaccurate or not to the point; it may be given up at once and never adopted by the author himself afterwards or by anyone else. Nevertheless, the condition is fulfilled by the attempt to give the definition. In short, the attempt is required in order that the competency (or its want) of the namer may be known, and if incompetency is shown thereby—no matter! The attempt has been made. The indication by a type is not sufficient.

Anyone who has had occasion to investigate the history of any large group must have been often perplexed on determining on what special subdivision of a disintegrated genus the original names should be settled. The old genus may have been a very comprehensive one, covering many genera and even families of modern zoology, and of course the investigator has to ignore the original diagnosis. He must often acknowledge how much better it would have been if the genus had been originally indicated by a type rather than a diagnosis. Many naturalists, therefore, now recognize a typonym to be eligible as a generic name. Among such are those guided by the code formulated by the American Ornithologists' Union, to which reference may be made and in which will be found some judicious remarks on the subject in Canon XLII. Certainly it is more rational to accept a typonym than to require a definition for show rather than use. Nevertheless, I fully recognize the obligation of the genus-maker to indicate by diagnosis, as well as type, his conception of generic characters.

#### FIRST SPECIES OF A GENUS NOT ITS TYPE.

On account of the difficulty of determining the applicability of a generic name when a large genus is to be subdivided, it has been the practice of some zoologists to take the first species of a genus as its type. This, it has been claimed, is in pursuance of the law of priority. It is, however, an extreme, if not illegitimate, extension of the law, and has generally been discarded in recent years. But in the past it had eminent advocates, such as George Robert Gray in Ornithology and Pieter Van Bleeker in Ichthyology. A few still adhere to the practice, and within a few months two excellent zoologists have defended their application of names by statements that the first species of the old genera justified their procedure. The contention of one involves the names which shall be given to cray-fishes and lobsters.

It is evident that the fathers of zoological nomenclature never contemplated such a treatment of their names, and the application of the rule to their genera would result in some curious and unexpected conditions. Let us see how some genera of

Linnaus would fare. The first species of Phoca was the fur seal, the first species of Mustela the sea otter, the first of Mus the guinea pig, and the first of Cervus was the giraffe. These are sufficient to show what incongruities would flow from the adoption of the rule.

#### DURRANT, 1898.

An exceedingly interesting and important correspondence on the subject of "Nomenclature of Lepidoptera: Correspondence relating to questions circulated by Sir George F. Hampson, Bart.," was published by Durrant in 1898. Opinions are cited from Prof. Scudder, Prof. Fernald, Prof. J. B. Smith, Dr. Standinger, Herr P. C. T. Snellen, Prof. Aurivillius, Prof. A. R. Grote, Lord Walsingham, E. Meyrick, esq., W. F. Kirby, esq., and Sir G. F. Hampson.

Of these, Snellen stood alone in totally rejecting the system of generic types. The following is an analysis of the replies of the other

ten men:

1. The type of a genus must be a species originally included in it by its founder. (Adopted by all ten men.)

2. The type must conform to the original description of the genus (a species excluded by the description can not be the type). (Adopted by all ten.)

2A. Unless direct error of observation can be inferred. (Meyrick and Kirby.)

- 2s. And to the meaning (if any) of the generic name. (Meyrick, Kirby, Hampson, Walsingham.)
- 3. That a species included with doubt can not be type. (Walsingham, Grote, Kirby.)
- 4. That a name included (without the species being known to the founder) can not establish any claim to the recognition of the species as a possible type. (Adopted by Hampson, Walsingham, and Smith; apparently opposed by Kirby.)

5. The first species, or the first species agreeing with the description to be considered the type. (Adopted by Hampson and Standinger; opposed by other eight.)

- 6. Subsequent citation or restrictions must be accepted in chronological sequence:6A. If they are not at variance with the original intention of the author. (Wal-
- singham, Meyrick, Kirby, Fernald, Smith, Scudder, Grote, apparently Standinger.)
  6B. Disregarding the supposed intentions of the author but not any clear or evident intention. (Grote.)
- 6c. Providing that the subsequent author expressly fixed the type or intentionally divided the genus and that he retained the old name for one part; the effect of omission of species from merely faunistic works to be ignored. (Aurivillius.)

6b. A species subsequently removed by the founder to another genus ceases to be a type of the original genus. (Walsingham.)

7. When the historical method has been exhausted the species (or group of species) which agrees best with the description should be regarded as typical. (Walsingham, Meyrick, Fernald, Smith, Aurivillius.)

7A. But if all equally agree the type may be fixed at discretion. (Meyrick, Walsingham, Smith.)

7aa. But would assume the type to be a species from the author's own country, the one with which he seems to be most familiar, and if the preparatory stages are mentioned should assume the commonest species (as the one with which he was likely to have the greatest acquaintance) to be the type. (Smith.)

7B. If all agree equally well the first species is the type. (Fernald, Kirby.)

7c. If two or more agree better than the remainder, the first of those that do agree is the type. (Fernald, Kirby.)

7b. If one species is more fully described than the others, or if it is figured, it should be regarded as the type. (Kirby.)

7E. The majority of homogeneous species should be taken as representing a

restricted genus. (Kirby.)

- $8. \ \,$  If the generic characters are better developed in one species (or group of species) this species (or group) must be held typical. (Apparently ignoring previous action.) (Aurivillius.)
- 9. If the description and included species prove that two or more genera were intended to include the same animals, they must be regarded as synonyms. (See B. A. Code, § 6.) (Aurivillius.)

9A. If, however, the original types of these genera were heterotypical each of the

genera is valid for its own type. (B. A. Code, § 7.) (Durrant.)

9B. If types heterotypical in structure have been assigned to each genus (there being no evidence to disprove the possibility of their having been the original types) the genera should be accepted in their restricted sense. (Durrant.)

#### CODE OF BOTANICAL NOMENCLATURE, A. A. A. S., 1904.

Of the botanical codes we will mention only the Code of Botanical Nomenclature (1904), which the Nomenclature Commission of the Botanical Club of the American Association for the Advancement of Science has proposed for consideration of the International Botanical Congress (Vienna, 1905) as substitute for the Code of 1867. This newly proposed code contains the following paragraphs regarding types:

Canon 15. The nomenclatorial type of a genus or subgenus is the species originally named or designated by the author of the name. If no species was designated, the type is the first binomial species in order eligible under the following provisions:

(a) The type is to be selected from a subgenus, section, or other list of species

originally designated as typical.

Examples.—Psilogramme Kuhn, Festschr. 50-Jähr. Jub. Königs. Realschule zu Berlin, 332 (1882), is typified by the first-mentioned species of the second section Eupsilogramme, and not from species included in the first section Jamesonia, which is based on a generic name previously published; Phania DC. Prodr. 5: 114 (1826), is typified by P. multicaulis DC., the only species of the section Euphania.

(b) A figured species is to be selected rather than an unfigured species in the same work; or, in the absence of a figure, preference is to be given to a species accompanied

by the citation of a figure.

Examples.—Lespedeza Michx. Fl. Bor. Am. 2· 70 (1803), is typified by L. procumbens Michx. loc. cit. pl. 39, the species first figured; Basanacantha Hook. f. in Benth. & Hook. Gen. Pl. 2: 82 (1873), is typified by Randia tetracantha (Cav.) DC., the second species cited, as this had been figured by Cavanilles, whereas Randia Humboldtiana DC., the species first mentioned by Hooker, had not been figured.

(c) The types of genera adopted through citations of nonbinomial literature (with or without change of name), are to be selected from those of the original species which receive names in the first binomial publication. The genera of Linnæus' Species Plantarum (1753) are to be typified through the citations given in his Genera

Plantarum (1754).

Note.—The Species Plantarum contains no generic references, but the 1754 edition of the Genera Plantarum was evidently prepared at the same time and was in effect a complementary volume of the same work. It accords much more nearly than other editions with the treatment followed in the Species Plantarum, and thus

makes it possible to retain more of the Linnaean generic names in their current application.

Examples.—Cypripedium L. Sp. Pl. 951, a genus adopted from Tournefort with a change of his name Calceolus, is typified by Cypripedium Calceolus, the only species common to both authors; Seseli L. Sp. Pl. 259, a genus adopted from Boerhaave, is typified by the second species of Linnaus, Seseli montanum, which is the first in Linnaus of the species common to both authors; Silene L. Sp. Pl. 416, a genus adopted from Dillenius with a change of his name Viscago, is typified by Silene anglica, the first in Linnaus of the thirteen species figured by Dillenius; Fritillaria L. Sp. Pl. 303, a genus adopted from Tournefort, is typified by the fifth species of Linnaeus, Fritillaria Meleagris, which is one of the three species included in Fritillaria by by both authors, and is selected from these three because it is the one figured by Tournefort.

(d) When a prebinomial generic name is displaced by the publication of a generic name within binomial usage, the application of the displaced name to a species under the new generic name designates the type.

Example.—Dianthus L. Sp. Pl. 409, a genus adopted from Tournefort with a change of his name Caryophyllus, is typified by Dianthus Caryophyllus, one of the fifteen original species of Linnæus.

(e) The application to a genus of a former specific name of one of the included species, designates the type.

Examples.—Amsonia Walt. Fl. Car. 98 (1788), is typified by Tabernæmontana Amsonia L., one of its two original species; Sordaria Ces. & De N. Comm. Soc. Critt. Ital. I: 225 (1863), is typified by Sphæria Sordaria Fr., one of its twelve original species.

(f) To avoid change in the current application of a Linnean generic name, a well-known economic species may be selected as the type, in accordance with the principle stated by Linneus (Phil. Bot. 197. 1751): "Si genus receptum, secundum jus naturae et artis, in plura dirimi debet, tum nomen antea commune manebit vulgatissime et officinali plantae."

Examples.—Poa L. Sp. Pl. 67, is typified by P. pratensis L., the commonest of its original species; Mollugo L. Sp. Pl. 89, is typified by M. verticillata L., the commonest of its original species.

#### THE INTERNATIONAL CODE OF ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE, 1904.

The International Codes of Zoological Nomenclature of Paris, 1889; Moscow, 1892; Cambridge, 1898; Berlin, 1901, and Berne, 1904, all treat of types. It will suffice to quote the 1905 (Berne, 1904) edition:

ART. 29. If a genus is divided into two or more restricted genera, its valid name must be retained for one of the restricted genera. If a type was originally established for said genus, the generic name is retained for the restricted genus containing said type.

ART. 30. If the original type of a genus was not indicated, the author who first subdivides the genus may apply the name of the original genus to such restricted genus or subgenus as may be judged advisable, and such assignment is not subject to subsequent change. In no case, however, can the name of the original genus be transferred to a group containing none of the species originally included in the genus; nor can a species be selected as type which was not originally included in the genus, or which the author of the generic name doubtfully referred to it.

Recommendation.—In selecting a type, authors should govern themselves by the following:

a. A genus which contains a species bearing the same name, either as a valid name or as a synonym, takes that species as type.

b. Select as type some species which the original author studied personally, unless it can be definitely shown that he had some other species more particularly in mind.
c. If the original genus has already been divided without designation of type, the

type should be restricted by elimination, namely, by successively rejecting all the species which have already been transferred to other genera; the type is then selected from the species which remain.

If the genus contains both exotic and nonexotic species, from the standpoint of the original author, the type is to be selected from the nonexotic species.

d. Select as type the species which is best described, or best figured, or best known.

#### AXIOMS RELATIVE TO TYPE SPECIES.

In determining the type species of a genus, it is self-evident that such determination should be made in accordance with the original intentions of the original author of the genus, provided his intentions can be definitely recognized.

Not only is this proposition in harmony with the spirit of the law of priority, but it has its very practical application, namely, the more closely it is possible to restrict the determination of a type species to the original paper in which the genus was published, the less literature one has to consider and the fewer will be the divergent views which must be discussed. A blind adoption of the rule of "page precedence" (see pp. 62–63) would permit a determination of all types upon basis of the first generic publication, and, radical as the rule is, it must be admitted, even by those of us who do not believe in it, that it has much in its favor and that it is possibly still an open question as to whether it leads to greater inconvenience or even to greater absurdities than do certain other rules.

As a second axiom it may be stated that, according to the spirit of the law of priority, the historical method should be adhered to in case the type can not be determined upon basis of the first publication. Thus, if any author has determined a type for a genus without previously determined type, such determination holds unless it can be shown that it is objectively erroneous.

#### RULES AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING TYPES.

In the following discussion, the rules and recommendations formulated for determining types of genera are based upon the practices now in existence and upon our own experience. It is not maintained that the points discussed cover all cases or that all points exclude a difference of opinion. It is, however, believed that the suggestions made are more in detail than are those usually found in existing codes, and it is hoped that they will be of use either in stimulating further study on the subject, or in serving as a guide to those systematists who have heretofore paid little or no attention to the subject discussed.

#### A. GENERA FOR WHICH TYPES ARE DESIGNATED OR IMPLIED IN THE ORIGINAL PUBLICATION.

Too much stress can not be laid upon selecting the type on basis of the original publication. The further one goes from this publication in selecting the type, the more complicated the case usually becomes.

1. Genera originally Published with only One Species. "Monotypical Genera."

# RULE.—A genus proposed with a single original species takes that species as type.

Thus, X-us 1890, proposed with only one species, albus 1890, retains albus as type, regardless of the number and history of the species which may later be assigned to X-us and regardless of the subdivisions which X-us may later undergo.

This is the most simple case which can arise, and the principle involved is so self-evident that it needs no argument. It is fully in accord with the practices of systematists in different groups, both in zoology and botany, so far as these workers have endeavored to follow nomenclatural codes, and it is the logical ruling in accordance with the canons of all codes.

Fortunately a considerable number of genera in all major groups come under this rule. In the generic names given in the present list, about 240 are absolutely and irrevocably tied to a single original specific name by reason of their original publication with a single species.

Genera of this kind are frequently referred to as "monotypical." Such designation is not entirely free from criticism, since a genus originally published with several species, one of which was definitely designated as type, is also in a certain sense a monotypical genus.

The following monotypical genera come within the province of this paper:

List of genera (chiefly nematodes) originally published with a single species.

Acanthocheilonema Cobbold, 1870b (dracunculoides).

Acanthocheilus Molin, 1858 (quadridentatus).

Acanthosoma Mayer, 1844 (chrysalis).

Acanthrus Acharius, 1780 (sipunculoides). Acrobeles Linstow, 1877 (ciliatus).

Agchylostoma Dubini, 1843a (duodenale). Agriostomum Railliet, 1902 (vryburgi).

Agriostomum Railliet, 1902 (vryburgi).
Allantonema Leuckart, 1884 (mirabile).

Allodapa Diesing, 1861a (typica=allodapa).

Alloionema Schneider, 1859 (appendiculatum).

Amblyonema Linstow, 1898 (terdentatum). Ancyracanthopsis Diesing, 1861a (bila-

Ancyracanthus Diesing, 1838a, 1839a (pectinatus=pinnatifidus).

Ancyrocephalus Creplin, 1839a (paradoxus).

Anguillina Hammerschmidt, 1838 (mo-

Aphanolaimus de Man, 1880 (attentus).

Apororhynchus Shipley, 1899 (hemignathi). Aprocta Linstow, 1883 (cylindrica).

Arxolaimoides de Man, 1893 (microphthalmus). List of genera (chiefly nematodes) originally published with a single species—Continued.

Arhynchus Shipley, 1896 (hemignathi). Ascaroides Barthélemy, 1858a (limacis). Ascarophis van Beneden, 1871a (morrhuw).

Ascarops van Beneden, 1873b (minuta).
Asconema Leuckart, 1886 (gibbosum).
Aspidocephalus Diesing, 1851a (scoleciformis).

Atractis Dujardin, 1845a (dactyluris).
Atractic Dujardin, 1845a (dactyluris).
Atractonema Leuckart, 1887 (gibbosum).
Autolaimus de Man, 1880 (oxycephalus).
Autoplectus Balsamo-Crivelli, 1843b (protognostus).

Bastiania de Man, 1876 (gracilis).
Bathylaimus Cobb, 1894c (australis).
Brachynema Cobb, 1893a (obtusa).
Bradynema zur Strassen, 1892 (rigidum).
Calyptronema Marion, 1870 (paradoxum).
Camacolaimus de Man, 1889 (tardus).
Carnoya Gilson, 1898 (vitiensis).
Cephalonema Cobb, 1893a (longicauda).
Ceratospira Schneider, 1866 (resiculosa).
Chætosoma Claparède, 1863a (ophicephalum).

Chaolaimus Cobb, 1893a (pellucidus).
Characostomum Railliet, 1902 (longemu-cronatum).

Choanolaimus de Man, 1880 (psammophi-

Chordodes Creplin, 1847b (parasitus). Cloacina Linstow, 1898 (dahli). Conocephalus Diesing, 1861a (typicus). Cosmocephalus Molin, 1858, etc. (diesingii).

Crino Lamarck, 1801 (truncatus).
Clenocephalus Linstow, 1904 (tiara).
Cyathostoma E. Blanchard, 1849a (lari).
Cyathostomum Molin, 1861 (tetracanthum).
Cylicolaimus de Man, 1889 (magnus).
Cystidicola Fischer, 1798 (farionis).
Cystocephalus Railliet, 1895 (mucronatus).
Dactylius Curling, 1839a (aculeatus).
Deletrocephalus Diesing, 1851a (dimidiatus).

tus).

Demonena Cobb, 1894c (rapax).

Demonena Cobb, 1894c (rapax).

Demotelaimus de Man, 1880 (papillatus).

Dermatoxys Schneider, 1860 (veligera).

Dermofilaria Rivolta, 1884 (irritans).

Desmolaimus de Man, 1880 (zeelandicus).

Desmoscolex Claparède, 1863a (minutus).

Dicelis Dujardin, 1845 (filaria).

Diceras Rudolphi, 1810a (rude).

Dicyema Kœlliker, 1849 (paradoxum). Dikentrocephalus Wedl, 1855 (crinalis). Dioctophyme Collet-Meygret, 1802a (renale).

Diphtherophora de Man, 1880 (communis).
Diplogaster Max Schultze, 1857 (micans).
Diplolaimus Linstow, 1876 (gracilis).
Dipodium Bosc, 1812a (apiarium).
Discophora Villot, 1875 (cirrhatus).
Ditrachyceros Hermann, 1801 [rude].
Dolicholaimus de Man, 1888 (marioni).
Dracunculus "Kniphof, 1759," or Gal-

Dracuncutus "Kniphot, 1799," or Gallandat, 1773 (medinensis).

Dyacauthos Stiebel, 1817 (polycephalus).

Echinonema Linstow, 1898 (cinctus).

Elaphocephalus Molin, 1860 (octocornutus).

Enchelidium Ehrenberg, 1836 (marinum).

Enoplolaimus de Man, 1893 (vulgaris).

Epithelphusa Drago, 1887 (catanensis).

Ethmolaimus de Man, 1880 (pratensis).

Eucamptus Dujardin, 1845a (obtusus).

Fictitium Diesing, 1851a (cephalopodum).

Filarina Hammerschmidt, 1838 (vitrea).

Filaroides van Beneden, 1858a or 1861a (mustelarum).

Filocapsularia Deslongchamps, 1824q (communis).

Fimbria Cobb, 1894c (tenuis). Fimbrilla Cobb, 1905 (tenuis). Furia Linnæus, 1758 (infernalis). Globocephalus Molin, 1861 (longemucro-

Gnathostoma Owen, 1836 (spinigerum).

Graphonema Cobb, 1898d (vulgaris).
Gyalocephalus Looss, 1900 (capitatus).
Habronema Diesing, 1861c (musca).
Hæmonchus Cobb, 1898a (contortus).
Hæruca Grnelin, 1790 (muris).
Halalaimus de Man, 1880 (gracilis).
Halichoanolaimus de Man, 1886 (robustus).
Hamularia Treutler, 1793 (lymphatica).
Hedruris Nitzsch, 1821 (androphora).
Heligmus Dujardin, 1845 (longicirrus).
Hemipsilus Quatrefages, 1846 (species unnamed, Quatrefages, 1846, 131–132).

Heterocephalus Marion, 1870 (laticollis). Heterocheilus Diesing, 1839 (tunicatus). Heth Cobb, 1898a (juli).

Histiostrongylus Molin, 1861 (coronatus). Hoplocephalus Linstow, 1898 (cinctus). Hydromermis Corti, 1902 (rivicola). Hystrichis Dujardin, 1845a (tricolor). List of genera (chiefly nematodes) originally published with a single species—Continued.

Hystrignathus Leidy, 1850 (rigidus). Ironus Bastian, 1865 (ignavus). Isakis Lespès, 1856 (migrans). Koleops Lockwood, 1872 (anguilla). Labiduris Schneider, 1866 (gulosa). Lasiomitus Marion, 1870 (exilis). Lecanocephalus Diesing, 1839 (spinulosus). Leiuris Leuckart, 1850 (leptocephalus). Lepidonema Cobb, 1898a (bifurcata). Leptodera Dujardin, 1845a (flexilis). Leptoderes Dujardin, 1845a (flexilis). Leptolaimus de Man, 1876 (papilliger). Lepturis Schlotthauber, 1860 (curvula). Liniscus Dujardin, 1845a (exilis). Lissonema Linstow, 1903 (rotundatum). Litosoma van Beneden, 1873 (filaria). Lobocephalus Diesing, 1838 (heterolobus). Lombricoides Mérat, 1821 (vulgaris). Macrolaimus Maupas, 1900 (crucis). Macroposthonia de Man, 1880 (annulata). Mastigodes Zeder, 1800 (hominis = trichiura). Meloidogyne Gœldi, "1887" or 1889 (exigua). Mermis Dujardin, 1842 (nigrescens). Microlaimus de Man, 1880 (globiceps). Mitrephoros Linstow, 1877 (hamisphericus). Mitrephorus Linstow, 1877 (hæmispheri-Myenchus Schuberg & Schreeder, 1904 (bothryophorus). Myzomimus Stiles, 1892 (scutatus). Necator Stiles, 1903 (americana). Necticonema Marion, 1870 (prinzi). Nectonema Verrill, 1879 (agilis). Nema Leidy, 1856 (vacilans). Neomermis Linstow, 1904 (macrolaimus). Neonchus Cobb, 1893 (longicauda). Nervus Laporte, 1792 (medinensis). Netrorhynchus Zenker, 1827 (blainvillii). Odontobius Roussel, 1834 (ceti). Odontolaimus de Man, 1880 (chlorurus). Odontophora Buetschli, 1874 (marina). Œsophagodontus Railliet & Henry, 1902 (robustus). Ollulanus Leuckart, 1865 (tricuspis). Onchocerca Diesing, 1841 (reticulata). Oncholaimellus de Man, 1890 (calvadosicus). Oncophora Diesing, 1851a (neglecta). Onyx Cobb, 1891 (perfectus). Oxynema Linstow, 1899 (rectum).

Oxystoma Buetschli, 1874 (elongata). Oxyuris Rudolphi, 1803 (curvula=equi). Ozolaimus Dujardin, 1845a (megatyphlon). Paragordius Montgomery, 1898 (varius). Passalurus Dujardin, 1845a (ambiguus). Pelagonema Cobb, 1894 (simplex). Pelodytes Schneider, 1860 (strongyloides). Peritrachelius Diesing, 1851a (insignis). Phacelura Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828 (paludinæ). Pharurus Leuckart, 1848 (alatus). Pharyngodon Diesing, 1861a (acanthurus). Physocephalus Diesing, 1861 (sexalata). Piguris Schlotthauber, 1860 (reticulata). Platycoma Cobb, 1894 (cephalata). Polydelphis Dujardin, 1845a (anoura). Potamonema Leidy, 1856 (nitidum). Prionoderma Rudolphi, 1810 (ascaroides). Prothelmins Linstow, 1888 (profundissima). Pseudalius Dujardin, 1845a (filum). Pseudonymus Diesing, 1857 (spirotheca). Pseudorhabditis Perroncito, 1881 (stercoralis). Pterocephalus Linstow, 1899 (viviparus). Pterygodermatites Wedl, 1861 (plagiostoma). Ptychocephalus Diesing, 1861 (spirotheca). Ramphogordius Rathke, 1843 (lacteus). Rhabdogaster Metschnikoff, 1867 (cygnoides). Rhabdonema Leuckart, 1883 (nigrovenosa). Rhabdotoderma Marion, 1870 (morstatti). Rhigonema Cobb, 1898 (brevicollis). Rhytis Mayer, 1835 (paradoxa). Rictularia Freelich, 1802a (cristata). Sabatieria de Rouville, 1903 (cettensis). Schizocheilonema Diesing, 1861 (megalochilum). Sclerotrichum Rudolphi, 1819 (echinatus). Simondsia Cobbold, 1864 (paradoxa), Siphonolaimus de Man, 1893 (niger). Solenolaimus Cobb, 1894 (obtusus). Sphærolaimus Bastian, 1865 (hirsutus). Sphærularia Dufour, 1837a (bombi). Spinifer Linstow, 1901 (fülleborni). Spinitectus Fourment, 1884 (oviflagellis). Spiropterina van Beneden, "1858a," 1861a (coronata). Spiroxys Schneider, 1866 (contorta). Stelmius Dujardin, 1845a (præcinctus). Stenodes Dujardin, 1845a (acus). Stenurus Dujardin, 1845a (inflexus).

List of genera (chiefly nematodes) originally published with a single species-Continued.

Stephanurus Diesing, 1839a (dentatus). Stomachida Pereboom, 1780 (vermis). Streptogaster Cobb, 1898 (papillatus). Streptostoma Leidy, 1849 (agile). Strongylacantha van Beneden, 1873 (glycirrhiza). Strongyloides Grassi, 1879 (intestinalis= stercoralis). Strongylus Mueller, "1780," 1784 (equinus). Subulura Molin, 1860 (acutissima). Syngamus Siebold, 1836 (trachealis). Synæcnema Magalhães, 1905 (fragile). Symplecta Leidy, 1851 (pendula). Syringolaimus de Man, 1888 (striatocaudatus). Tachygonetria Wedl, 1862 (vivipara). Tanqua R. Blanchard, 1904 (tiara). Teratocephalus de Man, 1876 (terrestris).

Tetracheilonema Diesing, 1861a (quadrilabiatum). Tetradenos Linstow, 1904 (tiara). Tetrameres Creplin, 1846 (paradoxus).

Terschellingia de Man, 1888 (communis).

Thalassironus de Man, 1889 (britannicus). Thalassoalaimus de Man, 1893 (tardus). Thelandros Wedl, 1862 (alatus). Thelastoma Leidy, 1849 (attenuatum). Thelazia Bosc, 1819 (rhodesii). Trefusia de Man, 1893 (longicauda). Tricheilonema Diesing, 1861a (megalochila). Trichina Owen, 1835 (spiralis). Trichinella Railliet, 1895 (spiralis). Trichoderma Greef, 1869 (oxycaudata). Trichodes Linstow, 1874 (crassicauda) Trichonema Cobbold, 1874 (arcuata). Trichuris Reederer & Wagler, 1761, 1762 (trichiura). Tricoma Cobb, 1894 (cincta). Tropidocerca Diesing, 1851a (paradoxa). Tropisurus Diesing, 1835 (paradoxus). Tulolaimophorus de Man. 1880 (tupicus). Tylopharynx de Man, 1876 (striata). Uracanthus Diesing, 1861 (brevispinosus). Urolabes Carter, 1858 (palustris). Vena Gallandat, 1773a (medinensis). Xyo Cobb, 1898 (histrix).

Despite the self-evident character of the principle involved, a few genera of this category have later come to be used in a sense entirely different from that in which they were originally intended, as indicated by reference to the type. The explanation of this is clear. Authors have placed additional species in a given genus of this kind; then the species have later been distributed in two or more genera, and the original species has been transferred to some other than the original generic name. As an example of this kind among the nematodes, Strongylus may be mentioned. It is clear that this species was originally (Mueller, 1780, pl. 42, figs. 1-12) based upon Strongylus equinus. It is true that in his text Mueller later (1784, 8) says "Congenerem valde similem claris. Otto Fabricius in intestinis ouium nuper reperit," but the species (S. ovinus) in question can not come into consideration as type of Strongylus, for not only does S. ovinus not appear to have been described or figured in 1780, but it is clear that Mueller based his genus upon S. equinus. Other species were afterwards added to Strongylus, and Rudolphi (1809a, 35), in suggesting a division of Strongylus, placed both S. equinus and S. ovinus in the Sclerostoma group, thus indicating a transfer of Strongylus s. st. to the newer forms, for which, by the way, another generic name (Uncinaria) was at that time known to Rudolphi to be available. De Blainville (1828a) carried out Rudolphi's suggestion, definitely separating the two genera, and the generic name Strongulus is now generally used for a group of

worms—namely, for the *Strongylus contortus* group (see *Hæmonchus*)—which is allied to but quite different from the original type.

In cases of this kind the policy to be followed seems not to admit of any hesitation. One should immediately revert to the original type, returning S. equinus to the genus Strongylus.

Such action will probably not meet with the approval of those who oppose the Law of Priority, but consistency certainly demands a uniform application of the principle involved.

2. Genera Originally Published with Only One Valid Species, but also with One or More Species Inquirends.

In several cases authors have published a genus with only one species which they recognized as valid, but they have added to the genus one or more species which they looked upon as *species inquirendæ*. Two views might be advanced regarding such cases:

First, it might be maintained that since the author was in doubt regarding the validity of certain species, but not regarding one species, he must have had the one valid species especially in mind in proposing the genus, while the insertion of the doubtful species was an afterthought. Such an interpretation would very probably cover the majority of cases, but circumstances can be imagined which would call for a modification of this view. Thus, an author might notice some variation in certain specimens which might lead him to the view that these possibly represented a species distinct from the one he recognized as valid. This second species might, however, contain all the characters he considered as generic and as found in the valid species. In this case the doubtful species might be, in his eyes, just as important, viewed from the generic standpoint, as the valid species. Cases of this kind, however, would probably represent exceptions.

Second, it might be maintained by authors who attach very great importance to "elimination" that if any author selected the valid species (from standpoint of the original author of the genus) as type of a new genus, or transferred it to another genus, the type of the old genus would have to be selected from the species inquirendæ.

Personally we prefer the first interpretation, and would suggest the general adoption of the following:

RULE.—The type of a genus (containing from the standpoint of its author both valid and doubtful species) must never be selected from any species which the original author of genus clearly designated as species inquirendæ at the time of the publication of the genus.

NEMATODE GENERA OF THIS CLASS.

The following genera in this paper come under the class now under discussion:

Cosmocerca Diesing, 1861a, 645; type by present designation, ornata.
ornata considered valid by Diesing, 1861a, 645.
commutata given as species inquirenda by Diesing, 1861a, 645.

Echinocephalus Molin, 1858, 154; type by present designation, uncinatus. uncinatus considered valid by Molin, 1858, 154. cygni given as species inquirenda by Molin, 1858, 154.

Proleptus Dujardin, 1845a, 105; type by present designation, acutus. acutus Dujardin, 1845a, 105; only positive species. obtusus Dujardin, 1845a, 105; given by Dujardin as doubtful.

Thominx Dujardin, 1845a, 22–23; type by present designation, manica.

manica Dujardin, 1845a, 22–23; only positive species.

tridens Dujardin, 1845a, 22–23; given as doubtful.

Cases of this kind should not be confused with cases like *Strongylus*, where the genus was distinctly based upon one species, described, discussed, and in some cases figured, but where the author incidentally mentioned that some one found another (unnamed, undescribed, and unfigured) congeneric species.

In addition to the ruling on the four genera given above, it may be mentioned that in all four cases, page precedence, if adopted, would call for the same four species, respectively, as type; further, Cosmocerca is a doubtful homonym; uncinatus could also be construed as type by virtual tautonymy. It is possible that Thominx should be considered as a case under the rule of doubtfully referred species (tridens) instead of species inquirendæ.

3. Genera Originally Published with a Species Definitely Designated as
Type (Type by Original Designation).

RULE.—When in the original publication of a genus one of the species is definitely designated as type, this species should be accepted as type, regardless of any other considerations.

Thus, genus X-us, 1890, originally published with the following species:

albus, 1890, specifically designated as type. niger, 1885, type of genus Y-us, 1885. flavidus, 1890, type of Z-us, 1900. minutus, 1880, not known to be a type. radiatus, 1875, doubtful species.

If an author definitely designates a given species as type, he selects a form which expresses his standard of reference for the genus. If any other species is subsequently selected as standard of reference, such selection is theoretically equivalent to the proposition of a new genus, which may or may not be considered identical with the original genus. Practically, the second selection is therefore, in many cases, at least, the proposition of a stillborn homonym; in other cases it involves an erroneous quotation of the original author's intentions. It is clear, therefore, that the acceptance of the originally designated type is in accordance with the law of priority.

Unfortunately, comparatively few of the earlier authors foresaw the necessity of definitely designating types, and to this lack of foresight we may ascribe much of the confusion in nomenclature which has arisen. Helminthologists in general laid little stress upon type species prior to the publication (1898) of the "Inventory of the genera of the trematode family Fasciolidæ." Blanchard in particular should be mentioned as preeminent among helminthologists to insist upon the importance of type species (see particularly his writings on nomenclature), while even such eminent men as Rudolphi, Dujardin, Diesing, Molin, Leuckart, and others paid little or no attention to this important part of the generic diagnosis.

#### ROUNDWORM GENERA WITH TYPES BY ORIGINAL DESIGNATION.

Exclusive of those cases where an author has intentionally renamed a monotypical genus (to which other species may later have been added), and exclusive of the cases where the specific name typicus or typus has been used, there are only about ten instances in roundworm genera in which the author of a genus (originally containing several species) has definitely determined a type by original designation, namely:

Anoplostoma Buetschli, 1874b (viviparum).

Bunostomum Railliet, 1902 (trigonocephalum).

Desmodora de Man, 1889 (communis). Euchromadora de Man, 1886 (vulgaris). Gongylonema Molin, 1857 (minimum). Heterakis Dujardin, 1845a (vesicularis). Leptosomatum Bastian, 1865 (elongatum). Monoposthia de Man, 1889 (costata).

Neoechinorhynchus Hamann, 1905 (clavæ-ceps).

Stenolaimus Marion, 1870 (lepturus).

In connection with the genera whose types were determined by original designation, it may be well to note the following hypothetical case as example of instances which are not uncommon:

X-us, 1890, with the species albus, 1890, type by original designation.

Let us assume that Dr. A, in 1895, suppresses X-us as a synonym of Y-us, 1885, type niger. If later Dr. B, in 1900, separates X. albus generically from Y. niger, reinstituting the genus X-us, albus must of course remain the type of X-us. This ruling is in accord with various codes, and appears to have been first formulated in the B. A. Code (see above, p. 14).

Other cases, slightly more complicated, will be referred to under another section.

4. Type by Original Implication Through Use of the Specific Name typicus or typus.

RULE.—If in the original publication of a genus, typicus or typus is used as a new specific name for one of the species, such use shall be construed as "type by original designation."

The canon here formulated agrees, so far as we have been able to discover, with the customs adopted by systematists both in zoology and botany. Its adoption will probably meet with general approval.

The following cases of this kind occur among nematode genera:

NEMATODE GENERA WITH TYPE DETERMINED BY USE OF SPECIFIC NAME typicus.

Allodapa Diesing, 1861 (typica=allodapa); also monotypical; also type by absolute tautonymy.

Conocephalus Diesing, 1861 (typicus); also monotypical.

Dipeltis Cobb, 1891 (typicus); also type by original intention of the author (personal letter).

Tylolaimophorus de Man, 1880; also monotypical.

Incidentally it may be noticed that Allodapa, Conocephalus, and Tylolaimophorus are monotypical, hence no other species could be taken as types of these genera; further, typica=allodapa would be type by absolute tautonymy.

Dipeltis represents an interesting case; it contained

minor, new species, which would be type if "page precedence" were adopted blindly:

cirrhatus which is type of Discophora, 1875 (monotypical, and homonym [1836]); and

typicus construed as type by original designation. In this case, accordingly, the last not the first species is type.

There is a further justification (if such were considered necessary) for selecting typicus as type of Dipeltis. Suppose cirrhatus were taken as type by inclusion; we should then have a species of Diveltis (which should be used instead of Discophora, 1875, preoccupied in 1836) with the name typicus yet not type of the genus, and this might lead to later confusion. The same would apply if minor were selected on the basis of page precedence.

It can not be said that this method of indicating a type (by naming a species typicus) is free from criticism, since it is likely to give rise to confusion in future changes of classification. Thus, Conocephalus typicus, 1861, has been placed in the genus Ascaris and is now Ascaris

typica, yet it is not the type of the genus Ascaris, 1758.

Although, according to the Law of Priority, the name typicus must hold (other things being equal) for the many species for which it has been proposed, it will be well to avoid its use for new species in the future. Hence the

RECOMMENDATION.—It is well to avoid the introduction of the names typicus or typus as new names for species or subspecies, since such names are always liable to result in later confusion.

For the specific names communis, medicinalis, officinalis, and vulgaris, see p. 64.

5. Type by Absolute Tautonymy.

RULE .- If a genus, without designated type, contains among its original species one possessing the generic name as its specific or subspecific name, either as valid name or synonym, that species or subspecies becomes ipso facto type of the genus.

Thus, let the genus X-us, 1890, without designated type, contain the species albus, niger, and x-us. The species x-us becomes type of X-us by absolute tautonymy.

There seem to be few principles in nomenclature which are inherently more sensible than this one. Further, this canon corresponds with the historic development of not an inconsiderable number of generic names. Many groups originally recognized as of specific value by earlier authors have been elevated to generic rank and the original specific name has been taken as the generic name. Mephitis mephitis, Putorius putorius, and Trutta trutta, represent familiar examples. Among the trematodes, Heterophyes heterophyes, is known. In these combinations, the words putorius, trutta, and heterophyes, in addition to being specific names, practically mean Putorius par excellence, Trutta par excellence, Heterophyes par excellence, which expressions carry with them the idea of "type species."

As other cases of this kind may be mentioned: Anhinga anhinga, Bison bison, Buteo buteo, Cardinalis cardinalis, Coturnix coturnix, Crex crex, Glis glis, Gulo gulo, Histrionicus histrionicus, Lutra lutra,

Meles meles, etc.

Many earlier authors were opposed to tautonymic names, and on this account a new specific name was introduced when an old specific name was raised to generic rank. Thus, Cobbold was evidently influenced by this opposition, enunciated in the Stricklandian Code, when he changed the name Distoma heterophyes to Heterophyes ægyptiaca. Diesing, wishing to recognize a distinct genus for Oxyuris allodapa, was evidently influenced by the same view when he named the genus Allodapa, adopting typica as specific name.

While Cobbold was opposed to tautonymy, he did not follow the rule of the Stricklandian Code as to the manner in which tautonymy

should be avoided.

In later years, tautonymy is admitted as permissible, and some authors, in fact, deliberately proposed tautonymic combinations. It certainly has its advantages. Personally we strongly favor the intentional formation of tautonyms, as such combinations aid in recalling

the type species.

It seems that the principle of type by tautonymy must have been in the minds of the framers of the B. A. Code when they wrote: "A specific name, or its synonyms, will also often serve to point out the particular species which by implication must be regarded as the original type of the genus." It was definitely formulated in the German and English recommendations of the Committee's report on the International Code (see above, pp. 15, 23). It has also been formally adopted by a number of prominent systematists (see Science, N. Y., n. s., v. 16, 114–115, July 18, 1902), particularly in vertebrate zoology. We unreservedly declare in favor of its consistent adoption.

#### CASES OF TYPE BY ABSOLUTE TAUTONYMY.

The following cases, mentioned in this paper, may be taken as examples of "type by absolute tautonymy:"

Allodapa Diesing, 1861 (typica Diesing, 1861 = allodapa Creplin, 1853, renamed); also monotypical; also type by use of name typicus.

Angiostoma Dujardin, 1845a (*limacis*, 1845 = angiostoma, 1866); also type by designation of Schneider, 1866, 148.

Anguillula Mueller, 1786 (glutinis, 1783 = anguillula, 1773, renamed = redivirum, 1758, renamed); Bastian, 1865c, 110, has designated A. aceti as type of Anguillula; see special discussion below, p. 34.

Anthuris Rudolphi, 1819 (anthuris); see special discussion, p. 48.

Capsularia Zeder, 1800 (salaris, 1790, renamed capsularia, 1802; halecis, 1790, renamed capsularia, 1802; page precedence calls for salaris = capsularia).

Chaos Linneus, 1767 (chaos, 1758 = protheus, 1767).

Cystidicola Fischer, 1798 (farionis, 1798 = cystidicola, 1801); also monotypical.

Of the special nematode cases cited above, no author can possibly object to the ruling on *Allodapa* and *Cystidicola*, since *allodapa* is type also because of use of the word *typica* and since *Allodapa* and *Cystidicola* are monotypical.

Doubts may, however, arise in the minds of some authors as to Angiostoma, Anguillula, Capsularia, and Chaos; hence a discussion of these cases seems advisable.

#### CASE OF Angiostoma DUJARDIN, 1845.

Angiostoma was proposed with two species, entomelas and limacis. Schneider (1866, 157) referred limacis to Leptodera as L. angiostoma, thus giving an implied case of absolute tautonymy, on basis of which we should rule that limacis is type of Angiostoma. Schneider (1866, 148) also appears to have designated limacis as type of Angiostoma, for he says: "\* \* zwei von Dujardin zuerst beschriebene Species, deren jede bei ihm zugleich eine Gattung vertritt, \* \* \*, Leptodera flexilis und Angiostoma limacis \* \* \*." Accordingly, limacis would seem to be type by Schneider's designation as well as by absolute tautonymy.

#### CASE OF Anguillula MUELLER, 1786.

The case of Anguillula is somewhat complicated. The name Vibrio anguillula was proposed by Mueller, 1773, to contain certain worms found "in glutine farinoso et alibi vulgarissimum." Later anguillula was divided, was discarded as a specific name, and reintroduced as a generic name; anguillula thus being raised to generic rank, Anguillula, the species anguillula becomes type by absolute tautonymy of the generic name Anguillula. The history of the species anguillula is, therefore, the important factor in determining the present (restricted) form which should serve as type.

Mueller, 1773, included *Chaos redivivum* Linnæus, 1767, 1326 (which was proposed for certain worms "in aceto et glutine bibliopegorum"), in the synonymy of *Vibrio anguillula*; hence *anguillula*, 1773, equals *redivivum*, 1767, renamed, and was therefore not justified; accordingly the form to which *anguillula*, 1773, becomes confined should take *redivivum* as its specific name, and *anguillula* should fall into synonymy.

In 1774, Geze advanced the view that the vinegar eel (aceti, 1783) was distinct from the Kleister eel (glutinis, 1783), but he does not appear to have named the species. Mueller (1776, 281) indicated concurrence in Geze's view, and later (1783, 161–163) recognized four species, namely:

Vibrio fluvialis (the "Anguille vulgaire" of Rozier, 1775); V. aceti (the "anguille du vinaigre" = vinegar eel);

V. glutinis (the "Kleisterælchen," to which Mueller now confined Chaos redivirum
Linnæus, 1767 = Vibrio anguillula Mueller, 1773 [see above]); and

V. marinus.

From the facts as thus far given it is clear that *glutinis*, 1783, is the lineal descendant of *anguillula*, 1773, seu *redivivum*, 1767.

The next work of importance is Mueller, 1786, 63 (Animalcula Infusoria), which is not accessible to us. According to Gmelin (1790a, 3900–3901) and Sherborn (1902, 1077), *Anguillula* was proposed by Mueller, 1786, 63, with four species, namely:

Anguillula "O. F. Mueller, 1786, 63."

aceti (Mueller, 1783) Mueller, 1786, 63 [(=Chaos redivivum Linnæus, 1767, 1326, in part) = (Vibrio anguillula Mueller, 1773, 41, in part) = Vibrio aceti Mueller, 1783; to Gordius by Oken, 1815, 191; to Rhabditis by Dujardin, 1845; to Anguillula by Diesing, 1851; type of Anguillula by Bastian, 1865c, 110].

fluviatilis Mueller, 1786, 65 [= Vibrio fluvialis Mueller, 1783, 161].

glutinis (Mueller, 1783) Mueller, 1786, 64 [= Vibrio anguillula Mueller, 1773, 41, renamed = Chaos redivivum Linnæus, 1767, renamed (the latter definitely confined to glutinis by Mueller, 1783, 162) = Vibrio glutinis Mueller, 1783, 162; to Gordius by Oken, 1815, 191; to Rhabditis by Dujardin, 1845].

marina (Mueller, 1783) Mueller, 1786, 163 [= Vibrio marinus Mueller, 1783, 163; as type of Enchelidium by Ehrenberg, 1836. See also under Enchelidium

and Pontonema in Bastian, 1865c, 140, 174].

In Anguillula Mueller, 1786, there is a species glutinis, 1783, with anguillula, 1773, as synonym, hence (see above) anguillula, 1773, is type by tautonymy of Anguillula, 1786; but as anguillula, 1773, equals redivivum, 1767, renamed, this latter name, in its emended sense—namely, as equal to glutinis, 1783—should stand as type species of Anguillula, 1786. The correct name for the "Kleisterælchen" is thus seen to be Anguillula rediviva (Linnæus, 1767) Stiles & Hassall, 1905.

Later authors have overlooked the fact that Mueller's own writings definitely fixed the type of *Anguillula*, as will be seen from the following complications which have arisen:

Oken (1815) transferred aceti and glutinis to Gordius, leaving fluviatilis and marina as the remaining original species of Anguillula, and since marina is type of Enchelidium, 1836, fluviatilis, 1786 (equals fluvialis, 1783), ought to have been taken as type (by elimination) of Anguillula by any author who overlooked the facts given above relative to glutinis.

In 1828, Hemprich & Ehrenberg proposed *Anguillula* as a new genus, to contain the following species:

Anguillula Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828, Phytozoa entozoa, not paged, as new genus, containing 5 species:

fluviatilis (Mueller, 1786) Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828, pl. 2, figs. 8, 13. 3 Q Includes Vibrio fluvialis Mueller, 1783.

inflexa Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828, pl. 1, fig. 12. Includes Vibrio niloticus. coluber (Mueller, 1786) Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828. Sexes not given. Includes Vibrio coluber Mueller.

recticauda Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828. Sexes not given. dongalana Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828, pl. 1, fig. 13.

Later, in discussing *Vibrio*, Ehrenberg (1838a, 82–83) gave the following species of *Vibrio* as members of the genus *Anguillula*:

Anguillula aceti, including Vibrio aceti.

A. glutinis, including Vibrio glutinis; V. ministerialis given as possible synonym.

A. fluviatilis, including Vibrio anguillula, V. fluvialis, and V. lacustris.

Vibrio agrostris Steinbuch.

V. dongalanus.

V. tritici Steinbuch.

Dujardin (1845a, 239) pointed out that Anguillula Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a, differed in material characters from aceti and glutinis. He preserved Enchelidium Ehrenberg, 1836, for Anguillula marina; he also retained Anguillula for the five species mentioned by Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a; and he proposed Rhabditis to contain R. terricola (designated type by Bastian), R. aceti (Vibrio aceti), R. tritici, all of which he examined, and R. glutinis (Vibrio glutinis equals Anguillula rediviva [type of Anguillula, 1786]), which he does not state that he had examined, and which he was not aware was a type.

Thus, from our point of view, Dujardin used Anguillula in an incorrect sense, namely, not in accordance with Mueller's writings. Further, his Rhabditis, 1845, contained the type (glutinis) of an earlier genus, and under ordinary circumstances this would be "type by inclusion" for Rhabditis; but under the circumstances it is perhaps best to accept Bastian's interpretation that terricola is type of Rhabditis. (See p. 45.)

Diesing (1851a) returned aceti, glutinis, and tritici [as graminearum] to Anguillula, while he placed terricola in Angiostomum, thus eliminating all of the species from Rhabditis which Dujardin had placed in this genus.

Bastian (1865c, 110) definitely designated aceti as type of Anguillula "since this appears to have been so regarded by Ehrenberg." Bastian's reasoning in this case meets with the serious objection, however, that aceti was not one of the original species of Anguillula new genus Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828; hence, that it could not under any circumstances be type of "Anguillula Ehrenberg." Bastian further includes in "Anguillula Ehrenberg" A. glutinis (which he was unable to examine); also A. fluviatilis, which he looks upon as an "altogether doubtful animal," which "may perhaps belong to the genus Plectus;" and several other species.

According to Minot, "the true name of the vinegar eel is Leptodera oxyphila, but most authors still call them Anguillula aceti. \* \* \* The same worm apparently appears in fermenting starch paste, although the starch worm has received a different specific name,

L. glutinis."

Authors differ in opinion regarding the identity of aceti and glutinis. If they are specifically identical, then our interpretation of glutinis as type of Anguillula, 1786, amounts to practically the same (from a systematic point of view) as Bastian's interpretation that aceti is type of "Anguillula Ehrenberg," although he and we have argued upon different premises; if aceti and glutinis are not specifically identical, but are so closely allied that authors are in doubt as to their exact status, then they will probably be at least congeneric, and our interpretation that glutinis is type of Anguillula, 1786, will not materially alter the present classification so far as these two species are concerned.

## CASE OF Capsularia ZEDER, 1800.

Capsularia is a much less complicated case. It was proposed with two species—salaris and halecis. In 1802, Rudolphi renamed both of these species capsularia, placing the first in Ascaris, the second in Filaria. The choice is therefore open to select either as type of Capsularia, and on basis of page precedence, salaris may be taken as type. This also agrees with elimination, as halecis was afterward (1824) placed (in part) in Filocapsularia communis.

It may be noted that in the case of Anguillula the specific name existed before the generic name was used; in fact, the species was raised to generic rank. In Capsularia and Angiostoma the generic names were later reduced to specific rank. Cystidicola also represents a case in which the generic name was later reduced to specific rank.

CASE OF Chaos LINNÆUS, 1767.

Volvox chaos Linnæus (1758a, 821; 1760, 821) was based directly upon Rœsel's (1755) Der kleine Proteus (Insecten-Belustigung, Nürnberg, v. 3, 622–624, pl. 101, figs. A–T), with the diagnosis "V[olvox] polymorpho-mutabilis. Habitat in aquis dulcibus. Forma propria destitutus omnes anomalas assumens et citissime immutans, Proteo incostantior." In 1767, chaos was raised to generic rank, as follows:

Chaos Linnæus, 1767, 1326, with five species:

redivirum Linnæus, 1767, renamed Vibrio anguillula, 1773, confined to Anguil-

lula glutinis, 1783 = type of Anguillula, 1786.

protheus Linnæus, 1767 (Volvox chaos, 1758, renamed, and specifically based upon Rosel's, 1755, Der kleine Proteus, pl. 101, figs. A–T, and Lederm., micr., 88, f. 48; with the diagnosis "C[haos] gelatinosum polymorphomutabile. Habitat in aquis dulcibus. Figura propria determinataque nulla, assumens citatissime figuras millenas anomalas" = type of Amiba, 1822.

fungorum Linnæus, 1767. ustilago Linnæus, 1767. infusorium Linnæus, 1767.

It is clear that the original specific name *chaos*, 1758, was raised to generic rank, *Chaos*, 1767, and the new Linnæan specific name *protheus*, 1767 (= *proteus* Pallas, 1766), introduced. Here we have a clear case of type by absolute tautonymy, the correct name being *Chaos chaos* [1].

Amiba Bory, 1822a (later changed to Ameba Ehrenberg, 1830a, and still later changed to Ameba), was proposed with the same species (= Chaos chaos) as type: "Le type du genre est le Protée de Mueller, que ce savant forma d'un animalcule découvert par Rœsel."

In a recent discussion on nomenclature one author has referred to the possibility of reviving the generic name *Chaos*, and from the context of his article it would appear that he would not approve of such a course upon the premises then known to him. The premises as given in the foregoing, however, were probably unknown to him.

This generic name is here unhesitatingly revived, both as generic and specific. It has as clear a standing in nomenclature as has any name ever used by Linnaus; it was based upon the same species as Amiba, Amaba, or Ameba, and no one who does not object to Amiba, Amaba, or Ameba can logically object to Chaos as generic name; no one who does not object to proteus or protheus can logically object to chaos as specific name.

A storm of objection because of this action can easily be foreseen, but there need be no fear for the ultimate adoption of *Chaos chaos*. This case will afford excellent material for sarcastic criticism on the part of authors who disapprove of consistency in nomenclatural matters.

If any author objects on principle to type by absolute tautonymy, he might interpret *Chaos* in either of two other ways:

First, he might rule by page precedence that redivivum is the type. In this instance he would have to take Chaos, 1767, into consideration as competitive with Anguillula, 1786; or

Second, he might rule by elimination that since redivivum has been transferred to Anguillula and since protheus = chaos is type of Amiba, the type of Chaos should be selected from fungorum, ustilago, and infusorium. See, however, the Linnæan rule, p. 64.

### 6. Type by Virtual Tautonymy.

RECOMMENDATION.—If a genus, without designated type, contains among its original species one possessing as specific or subspecific name, either as valid name or synonym, a name which is virtually the same as the generic name, or of the same origin or same meaning, preference should be shown to that species in designating the type, unless such preference is strongly contraindicated by other factors.

Under type by *absolute* tautonymy are here classified such cases in which the generic and specific names are *literatim* identical. Under type by *virtual* tautonymy are here included those cases in which the specific name is taken as basis for the generic name, or vice versa.

It must be admitted that the latter cases are not always entirely free from individual interpretation, but the following cases mentioned in this paper seem to admit of no doubt:

Capillaria Zeder, 1800; capillaris Rudolphi, 1809.

Trichuris Rederer & Wagler, 1761; trichiura Linnæus, 1771; also monotypical.

Viscosia de Man, 1890; viscosus Bastian, 1865 [de Man has written us that he based the name Viscosia upon the name viscosus and that the latter should be taken as type of the former].

Next comes a class of cases in regard to which it seems to us equally clear what should be done, but opinion will doubtless differ among various authors. Reference is made to cases in which two different words with identical or practically identical meaning are used as generic and specific names. Such cases are often the result of a dislike on the part of many authors to the use of tautonymic combinations. Two instances of this class occur in the present paper.

Echinocephalus Molin, 1858; uncinatus Molin, 1858; also type because it is the only original valid species, see p. 29.

Heterocheilus Diesing, 1839; heterolobus Diesing, 1838=tunicatus Diesing, 1839; also monotypical.

As there are other grounds besides virtual tautonymy for selecting uncinatus and heterolobus as types of Echinocephalus and Heterocheilus, respectively, no author can validly object to using virtual tautonymy as additional reason for such selection.

As other instances of what are considered type by virtual tautonymy, may be mentioned: Bos taurus, Sphærostoma globiporum, Capra hirous, Equus caballus, Ovis aries, Scomber scombrus, Sus scrofa, or Sus porcus.

"Type by absolute tautonymy" we accept as a rigid rule; "type by virtual tautonymy" we accept at present as a recommendation, to be followed unless strongly contraindicated.

As instances in which "type by virtual tautonymy" seems to be strongly contraindicated, the following may be mentioned:

Dipetalonema Diesing, 1861a. This generic name is clearly based upon the specific name Filaria dipetala Molin, 1858. Of this species, however, only the male was known, and unfortunately only a single specimen.

Dicheilonema Diesing, 1861a, equals subsection Dicheilostomi, 1851, represents another case in which type by virtual tautonymy (bilabiata) is contraindicated, because of lack of details concerning this species.

Dacnitis Dujardin, 1845a, seems open to doubt. The species esuriens might be interpreted as a case of virtual tautonymy, but the genus included Pleurorhynchus, 1786, and Dujardin knew that he was proposing a new name for a group for which he was aware that an older generic name was, from his point of view, available. Unless it is interpreted that esuriens represents an indication of type (see Dujardin, 1845a, 268, 270) by virtual tautonymy, it would be our view that spherocephala is type by inclusion.

## 7. Types of Renamed Genera.

RULE.—In case a generic name, without designated type, is proposed as a substitute for another generic name, with or without type, the type of either when established becomes ipso facto type of the other.

It occasionally occurs that an author uses two names for the same genus in the same paper. One of these may be used in the list of genera, the other in the list of species. In some cases it is evident that, for one cause or another, he intentionally introduced a second name; in others it is only evident that the two names are used in identically the same sense. No objection seems possible in these cases to interpreting the genera as representing identical groups, and as they are absolute synonyms, they should take the same type. As cases of this kind, mentioned in this paper, the following may be cited:

Anthuris Rudolphi, 1819a, and Spiroptera Rudolphi, 1819a.
Enoplus Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 233, 653, and Tricontus Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653.
Hurucula Pallas, 1760, 1768, and Temiola Pallas, 1760, 1768.
Laphyetes Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653, and Rictularia Freelich, 1802, see Dujardin, 1845a, 280, 653.

Leptoderes Dujardin, 1845a, 2, 653, and Leptodera Dujardin, 1845a, 108, 653. Rhabditis Dujardin, 1845a, 239, 653, and Tribactis Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653. Schizocheilonema Diesing, 1861a, 621, 710, and Tricheilonema Diesing, 1861a, 710.

In some instances an author has published a genus and has republished it under another name in the same or in a later paper, with or without additional species; for example:

Arynchus Shipley, 1896 [not Dejean, 1834], renamed Apororhynchus Shipley, 1899.
Asconema Leuckart, 1886, renamed Atractonema Leuckart, 1887, because of Askonema Kent, 1870.

Cephalonema Cobb, 1893 [not Stimps., ante 1882], renamed Nanonema Cobb, 1905. Ctenocephalus Linstow, 1904 [not Kol., 1857], renamed Tetradenos Linstow, 1904. Cystocephalus Railliet, 1895 [not Léger, 1892], renamed Characostomum Railliet, 1902.

Fimbria Cobb, 1894 [not Bohadsch, 1761], renamed Fimbrilla Cobb, 1905.

Hoplocephalus Linstow, 1898 [not Cuvier, 1829], renamed Echinonema Linstow, 1898.Lobocephalus Diesing, 1838, renamed Heterocheilus Diesing, 1839.

Neorhynchus Hamann, 1892 [not Sclater, 1869], renamed Neoechinorhynchus Hamann, 1905.

Pelodytes Schneider, 1860 [not Fitz., ante 1846], renamed Pelodera Schneider, 1866. Pseudonymus Diesing, 1857, renamed Ptychocephalus Diesing, 1861.

Triodontus Looss, 1900 [not Westwood, 1845], renamed Triodontophorus Looss, 1902. Tropisurus Diesing, 1835, renamed Tropidocerca Diesing, 1851.

The question as to whether the author placed additional species in the genus in the second paper might influence some systematists in judging the case, though it is difficult to see how this factor comes into consideration.

In still other cases it is not the original author but a later writer who has intentionally renamed the genus, as

Acuaria Bremser, 1811, renamed Anthuris and Spiroptera Rudolphi, 1819.

Ascaris Linnaeus, 1758, renamed Fusaria Zeder, 1800.

Capillaria Zeder, 1800, renamed Trichosoma Rudolphi, 1819.

Ctenocephalus Linstow, 1904, renamed Tanqua R. Blanchard, 1904. Cyathostomum Molin, 1861, renamed Cylichnostomum Looss, 1902.

Dioctophyme Collet-Meygret, 1802, renamed Eustrongylus Diesing, 1851.

Globocephalus Molin, 1861, renamed Cystocephalus Railliet, 1895.

Gnathostoma Owen, 1836, renamed Cheiracanthus Diesing, 1838, 1839.

Hamularia Treutler, 1793, renamed Tentacularia Zeder, 1800.

Heterodera Schmidt, 1871, renamed Heterobolbus Railliet, 1896.

Rhabdonema Leuckart, 1883, renamed Rhabdias Stiles & Hassall, 1905.

Spironoura Leidy, 1856, renamed Spirura Diesing, 1861. Trichina Owen, 1835, renamed Trichinella Railliet, 1895.

Trichodes Linstow, 1874, renamed Trichosomoides Railliet, 1895.

Trichuris Ræderer & Wagler, 1761, renamed Trichocephalos Gœze, 1782, Trichocephalos Schrank, 1788, and Mastigodes Zeder, 1800.

Tropisurus Diesing, 1835, renamed Tetrameres Creplin, 1846.

All of the cases cited under renamed genera, together with certain other cases, may be interpreted under the head of type by inclusion.

#### 8. Type by Inclusion.

RULE.—If an author proposes a genus, without designating a type, and includes among the original species [i. e., the valid species from his standpoint] the determined type of an earlier genus, such type becomes ipso facto the type of the new genus.

Thus, let X-us, 1890, proposed without designation of a type, include the following species:

albus, 1890, new species.

niger, 1885, type of Y-us, 1885; type of X-us by inclusion.

In discussing this proposition with systematists, we find a wide difference of opinion. Some workers consider it altogether too extreme; others consider it inherently just.

The general idea of type by inclusion seems to have been first *suggested but not distinctly formulated* in the Stricklandian Code (see above p. 14 " for if the later includes the type of the earlier genus, it would be canceled by the operation of § 4").

The cases which come under consideration in this connection naturally fall into several groups.

In regard to the cases first to be mentioned the types are or may be definitely determined by other principles as well as by inclusion:

Characostomum Railliet, 1902, 109; monotypical, and mucronatum is in addition type by original designation; Characostomum=Globocephalus (monotypical; mucronatum) and Cystocephalus (monotypical; mucronatum) renamed. Thus, Characostomum contains the type of two earlier monotypical names, and it is itself monotypical and in addition has its type determined by original designation.

Cylichnostomum Looss, 1902, 86; type tetracanthum; Cylichnostomum is a new name proposed for Cyathostomum, which is monotypical (tetracanthus).

Cystocephalus Railliet, 1895; type longemucronatus; also monotypical and equals a monotypical genus, Globocephalus, renamed.

Echinonema Linstow, 1898; type cinctum; monotypical and equals a monotypical genus, Hoplocephalus, renamed.

Fimbrilla Cobb, 1905; monotypical and is proposed as new name for Fimbria, which is also monotypical.

Heterocheilus Diesing, 1839; type tunicatus=heterolobus; monotypical and equals a monotypical genus, Lobocephalus, renamed; also type by virtual tautonymy.

Laphyctes Dujardin, 1845a; type cristata; monotypical and equals a monotypical genus, Rictularia, renamed.

Lepturis Schlotthauber, 1860; type curvula; monotypical; the only species is type of an earlier monotypical genus, Oxyuris.

Mastigodes Zeder, 1800; type hominis = trichiura; Mastigodes was distinctly proposed as new name for an earlier, monotypical genus, Trichuris.

Pelodera Schneider, 1866; type strongyloides; Pelodera equals the monotypical genus Pelodytes Schneider, 1860 [not Fitz., ante 1846], renamed; strongyloides would be type by page precedence also.

Pseudorhabditis Perroncito, 1881; type stercoralis; monotypical, the only species being type of an earlier monotypical genus, Strongyloides, 1879.

Ptychocephalus Diesing, 1861; type spirotheca; monotypical; also equals an earlier monotypical genus, Pseudonymus, 1851, renamed.

Tanqua R. Blanchard, 1904; monotypical; also equals an earlier monotypical genus renamed.

Tentacularia Zeder, 1800; type subcompressa, 1803 = lymphatica, 1793, renamed; Tentacularia was given as a new name for the monotypical genus Hamularia, 1793; in 1803, Zeder added a second species; subcompressa would also be type if page precedence were followed.

Tetrameres Creplin, 1846 = the monotypical genus Tropisurus Diesing, 1835, renamed. Trichinella Railliet, 1895; type spiralis; monotypical and further equals a mono-

typical genus Trichina, 1835 [not 1830], renamed.

Trichocephalos Gœze, 1782; type trichiura; Trichocephalos is an earlier monotypical genus Trichuris, 1761, renamed; the whipworm of man would also be type by page precedence.

Trichosomoides Railliet, 1895; type crassicauda; this is a new name for the mono-

typical genus Trichodes, 1874 [not 1782].

Tropidocerca Diesing, 1851; type paradoxa; this is a new name for the monotypical Tropisurus, 1835 [not 1824], and Tetrameres, 1846; and is itself monotypical.

Slightly more complicated cases may next be given:

Cochlus Zeder, 1803, is a new name which Zeder proposed for Gazia, 1800, because Rudolphi objected to naming worms after men. It is clear, therefore, that Cochlus, 1803, equals Gazia deliberately renamed, hence the type of Gazia should be taken as the type of Cochlus. Neither genus is monotypical, nor was a type originally designated. In 1800, Zeder mentioned two species:

[Cucullanus ascaroides Gœze, 1782] examined by Zeder. Rudolphi 1801, 57, named

it Gæzia armata.

Gazia inermis Zeder, 1800, examined by Zeder. Rudolphi, 1801, transferred this species to Liorhynchus; Zeder, 1803, transferred it back to Cochlus.

If page precedence were followed, armata would be type of Gazia; and if elimination were followed strictly, armata would be type by elimination in 1801. Zeder, 1800a, 98, says: "Da nun der Gœze'sche Rundwurm [armata] aus dem Welse mit mehreren Eingeweidewürmern von verschiedenen Gattungen verwandt zu sehn scheint, ohne jedoch die karakteristischen Kennzeichen einer Gattung ganz zu tragen; so nahm ich um so weniger Anstand ihn in einer eigenen Gattung aufzustellen, indem mein verehrungswürdiger Lehrer Herr Prof. Schrank [1788, 98] schon lange hiezu Winke gegeben hat. Und diesen Schritt rechtfertigt gewiss eine Entdeckung, welche ich im vorigen Jahre gemacht habe."

From this quotation it seems clear that it was armata which came into prime consideration in establishing Gazia, and since, further, such an interpretation agrees with page priority, and in 1801 with elimination, we construe armata as type of Gazia; since, now, Cochlus is simply a new generic name for Gazia we construe the same species as type of Gazhus.

Nematoxys Schneider, 1866, contained the same two species (and no other) which were the two and only original species of the genus Cosmocerca, 1861. No valid objection can therefore arise to the ruling that Nematoxys, 1866, is identical with Cosmocerca, 1861. In both cases, if page precedence were followed, ornata would be type. As Diesing, 1861a, gave commutata as species inquirenda, it would appear that ornata should be taken as type of Cosmocerca. Having now two

identical genera, one of which has a natural type, we see no possible objection to ruling that *ornata* is type of *Nematoxys* by inclusion. For the possibility of designating *commutata* as type, see under *Sclerostoma*, page 44.

Sclerostoma Rudolphi, 1809, was a subdivision of Strongylus, containing two species of Strongylus, namely:

equinus, which is type of the monotypical genus Strongylus, and, if page precedence were followed, type of Sclerostoma.

dentatus, which was transferred to Œsophagostomum by Molin, 1861, where it has since remained and of which we have in this paper designated it as type.

According to the present status, equinus might be type of Sclerostoma either by page precedence or by elimination, and the principle

of type by inclusion gives the same result.

In the case of Nematoxys, cited above, some authors might be inclined to argue that since ornata is type of Cosmocerca, commutata should be taken as type of Nematoxys. If this same argument were applied to Sclerostoma, and dentatus made its type, then the present Esophagostomum would have to be revised, since E. dentatum would be type of an earlier genus. It is thus seen that the principle of type by inclusion settles the case in a less complicated manner.

Spirura Diesing, 1861a, contains all of the original species (and no other) of Spironoura; it is distinctly a deliberate renaming of Spironoura, and the two genera being absolutely identical it can work no hardship to rule that whatever type is selected for Spironoura should also serve as type of Spirura. If page precedence were followed, gracile would be type in both cases. If Spirura is interpreted as an emendation of Spironoura, no question can arise against selecting the same species as type of both genera.

We now come to several still more complicated cases:

Cheiracanthus Diesing, 1838, 1839, contained two species:

robustus Diesing, for which Diesing gave Gnathostoma hispidum as probable synonym (Gnathostoma is monotypical).

gracilis Diesing.

In this case Diesing knew that he was renaming an earlier monotypical genus; considering robustus and hispidum as probably identical specifically, he had no grounds for considering that Cheiracanthus was not congeneric with Gnathostoma. Page precedence, if followed, would make robustus type of Cheiracanthus. To rule that robustus is type by inclusion seems more satisfactory, since it sets a stamp of disapproval upon such unjustified renaming of preexisting genera.

Dochmius Dujardin, 1845a, represents a case somewhat similar to Dispharagus. Dujardin was well aware of the existence of Uncinaria, 1789, with two species, melis and vulpis, both of which he included in Dochmius. Dujardin's proposition of a new name was therefore a

deliberate renaming of an earlier genus.

It can hardly be advanced against this view that Dujardin's *Dochmius* is essentially different from *Uncinaria*. Aside from *criniformis* [melis as synonym] and trigonocephalus [vulpis as synonym] of Dujardin, he included in this genus D. ursi which he gave as doubtfully distinct species, and as possibly identical with his trigonocephalus; crassus, of which he examined only the female; and tubeformis Zeder, for which he gave a description based upon his own study, but not containing any striking characters which would lead us to assume that it was because of this species that he rejected the name *Uncinaria*.

It was because of the inclusion of *melis* and *vulpis* in *Dochmius* that in 1899 (p. 164) we took *vulpis* as "type by inclusion" for *Dochmius*. For our reasons for taking *vulpis* as type of *Uncinaria*, see page 54.

Fissula Lamarck, 1801, 339, contained two species, namely, intestinatis (Bloch) and cystidicola; cystidicola (= farionis) was the type of an earlier monotypical genus.

Helicothrix Osman Galeb, 1878b, was proposed with four species: spirotheca, upon which two monotypical generic names (Pseudonymus, 1857, and Ptychocephalus, 1861) had already been based; hydrophili;

hydroi; and hydrobii.

Ophiostoma Rudolphi, 1801, was proposed with the species phocæ, globicola, rajæ, and farionis (Cystidicola) as positive and with bifida as probable member of the genus. In the same paper, Rudolphi (p. 62) declared in favor of priority in selecting generic names, but (p. 64) objected to names like Cystidicola based upon the habitat. Ophiostoma, accordingly, appears to be a clear case of renaming the earlier genus, hence should take the same type as the older genus. Later (1809, 124) Rudolphi considers rajæ and globicola species dubiæ and unites (p. 119) phocæ and bifida under the name dispar, retaining cystidicola as valid species. If now objection is raised to making cystidicola "type by inclusion" of Ophiostoma, the only other ruling would be to select phocæ (female dispar in Rudolphi, 1809, 119). From the data stated, a ruling on the principle of type by inclusion seems to be the best method of proceeding.

Rhabditis Dujardin, 1845a, 239, was proposed with four species: terricola, aceti, tritici, and glutinis (type of Anguillula, 1786). From these species (see p. 134) it is seen that if page precedence were followed, terricola would be type of Rhabditis, and this ruling would agree with the action taken by Bastian, 1865c, who retained in Rhabditis only this one of Dujardin's original species; it would also agree with Railliet, 1893a. Diesing, 1851a, the first reviser after Dujardin, eliminated all of Dujardin's original species to other genera, thus totally suppressing Rhabditis. Gervais & van Beneden, 1859b, the next authors we have examined, mention by name only aceti as member of Rhabditis, transferring tritici to Anguillulina. If the principle of the "first reviser" after Diesing were followed, it would be questionable in the minds of some authors whether aceti could be designated as type

on basis of this publication, as it seems clear that the authors admitted other species to the genus. Bastian, 1865c, clearly took terricola as type of Rhabditis, as he eliminated aceti and glutinis to Anguillula and tritici to Tylenchus, and he further speaks of "the typical Rhabditis terricola" which probably refers to terricola as type. Schneider (1866,148) rejected the name Rhabditis on the ground that its relations to Pelodera and Leptodera were so complicated. His P. teres is interpreted by Railliet as synonymous with R. terricola; he eliminated aceti and glutinis to Leptodera and tritici to Anguillula.

Thus, if we try to settle the type of *Rhabditis* on the principle of elimination, the citation of Gervais & van Beneden might be interpreted as a designation of aceti as type, yet this interpretation is by no means free from objection. The exclusion of tritici from further consideration as type, on basis of Gervais & van Beneden, would also be open to question in the minds of some authors. If we adopt unreservedly the principle of type by later designation, as at present provided for by the International Code, Bastian's action of 1865 would settle the point that terricola is the type of Rhabditis; and as stated above, this ruling would agree with the ruling by page precedence.

Rhabditis is thus seen to be the first case in this discussion in connection with which the principle of "type by inclusion" is seriously contraindicated by existing rules; had Bastian not designated terricola as type, we would now designate glutinis as such on the ground of type by inclusion, but in view of Bastian's designation, type by inclusion

is perhaps not admissible in this case. See axiom 2, p. 24.

Trichosoma Rudolphi, 1819a, 13, was deliberately proposed as a new name for Capillaria, 1800, and included both of the original species of Capillaria; both of these species have been retained in Trichosoma by Dujardin (1845a), Diesing (1851a, 1861a), and Stossich (1890). Neither of them appears to have been made the type of other genera, so that the principle of elimination does not seem to come into consideration. If the case is decided on page precedence, brevicolle, 1809, becomes type of Trichosoma. This species is capillaria, 1819, renamed, which is "type by virtual tautonymy" of Capillaria. It would also be "type by inclusion" of Trichosoma.

Triodontophorus Looss, 1902, is Triodontus, 1900 (not 1845), renamed, hence would take the same type; for neither genus was a type origi-

nally named, but Looss has since designated serratus as such.

Helminthologists, after studying the examples given above, will probably admit that the principle of type by inclusion is in accord with the general spirit of the Law of Priority. That it seems Draconian in some cases can not be denied, but it certainly greatly simplifies the method of determining types in not an inconsiderable number of genera and has the great advantage of permitting their determination on the basis of the original publication, thus reducing the number of

cases in which we must have recourse to the still less satisfactory

method of "type by elimination."

It may be advanced against the principle of "type by inclusion" that the included type may be a little known or even an invalid species. If, however, the species was invalid from the standpoint of the author who included it in a later genus, or if he doubtfully referred it to his new genus, it would of course be excluded as type; if on the contrary it was simply a slightly known form, and he still unreservedly included it among his valid species, without showing that he did not consider this species as type, the case still represents a renaming of an earlier genus.

It seems quite clear that a "type by original designation" (see p. 30) should take precedence over a "type by inclusion," since the former is intentional and results from a desire to conform to the rules of nomenclature, while the latter is either an accident or due to ignoring the rules of nomenclature. Accordingly we might have the fol-

lowing case:

X-us, 1890, containing .

albus, 1890, type by original designation, and

niger, 1885, type of Y-us, 1885.

In this case an author who would go so far as to explicitly designate albus as type would probably have adopted Y-us if available had he known of its existence and that niger was its type. It seems but just, therefore, to bind X-us to albus, to stand or fall according to the later history of albus, not only from the point of view that the author of X-us has complied with the requirements of the case, but because of the fact that by such designation the author of X-us has explicitly stated that he considered albus the standard of reference of X-us. Thus a case of this kind would come under the principle enunciated under type by original designation, page 30.

9. GENERA CONTAINING TYPES OF SEVERAL EARLIER GENERA.

RULE.—If a genus without a designated type contains types of two or more earlier genera, the type of the new genus is to be selected from the contained types (the case being the same as a genus with two or more species, according to the number of types in question), unless it can be shown that such procedure is directly contraindicated by the original author's intentions.

Under this heading may be cited one of the most unnecessary

renamings of genera that is known in helminthology.

Prosthecosacter Diesing, 1851a, contained four species, three of which were known to Diesing to contain the types of three monotypical genera:

inflexus contained as synonym filum, type of the monotypical genus Pseudalius, 1845; cited by Diesing.

minor contained as synonym inflexus, type of the monotypical genus Stenurus, 1845; cited by Diesing.

convolutus.

alatus, type of the monotypical genus Pharurus, 1848; cited by Diesing.

Possibly some authors would argue that convolutus, as the only remaining species, not a type, should be selected as type of Prosthecosacter. Not the faintest excuse, however, can be advanced for the generic name Prosthecosacter. Either Pharurus, Pseudalius, or Stenurus should have been used by Diesing, regardless of the correctness of his synonymy. We would suggest minor as type of Prosthecosacter. If, now, Stenurus, 1845, is considered invalid because of Stenura, 1834 (see p. 75), Prosthecosacter can be used in its place.

CASE OF Acuaria, Spiroptera, Anthuris, and Dispharagus.

The genera Acuaria Bremser, 1811a, Spiroptera Rudolphi, 1819a, Anthuris Rudolphi, 1819a, and Dispharagus Dujardin, 1845a, present a very complicated case of nomenclature and should be considered together, since their histories are so intimately connected:

Acuaria was proposed by Bremser, 1811a, 26, with the following short diagnosis: "Vermis teres, elasticus, utrinque attenuatus. Ore papilloso." He did not give any specific names to the 14 supposed species he found, but he gave the hosts in which they occur. These species are:

1=Spiroptera anthuris Rudolphi, 1819a, 25, 3 ♀, reported by Bremser from Corvus, Coracias garrula, and Oriolus galbula. It was taken as basis for the genus Anthuris Rudolphi, 1819a, 244, of which it is type by absolute tautonymy, and also clearly by Rudolphi's original intentions; Anthuris is clearly Acuaria renamed, as admitted by Rudolphi; hence by the rule proposed on page 40 it becomes type of Acuaria, which it would also be in case the ruling were made on basis of page precedence. S. anthuris also becomes type of Spiroptera, because Spiroptera is, as admitted by Rudolphi, a new name for Acuaria and Anthuris, and, being Acuaria and Anthuris renamed, it takes the same type (anthuris). S. anthuris was transferred to Dispharagus by Dujardin, 1845a, 75, of which it becomes the type by inclusion; see below, page 50. Diesing, 1851a, 215, returned anthuris to Spiroptera. Bremser's original material was reexamined by Schneider, 1866, 96, who eliminated the specimens from Coracias garrula as a new species, Filaria capitellata, expressed doubts as to the specimens from Oriolus galbula, and practically reduced the original material, as Filaria anthuris, to the specimens from Corvus glandarius, which now by elimination becomes the type host. Stossich, 1891, 88, retains anthuris in Spiroptera.

2=Spiroptera euryoptera Rudolphi, 1819a, 26. ♂ ♀. Hosts: Lanius. Retained in Spiroptera by Dujardin, 1845a, 97; Diesing, 1851a, 218; and Stossich, 1897, 97.

3 = Spiroptera attenuata Rudolphi, 1819a, 25. & Q. Hosts: Hirundo. To Dispharagus by Dujardin, 1845a, 74, and Stossich, 1891, 93; to Spiroptera by Diesing, 1851a, 215; to Filaria by Schneider, 1866, 89.

4 and 5 = Spiroptera anthuris; see 1.

6=Spiroptera bidens Rudolphi, 1819a, 24. 3 Q. Host: Merops apiaster. To Dispharagus by Dujardin, 1845a, 77, by conjecture; to Ancyracanthus by Schneider, 1866, 105.

7 to 9 = Spiroptera, species inquirendæ in Rudolphi, 1819a, 28.

10 = Spiroptera revoluta Rudolphi, 1819a, 26.  $\Diamond$   $\Diamond$ . Host: Charadrius himantopus. To Dispharagus by Molin, 1860, 492.

11 to 13 = Spiroptera, species inquirendæ in Rudolphi, 1819a, 28-29.

14 = Spiroptera elongata Rudolphi, 1819a, 26. Q. Host: Sterna nigra. Retained in Spiroptera by Dujardin, 1845a, 102; Diesing, 1851a, 217; to Filaria by Schneider, 1866, 94; to Dispharagus by Stossich, 1891, 95.

Rudolphi (1819a, 22–29, 235–255) reexamined Bremser's original material, and although fully aware of the existence of *Acuaria*, which he even mentioned by name, he ignored the name and at first renamed the genus *Anthuris* (see below), but later changed his mind and again renamed it *Spiroptera*. Of the 14 original species of *Acuaria*, Rudolphi recognized 6 as valid, namely, *Acuaria* Nos. 1 (+ 4 + 5), 2, 3, 6, 10, and 14, while the remaining, namely, Nos. 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13, he gave as doubtful. All helminthologists will probably admit that the type of *Acuaria* should be selected from the species which Rudolphi considered valid.

If the rule of page precedence were adopted, anthuris could be taken as a type of Acuaria, and if the indefinite process of elimination were followed, Spiroptera euryoptera would probably be type. We maintain, however, that Anthuris and Spiroptera should be examined to see what influence they have upon this point in possibly deciding the question in some other way. We had at first overlooked Anthuris, and thought that S. euryoptera would probably be type by elimination, and on basis of this provisional opinion Ransom (1904, p. 38) took it as probable type. Since then, however, it has been recognized that Anthuris had been overlooked, and an examination of this genus shows that the original provisional view referred to above must be modified.

Anthuris was published by Rudolphi, 1819a, 244, but not accepted by him. As the name was published, however, it exists and must be considered. This name, as shown by Rudolphi, was based upon Spiroptera anthuris and should be judged upon the rule of type by absolute tautonymy. S. anthuris, therefore, is here accepted as type of the genus Anthuris, and since Anthuris is, admittedly, Acuaria renamed, it is maintained on the basis of the rule proposed on p. 40 that S. anthuris becomes type of Acuaria.

In the same paper, Rudolphi (1819a, 22–29, 235–255) introduced the new generic name *Spiroptera*; this included the entire genus *Acuaria*, hence its type, *S. anthuris*, also the entire genus *Anthuris* with its type, *S. anthuris*, and the monotypical genus *Cystidicola*, hence its type *C. fariònis*. In other words, Rudolphi united two preexisting genera (*Acuaria*, 1811, and *Cystidicola*, 1798) in a genus (*Spiroptera*) for which four generic names (*Acuaria*, 1811, *Anthuris*, 1819, *Cystidicola*, 1798, and *Fissula*, 1801) were available, and we hold (see p. 47) that the type of *Spiroptera* should be selected from the included types (*S. anthuris* and *C. farionis*). Further, since Rudolphi distinctly states that *Spiroptera* equals *Acuaria* renamed, the type of *Acuaria* (anthuris) becomes (see p. 40) the type of *Spiroptera*.

Dispharagus was proposed by Dujardin (1845a, 42, 69–82) with the following species:

laticeps (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 71.

tenuis Dujardin, 1845a, 73. Species inquirenda in Stossich, 1891.

subula Dujardin, 1845a, 73-74. Species inquirenda in Stossich, 1891.

attenuatus (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 74-75.

nasutus (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 75.

anthuris (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 75-77. Type of Acuaria, 1811, Anthuris, 1819, and Spiroptera, 1819.

truncatus (Creplin, 1825) Dujardin, 1845a, 77. To Spiroptera by Diesing, 1851, and Molin, 1860.

bidens (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 77-78.

decorus Dujardin, 1845a, 78, pl. 3, fig. K. To Histiocephalus, 1851.

quadrilobus (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 79.

laticaudata (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 79. To Histiocephalus, 1851.

bicuspis (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 79-80.

brevicaudatus Dujardin, 1845a, 80. To Histiocephalus, 1851. Species inquirenda in Stossich, 1891, and Molin, 1860, 500.

denudatus Dujardin, 1845a, 81, pl. 3, fig. G. To Histiocephalus, 1851.

cystidicola (Lamarck, 1801) Dujardin, 1845a, 81–82; = Cystidicola = Fissula cystidicola Bosc; = Ophiostoma cystidicola (Bosc) Rudolphi, 1809; = Spiroptera cystidicola (Bosc) Rudolphi, 1819.

Thus Dujardin deliberately introduced a new name (Dispharagus) for a genus for which he was perfectly aware there were two earlier names (Cystidicola, 1798, and Fissula, 1801) available; he also included in this group the type (anthuris) of a genus (Anthuris) which apparently he and all other helminthologists have overlooked. We maintain that the type of Dispharagus should be selected (p. 47) from the included types (anthuris and cystidicola = farionis), and since Dujardin (1845a, 69) had the gastric parasites of birds particularly in mind in proposing this genus, preference is here shown to anthuris over farionis.

It seems that the ruling here followed, of type by absolute tautonymy combined with the rules of type by inclusion, disposes of the generic names in question in a far more satisfactory manner than the indefinite method of type by elimination. The rule of absolute tautonymy is certainly inherently just, and once this is acknowledged, a rule is available which can be followed objectively; the rule of type by inclusion exists since 1846 (see p. 15) and is fully in harmony with the law of priority. A combination of the two rules in this case disposes of a very complicated combination of conditions which, ruled upon from other points of view open up numerous chances for differences of opinion. The type selected is one found in a common host and therefore not especially difficult to obtain; it further satisfies the rule o' page precedence for authors who follow that rule. The possible objec tion that it disposes of two well-known generic names, Spiroptera and Dispharagus, is of less importance than at first appears, for neither of these genera is of very much importance in either human or veterinary

medicine, and even as used by zoologists these genera are very indefinite, while one of them is admitted by Railliet to be arbitrary.

In connection with the above discussion it might be well to examine

Dispharagus from another point of view.

Dujardin (1845a, 71) distinctly states that he placed here by conjecture five species of *Spiroptera*, and all systematists will doubtless agree that none of these five species should come into consideration as type. He mentions (pp. 77–78) *bidens* as one of these, attributing the diagnosis to Rudolphi. He further attributes the diagnoses to Rudolphi in the case of *laticeps*, quadrilobus, laticauda, and bicuspis, none of which he appears to have examined. The conclusion seems justified, therefore, that these are the five conjectural species in question.

Dujardin (1845a, 72) fails to name three species he examined, namely, "Dispharage du hobereau," "?Dispharage de l'épervier (B.)," and "?Dispharage de l'épervier (D);" and probably all systematists will

agree in excluding these also from consideration as type.

Dujardin examined, named, and described as new: tenuis from Saxicola rubetra; subula from Sylvia rubecula; decorus from Alcedo ispida; brevicaudatus from the "butor;" and denudatus from Cyprinus erythrophthalmus. He also examined personally and classified as members of Dispharagus (without indicating any question in his mind as to the correctness of his generic determination): attenuatus (Rudolphi) from Hirundo rustica and H. urbica; nasutus (Rudolphi) from Fringilla domestica; anthuris (Rudolphi) from Corvus glandarius, C. pica, C. frugilegus, Caryocatactes, Corvus corax, C. corone, C. cornix, Pyrrhocorax alpinus, Coracias garrula, and Oriolus galbula; truncatus (Creplin) from Upupa epops; and cystidicola (Bosc) from Salmo fario and Salmo thymalus latus.

It is interesting to note that if this case were ruled upon by page precedence, either decorus, laticeps, or tenuis might be selected, according to the different views of interpreting page precedence, although laticeps should certainly be ruled out, since the generic determination

was only conjectural.

Thus, it is probable that in determining the type of Dispharagus, most authors would be inclined to select it from: tenuis, subula, decorus, brevicaudatus, denudatus, attenuatus, nasutus, anthuris, truncatus, and cystidicola. But of these ten species, two species (anthuris and cystidicola), or 20 per cent, are already types of genera, hence Dujardin united older genera, involving five available names, into a genus for which he proposed a new name; he was well aware of the fact that at least one of the species (cystidicola) was type of an earlier genus and he also knew that at least four of the five names were available. If, now, from his point of view, Spiroptera is transferred to another group, at least one type (cystidicola) with two generic names (Cystidicola and Fissula) were available for use; and in addition Anthuris

(probably overlooked by Dujardin) was also available. That Dispharagus had no raison d'être is therefore clear, and the least that can be done is to apply to it the Law of Priority, according to which Dujardin should have used Cystidicola, from his systematic point of view. He says, however, that "almost all of the species" which he unites in Dispharagus are "entre les tuniques de l'estomac ou du gésier des oiseaux," so that it is only fair to follow, if possible, the De Candolle principle (see below, p. 65) to confine Dispharagus to the greatest number of species possible. This would eliminate Cystidicola in favor of the anthuris group. But anthuris is the type of Anthuris, 1819, hence, Anthuris takes priority over Dispharagus, even from Dujardin's systematic point of view. One is therefore brought to the same point, but by a more indirect method, of suppressing Dispharagus in favor of Anthuris, and taking anthuris as type. Anthuris, however, is Acuaria renamed, and Spiroptera is also Acuaria renamed, hence, on basis of the type species, Acuaria, Anthuris, Spiroptera, and Dispharagus should all be synonyms.

This leaves the generic name *Cheilospirura* (type *hamulosa*, see p. 93) available for the species at present included by more recent

authors (Stossich, 1891; Railliet, 1893) under Dispharagus.

Authors who do not accept "type by inclusion" should notice that Stossich (1891) in his revisions recognizes only five of Dujardin's species as valid members of this genus, namely, anthuris, attenuatus, laticeps, nasutus, and quadrilobus, and confines the genus to parasites from the gastroenteric region of birds. As laticeps and quadrilobus seem to have been placed here by conjecture, both of these should, if possible, be avoided as type. Accordingly, authors who reject "type by inclusion" would probably select either anthuris, attenuatus, or nasutus as type.

In most of the cases thus far mentioned under the nine headings (pp. 25-52), the type of the genus seems to us to be either clearly determined in one way or another in the original publication; or at least

it is restricted to certain of the species. We now pass to

# B. GENERA FOR WHICH TYPES HAVE BEEN SELECTED IN LATER PUBLICATIONS.

### 10. Type by Subsequent Designation.

RULE.—If an author, in publishing a genus with more than one valid species, fails to designate or to indicate its type, any subsequent author may select the type, and such designation is not subject to change.

This canon is a logical corollary of the law of priority, but it is of course assumed that the second author has correctly selected as type some species which was available as such. If he has selected a species which was not available, his selection is not binding.

Distoma lanceolatum, for instance, has been designated by several authors as type of Distoma, but such designation can not hold, since Distoma is simply Fasciola renamed, and the type of Fasciola had already been established by elimination; furthermore, lanceolatum was not one of the original species of either Fasciola or Distoma, hence it was not available as type.

Uncinaria vulpis had been designated as type of Uncinaria, hence Looss's (1902) selection of U. melis as type is not to be accepted  $^1$  unless he can show that the earlier designation of U. vulpis was inadmissible.

The view has been advanced by several authors that a writer in order to designate a type for an earlier genus must actually divide the genus. This view has not been generally accepted, nor is it one which can not be nullified at will, for any author can surely propose a typical subgenus and at that time determine the type.

In some cases the author of a genus has selected the type after the genus has been published. As original authors can best tell what particular species they had in mind in establishing their genera, probably all authors will agree to the following types:

#### ROUNDWORM GENERA WITH TYPES BY LATER DESIGNATION,

Alaimus de Man, 1880 (primitivus designated by de Man). Anthraconema zur Strassen, 1904 (weismanni designated by zur Strassen). Anticoma Bastian, 1865 (eberthi designated by Bastian), see p. 87. Aphelenchus Bastian, 1865 (avenæ designated by Bastian), see p. 87. Arxolaimus de Man, 1888 (bioculatus designated by de Man). Axonolaimus de Man, 1889 (spinosus designated by de Man). Cephalobus Bastian, 1865 (persegnis designated by Bastian), see p. 92. Chromadora Bastian, 1865 (vulgaris designated by Bastian), see p. 94. Chromagaster Cobb, 1894 (purpurea designated by Cobb). Comesoma Bastian, 1865 (vulgaris designated by Bastian), see p. 95. Cyatholaimus Bastian, 1865 (ocellatus designated by Bastian), see p. 97. Cylindrolaimus de Man, 1880 (communis designated by de Man). Gigantorhynchus Hamann, 1892 (echinodiscus designated by Hamann). Hypodontolaimus de Man, 1886 (inxqualis designated by de Man). Laxus Cobb, 1894 (longus designated by Cobb). Linhomaus Bastian, 1865 (hirsutus designated by Bastian), see p. 116. Monhystera Bastian, 1865 (stagnalis designated by Bastian), see p. 120. Mononchus Bastian, 1865 (truncatus designated by Bastian), see p. 121. Neoechinorhymchus Hamann, 1905 (clavaceps designated by Hamann). Neorhynchus Hamann, 1892 (clavæceps designated by Hamann). Parachordodes Camerano, 1897 (tolosanus designated by Camerano). Paramermis von Linstow, 1898 (crassa designated by von Linstow). Penzancia de Man, 1889 (velox designated by de Man). Phanoderma Bastian, 1865 (cocksi designated by Bastian), see p. 129. Plectus Bastian, 1865 (parietinus designated by Bastian), see p. 130. Prismatolaimus de Man, 1880 (intermedius designated by de Man). Rhabdolaimus de Man, 1880 (terrestris designated by de Man). Spira Bastian, 1865 (parasitifera designated by Bastian), see p. 137.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Looss also has recently accepted this view.

Symplocostoma Bastian, 1865 (longicollis designated by Bastian), see p. 140. Symonchus Cobb, 1894 (fasciculatus designated by Cobb).

Tachyhodites Bastian, 1865 (natans designated by Bastian).

Trilobus Bastian, 1865 (gracilis designated by Bastian).

Triodontophorus Looss, 1902 (serratus designated by Looss).

Tripyla Bastian, 1865 (glomerans designated by Bastian).

Tripyloides de Man, 1886 (vulgaris designated by de Man).

Tylencholaimus de Man, 1876 (mirabilis designated by de Man).

Tylenchus Bastian, 1865 (davainii designated by Bastian).

Viscosia de Man, 1890 (viscosus designated by de Man), see p. 149.

Zoniolaimus Cobb, 1898 (setifera designated by Cobb).

In still other cases the type has been designated by other than the original author. Several of these cases it will be well to examine rather closely:

A can those phalus Kælreuter, 1771a (*Echinorhynchus anguillæ* designated by Luehe, 1905, 329).

Angiostoma Dujardin, 1845 (limacis designated by Schneider), see p. 34.

Anisakis Dujardin, 1845, 220; type "simplex" misdet. = dussumierii designated by Stiles & Hassall, 1899, 103.

Anguillula Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828; aceti was designated as type by Bastian, 1865c, 110, but since this was not among the original species of Anguillula Ehrenberg [not Mueller], this designation can not hold. For fuller discussion of this case, see p. 34.

Cucullanus Mueller, 1777; elegans was designated as type by Dujardin, 1845a, 245. Mueller, 1777, is not accessible to us, and we find it somewhat difficult to judge this case from later literature.

Oncholaimus Dujardin, 1845; attenuatus has been designated as type by Bastian, 1865c, 100, and de Man, 1886, 9. This is a rather complicated case, involving the principle of elimination and can best be discussed under that head. See p. 62.

Paragordius Camerano, 1897; varius is the only species of Paragordius Montgomery, proposed independently as a new genus. This may also be interpreted as designation of type for Paragordius Camerano.

Uncinaria Freelich, 1789; vulpis was designated as type by Stiles & Hassall, 1899, 164; melis was designated as type by Looss, 1902.

Our reasons for designating vulpis as type of Uncinaria were the following: Freelich mentioned two species, melis and vulpis; he examined vulpis but not melis; he figured vulpis (Geze had figured melis); he was accordingly personally acquainted with vulpis, while melis he knew only through Geze's writings; his description of the lips of vulpis is far clearer than any idea of the lips he could have obtained from Geze's figures, and this applies also to the rest of the worm. Now, when an author proposes a new genus, his conception of the genus is greatly influenced by what he sees in the species he examines, and on this account, other things being equal, we consider it best to take as type a species which the author has personally examined rather than one he knows only from the literature (except, of course, in cases of type by inclusion). We see nothing in Freelich's account which convinces us that he was influenced more by melis than by vulpis; hence, vulpis was designated as type.

Some authors believe that types should be confined entirely to species personally examined by the author of the genus, but it will scarcely be possible to carry out this rule.

# C. GENERA FOR WHICH NO TYPE HAS BEEN DEFINITELY SELECTED.

Unfortunately a very large number of generic names with which one has to deal at present come under this category. In determining the type we should be governed by certain general principles. It is, however, difficult to lay down any general scheme of precedence in which these principles shall apply, since individual cases may be influenced by considerations of a practical nature. Naturally it would be a desideratum if the subjective element were entirely eliminated in such matters, but it is doubtful whether it is practical to insist upon this point.

## 11. COLLECTIVE BIOLOGICAL GROUPS REQUIRING NO TYPE SPECIES.

RULE.—Certain biological groups which have been distinctly proposed as collective groups, but not as systematic units of generic rank, may be treated for convenience as if they were genera, but they require no type species.

Certain so-called genera have been more or less distinctly proposed as unnatural collective groups in which to place forms which have not yet reached stages in development permitting a definite generic determination. As well-known examples may be mentioned Agamodistomum, Amphistomulum, etc. These groups can best be recognized in their original sense, but they should have no type designated for them, and they should not compete with true generic names in connection with the law of priority.

As examples of this kind cited in the present list may be mentioned the following:

Agamomermis Stiles, 1903, distinctly proposed as an artificial collective group for immature Mermithidæ which can not be definitely determined generically until the adult stage is known.

Agamonema Diesing, 1851, can be interpreted as a group of the same kind, for immature nematodes, especially of fish.

Agamonematodum Diesing, 1861, also can be interpreted in the same way.

Dubium Diesing, 1851, is apparently intended as a group of the same nature.

Merinthoidea and Merinthoidum Kræmer, 1853, were distinctly proposed as "cache-désordre" for worms resembling Filaria, Gordius, and Mermis.

Nematoideum Diesing, 1851, is apparently used in the same sense, namely, as a purely collective, indefinite group.

Collective groups of this kind are of course unnatural, but they are nevertheless convenient, for they enable an international specific nomenclature for certain forms without recourse to classifying worms in an uncertain manner in genera which have a more or less definite status.

In case species are temporarily classified in such collective groups, we believe their *specific* names should be entitled to priority when they are definitely classified in their proper genera.

#### 12. Type by Elimination.

RULE.—The following species are excluded from consideration in selecting the types of genera:

[(a) Species which were not included under the generic name at the time of

its original publication.]

[(b) Species which were species inquirendæ from the standpoint of the author of the generic name at the time of its publication. (See p. 29.)]

[(c) Species which the author of the genus doubtfully referred to it.]

(d) Species which have subsequently been selected to serve as types for other genera, unless this applies to all of the available species, in which case the last species so selected becomes the type of the original genus; or unless the species which the original author took as his type has been transferred, in which case the original author's intentions should be carried out.

[RULE.—In case of Linnean genera, select as type the most common or the

medicinal species.]

RECOMMENDATIONS.—The following species should be shown preference in selecting the type, unless such procedure is contraindicated by the original author's intentions or by practical considerations:

(a) If the genus contains both exotic and nonexotic species from the standpoint of the original author, the type should be selected from the nonexotic

species.

[(b) If some of the original species have later been classified in other genera, but not designated as their types, preference should be shown to the species still remaining in the original genus.]

[(c) All other things being equal, page precedence should obtain in select-

ing a type.]

[(d) Species based upon sexually mature specimens should take precedence

over species based upon larval or immature forms.]

- [(e) All other things being equal, show preference to a species which the author of a genus actually studied at or before the time he proposed the genus.]
- [(f) Show preference to a species bearing the name communis, vulgaris, medicinalis, or officinalis,]
- [(g) Show preference to the best described, best figured, best known, most easily obtainable species, or of which a type specimen can be obtained.]
- [(h) Show preference to a species which belongs to a group containing as large a number of the species as possible.]
- [(i) In parasitic genera select, if possible, a species which occurs in man or in some food animal, or in some very common and widespread host.]

In selecting the type of a genus for which no type has been designated or indicated, the first thing to do is to list all of the original species of the genus. Assuming that a careful study of this list does not result in showing that a type was originally determined by designation, implication, inclusion, etc., it becomes necessary to next establish whether any author has subsequently determined the "type by later designation." Assuming that the study is still negative in

results, it is next necessary to determine what original species of the genus are still available as types, and this of course involves a determination of the species which are not available.

## ELIMINATION OF SPECIES INQUIRENDÆ.

In the foregoing pages (p. 29) the stand has been taken that one class of species, from the very nature of things, should be considered unavailable as types, namely, species which the original author considered species inquirendæ. Covering a second class of species, which are almost universally considered as unavailable for types, the following rule may be formulated:

#### ELIMINATION OF DOUBTFULLY REFERRED SPECIES.

RULE.—No species is available as type of a genus if the original author referred said species doubtfully or only conjecturally to the genus in question.

Such a rule seems to be in accord with the best practices in systematic zoology, and seems so eminently justified that a special discus-

sion of the rule appears scarcely necessary.

It is clear that in selecting a type some species should be taken which the author had particularly in mind as a typical representative of the genus. If an author is in doubt as to whether a given species belongs in the genus he is proposing, it is self-evident that he did not consider it a typical representative species of the group and that he had other species more particularly in mind in proposing the genus and writing the generic diagnosis. Accordingly, the doubtful reference of a species to a genus is ipso facto a denial that that species is type.

For instance, in proposing and discussing the genus Lecithodendrium, Looss (1896, 86) said: "De ce groupe font partie, de plus, les Distomum ascidia et ascidioides van Ben. et probablement aussi le Dist. heteroporum Duj." Since Looss expressed this reserve regarding the classification of D. heteroporum in Lecithodendrium, he certainly did not consider it the type of his genus; in fact, this very reserve practically amounted to a definite statement at the time the genus was proposed that heteroporum was not in his mind the type. This example will serve to illustrate quite a number of cases in various groups. See

also Euchromadora.

Ruling out from further consideration all species inquirendæ (from the standpoint of the original author of a genus at the time of its proposition), see page 29, and also all species originally placed in a genus with reserve, with doubt, or by conjecture, we next come to the species which from other causes should be eliminated from consideration.

#### RESTRICTED AND UNRESTRICTED ELIMINATION.

RECOMMENDATION.—If the genus contains both exotic and nonexotic species from the standpoint of the original author, the type should be selected from the nonexotic species, unless such procedure is contraindicated by the original author's intentions.

It will be seen from page 17, that the A. O. U. Code distinguishes between restricted and unrestricted elimination. For examples of the two processes the reader is referred to page 17.

So far as the Linnman genera are concerned, it must be admitted that there are certain advantages in restricted elimination, and so far as general theory is concerned there are advantages in this process when applied to later genera. There are also, however, difficulties involved, and at present it would seem better to view restricted elimination as a recommendation rather than as a rule.

#### ELIMINATION OF SPECIES SELECTED AS TYPES OF OTHER GENERA.

RULE.—Any species of a genus which has been selected to serve as type for a later genus is excluded from consideration in selecting the type of the earlier genus, unless this applies to all of the available species, in which case the last species so selected becomes the type of the original genus.

In not a few genera the type species has been consciously or unconsciously determined by the transfer of all but one of the original available species to serve as types for new genera. In such event it is in accordance with practice and rules to accept the remaining species as type; or in case several species have not been thus eliminated it is customary to restrict the selection of type to these species, thus excluding from consideration all species which have been selected as types for more recent genera.

As examples of genera of this kind cited in the present paper, we may mention the following:

Spiliphera Bastian, 1865, contained the following species:

etegans.
inæqualis, type of Hypodontolaimus, 1888.
robusta, type of Halichoanolaimus, 1888.
costata, type of Monoposthia, 1889.

By the principle of elimination of species as types of other genera, *elegans* remains as type of *Spiliphera*. This case agrees with page precedence, and also with Bastian's original intentions, for he adopted the custom of placing his type as the first species.

Theristus Bastian, 1865, contained the following species:

acer, type by elimination, author's intention, and page precedence.

velox, type of Penzancia, 1889.

In some cases it is nevertheless necessary to select as type a species of the original genus which has been selected as type for a more recent genus. Two kinds of cases may arise, namely:

(a) Cases in which all of the original species have been selected as types for more recent genera, as, for instance,

X-us, 1840, with the species; albus, type of Y-us, 1845. cinereus, type of Z-us, 1850. niger, type of M-us, 1855.

In a case of this kind the last species so transferred (niger in the hypothetical case cited) is taken as type of the original genus (X-us), and the new genus (M-us) based upon this species is suppressed as an unconditional synonym.

(b) In other cases the species which the original author intended as type has been made the type of a new genus. In this instance the original author's intentions should be carried out. One such case is found among nematodes, namely:

Chromadora Bastian, 1865, contained:

vulgaris, which de Man took as type for Euchromadora, 1886, and eight other species, none of which appears to have been eliminated.

De Man's action was unfortunate in this case. By the Linnaean principle of 1751 (see below, p. 64), vulgan's should have had preference as type of Chromadora, even if de Man was not aware of the fact that Bastian intended this as his type. It seems best in this case to carry out Bastian's intentions of taking vulgaris as his type.

The general principle of type by elimination, as judged upon the cases of *Spiliphera*, *Theristus*, and the hypothetical case of *X-us*, just given, might lead one to believe that "type by elimination" is a highly satisfactory method and of easy application. Any author, however, who will attempt to apply the method of "type by elimination" to a large number of genera, and to compare his methods with those of other systematists, will probably agree with us that the method as generally applied is frequently far from satisfactory. In fact, systematists are by no means agreed as to just what constitutes "elimination." Because of a number of difficult cases which have come to our attention, we have discussed this subject with systematists in various groups in botany and zoology, and the views obtained may be classified as follows:

(a) Some authors maintain that when a species of a genus has been taken as the *type* of a new genus it is to be excluded from further consideration in selecting the type of the original genus, subject, of course, to the provisions mentioned under a and b (p. 59). All systematists will doubtless agree that this position is sound.

(b) Still other authors, however, go much further, and maintain that when a species of a genus has been transferred to another genus, by any author, rightly or wrongly, it is excluded from further considera-

tion in selecting the type of the original genus. Thus:

Gazia Zeder, 1800, with two original species:

[Cucullanus ascaroides] = Gazia armata Rudolphi, 1801; and inermis Zeder, 1800; transferred to Liorhynchus by Rudolphi, 1801 (but not as type); returned to Cochlus (namely, Gazia renamed), by Zeder, 1803.

Some systematists would maintain that since *inermis* was placed in *Liorhynchus* in 1801 it can not come into consideration as type of *Gwzia*, and it is immaterial to these authors whether the transfer was a correct one or not.

(c) Some authors hold that if the transfer had been made by Zeder (the author of *Gazia*), the species could not be considered as type, but having been made by another than the author of *Gazia* it is still available as type.

(d) Other authors maintain that if, in the opinion of the author who wishes to establish the type of *Gazia*, Rudolphi's transfer of *inermis* was not correct from a systematic standpoint, this form can be returned

to Gazia for the purpose of establishing the type.

(e) We have personally been inclined to follow the plan that, if some author has already transferred an eliminated species back to the original genus, we would consider it on the same basis as if it had never been taken out of the genus.

(f) The A. O. U. Code provides for "restricted elimination," as

quoted above, p. 17.

In view of this wide divergence of opinion, it is probably better to take a middle ground for the present and to divide the question of transfer into a rule and a recommendation. The rule covers the species selected as types of other genera (see p. 58), and the recommendation covers the other cases. Hence,

13. Preference to be Shown to Species not Subsequently Classified in other Genera.

RECOMMENDATION.—If some of the original species of a genus have later been classified in other genera, but not designated as their types, preference should be shown to the species still remaining in the original genus in selecting its type.

It may be readily admitted that this recommendation does not go far enough for certain cases, but the advisability of making it stronger at the present time seems doubtful. It is a middle ground, which can not be objected to as far as it goes. It is not denied that it does not go far enough to meet the views of certain very able men whose opinions upon the point at issue are valuable. The following cases are of interest in this connection:

Ascaris Linnæus, 1758, originally contained two species:

vermicularis, transferred to Oxyuris, 1803, by Bremser, 1819.

lumbricoides, generally accepted as type of Ascaris; type of Lombricoides, 1821.

The nomenclatural considerations in connection with Ascaris are rather complex. (1) It might be maintained that, with authors prior to 1758, Ascaris referred to Ascaris vermicularis rather than to Ascaris lumbricoides, hence that the former should be taken as type. It is not necessary, however, to go back of 1758 in deciding the question, but,

all other things being equal, it would in fact be better to take Ascaris vermicularis as type. (2) Ceteris paribus, page precedence would make Ascaris vermicularis the type species. (3) The point could be raised that since Stomachida vermis, 1780, is identical with Ascaris lumbricoides, this species should be eliminated, leaving Ascaris vermicularis as type. Serious doubts arise, however, whether Stomachida vermis is not simply a Latin translation of a vernacular name, rather than a binomial according to the Linnæan system. In fact, it may be interpreted either way, and, other things being equal, it would be better to interpret it as a proper specific binomial. (4) It might be argued that since Zeder (1800a) mentioned Ascaris lumbricoides in Fusaria, he thereby eliminated this species from Ascaris, leaving Ascaris vermicularis as type. This view is, however, not free from criticism, since the proposal of Fusaria, 1800, was a flagrant renaming of the earlier and generally recognized genus Ascaris, 1758. (5) It may be argued that in 1819 Ascaris had the same status as a bitypical genus as in 1758, hence that Bremser was free to decide between lumbricoides and vermicularis, and since he placed Ascaris vermicularis in the genus Oxyuris which Rudolphi established in 1803, Bremser, by making the transfer, eliminated this species and thereby made Ascaris lumbricoides type of Ascaris. (6) Furthermore, it may be advanced that from 1819 to the present day nearly every zoological revision of the genera in question has followed Bremser in recognizing Ascaris lumbricoides as an Ascaris, and Ascaris vermicularis as an Oxyuris. (7) It may also be advanced that with few exceptions medical, veterinary, and zoological authors have blindly followed the classification here outlined, so that Ascaris lumbricoides and Oxyuris vermicularis have been in current use since 1819. (8) Finally, it may be stated that several authors have distinctly spoken of Ascaris lumbricoides as the type species of Ascaris, and it was not made the type of Lombricoides until two years after Oxyuris was eliminated from Ascaris.1

From the above remarks it will be seen that practical considerations call for the adoption of Ascaris lumbricoides as type species of Ascaris, unless theoretical principles of nomenclature demand the adoption of Ascaris vermicularis as such. It is also clear that, while it would probably have been better if Bremser (1819) had adopted Stomachida, 1780, for Ascaris lumbricoides, in order to take advantage of the 23 years between this name and Oxyuris, 1803, and if he had adopted Ascaris for A. vermicularis, still in view of the possible doubt regarding the status of Stomachida, such action was not obligatory. Hence,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Oxyuris vermicularis has but little in common with O. curvula, and will doubtless soon be recognized as a distinct genus. It is now type of the subgenus Oxyurias Stiles MS.

.no theoretical grounds are at present apparent for rejecting Ascaris lumbricoides as type species of Ascaris.

Sclerostoma Rudolphi, 1809, contained 2 species:

equinum, which is type for Strongylus, 1780.

dentatum, transferred to Esophagostomum, 1861-E. subulatum, 1861, type.

In this case equinum is also "type by inclusion."

Liorhynchus Rudolphi, 1801, contained

Ascaris tubifera Fabricius, 1780; to Echinorhynchus by Zeder, 1803; returned to Liorhynchus by Rudolphi, 1809.

Ascaris truncata Rudolphi, 1793; probably type of Liorhynchus.

Ascaris pulmonalis "Gœze;" equals nigrovenosum, type of Rhabdonema, 1883.

Gazia inermis Zeder, 1800; to Cochlus by Zeder, 1803.

Oncholaimus Dujardin, 1845, contained

attenuatus Dujardin; to Enoplus by Diesing, 1851; returned to Oncholaimus as type by Bastian, 1865, and de Man, 1886, 9. It should probably be accepted as type (see p. 121).

fovearum Dujardin; to Mononchus by Bastian, 1865. muscorum Dujardin; to Mononchus by Bastian, 1865.

## 14. Type by Page Precedence.

RECOMMENDATION.—All other things being equal, page precedence should obtain in selecting a type.

Several authors have raised page precedence to the rank of an ironclad law. They argue that the first place a specific name is found combined with a generic name represents the first publication of a name, hence that the species in question necessarily represents the type in accordance with the spirit of the law of priority. They further advance the point that page precedence is absolutely objective, hence subjective opinions are eliminated, and every zoologist would necessarily select the same species as type. In their position no difference in principle is acknowledged between two separate publications, on the one hand, and, on the other hand, two separate pages in one and the same publication, or two separate lines on the same page, or two separate words on the same line. The logical deduction from their position is that every genus should be viewed as having had its type determined in its original publication.

It must be admitted that there are certain very great advantages in this rather Draconian point of view. Still it may lead to the very confusion it seeks to avoid, and it may give rise to complications which could just as easily be avoided. In several cases in nematodes it would make as type a species based upon the female alone, although the author had described the male for other species. In view of the importance of the male in classifying nematodes, helminthologists will doubtless be rather reserved in admitting page precedence to higher rank than a recommendation to be followed when all other factors are equal.

In view of the fact that some men endeavor to consistently apply page precedence, it is well for those of us who do not adopt it as a rigid rule to at least follow it in all cases where it is a matter of indifference to us which of two species is taken as type. A consistent adoption of page precedence as a rigid rule may result in the designation of a doubtful or even invalid species as type, because of the arrangement of the species in a work; or since an alphabetical index to species may be bound in some copies of a work in front, in other copies in back, some authors might insist that one species is type, while other authors would be consistent in insisting that another species is type. Soil other authors apply the principle only to the systematic portion of a paper. As a rigid rule, page precedence seems to us to be unsafe, furthermore, because its application may entirely misrepresent an original author's idea and intentions. See also pp. 20, 24.

If an author states that the types of his own genera should be selected by page precedence, this method should of course apply to his names. Thus, Bastian has written us under date of March 22, 1904, "that it might be taken as certain that the species of each genus first described by me was to be considered as type of the genus, so far as I knew it. In only a few cases is there any room for doubt as to this." He then discusses the doubtful cases, and determines in every instance the first species as type, stating that this or that species "was regarded as the type" or "was taken as the type." Fortunately, therefore, Bastian's original intentions are now definitely known regarding the types of his genera, and we consider it obligatory to take his intentions in these cases, although in one instance we consider the selection unfortunate.

15. SEXUALLY MATURE FORMS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER LARVAL OR IMMATURE FORMS.

RECOMMENDATION.—Species based upon sexually mature specimens should take precedence over species based upon larval or immature forms.

This recommendation needs no argument for helminthologists.

16. Preference to be Shown to Species Examined by Author of the Genus.

RECOMMENDATION.—All other things being equal, show preference to a species which the author of a genus actually studied at or before the time he proposed the genus.

In general, it is natural that an author should have a clearer idea of a species which he himself has studied than of one which he knows only from a description written by some one else. And as a rule it will be found that in proposing new genera an author has been influenced more by his actual acquaintance with the organisms themselves than by the description of animals which he has not seen. Although exceptions to this proposition are not unknown, an author's original

intentions will usually be better carried out if a species of his personal acquaintance is designated as type. If, however, it can be shown that an author had some other species more particularly in mind, it is better to select that form as type.

 Preference to be Shown to Species Named communis, vulgaris, officinalis, or medicinalis.

RULE.—In case of Linnaean genera, select as type the most common, or a medicinal species.

RECOMMENDATION.—Show preference to a species bearing the name communis, vulgaris, medicinalis, or officinalis, unless such preference is strongly contraindicated by practical considerations.

Linnæus (1751, 197) laid down the following rule: "Si genus receptum, secundum jus nature et artis, in plura dirimi debet, tum nomen antea commune manebit vulgatissimæ et officinali plantæ." This, so far as we know, is the earliest intimation of the general principle of fixing a particular species as type of a genus. It certainly clearly represents Linnæus's intention regarding his own genera, and has the great advantage of rendering more stable the generic names used by nonzoologists. Just how far a rigid application of this rule, if applied regardless of contraindications of a practical nature, would work to the detriment of types accepted at present, it is difficult to state, but in dealing with Linnæan genera his rule should be followed when clearly applicable.

In connection with genera of all authors it seems distinctly best to show preference to species bearing the name communis, vulgaris, officinalis, or medicinalis, but it seems unwise to waive all other considerations in favor of this process of selection. Among the nematodes the species named communis are types for Filocapsularia, Desmodora, Cylindrolaimus, Diphtherophora, and Terschellingia, but not for Spilophora (in which it was not an original species); vulgaris, for Comesoma, Chromadora, Euchromadora, Graphonema, Lombricoides, and Tripyloides.

Tænia Linnæus, 1758a, may be mentioned as a case where practical considerations at present distinctly contraindicate the selection of vulgaris as type of a Linnæan genus, but the selection of solium as type of Tænia does not seem to be contrary to the Linnæan rule.

18. The Best Described, Best Figured, Best Known, or Most Easily Obtainable Species.

RECOMMENDATION.—Other things being equal, select as type that species which is best described, or best figured, and for which both sexes are described, or a species which is best known, or most easily obtainable, or most common, or of which a type specimen can be obtained.

That the best-described species should frequently be given preference is a natural proposition, but an author should be governed by various considerations in this matter. It is, for instance, sometimes

advisable to give preference to the best figured form. Again, the best known species may be preferred under some circumstances. On the other hand, conditions may be present which would make it decidedly preferable to select as type a species which is the most common, and on this account preference is frequently shown to species bearing the specific name communis (see p. 64). To show preference to a species which is easily obtainable is undoubtedly a good policy to follow, for no matter how well a given animal is described the advance in anatomical knowledge may make it advisable that the species be restudied, and in this event the more easily obtainable the species is the better the opportunity for the necessary study. In some cases it may be distinctly preferable to select as type species some form of which the original type specimens are known to be in existence. In the case of animals with separate sexes, it will usually be distinctly better to select as type some species for which both sexes are known.

19. THE ORIGINAL GENERIC NAME TO GO WITH THE GREATER NUMBER OF SPECIES.

RECOMMENDATION.—In dividing a genus containing a large number of species, it is well to select the type from the group which contains the largest number of species.

This recommendation is intended to preserve the old generic name, so far as possible, for as many original species as possible. It was proposed by De Condolle.

20. Special Points to be Considered in Connection with Genera of Parasitic Groups.

RECOMMENDATION.—In parasitic genera select, if possible, some species which occurs in a food animal or in man, or in some very common and widespread host.

The justice of this recommendation will probably appeal to all persons who seriously consider the various possibilities involved.

In the first place, if a given species is type of a genus, its name is less likely to be subjected to change than are the names of species which are not types. It would be well, therefore, to take advantage of this greater chance of stability in order to protect from changes the names of animals which are used by others besides zoologists. Thus, the parasites of man and of the domesticated animals come into consideration in the medical and legal writings of authors in human and comparative medicine and in meat inspection, and a change of name of animals or plants which come into consideration in such literature is of infinitely greater inconvenience and difficulty than is the case with a name occasionally used by only a few systematic helminthologists.

Again, if type species are selected from hosts which are common and of wide distribution, they can be more easily obtained for future study.

It is somewhat difficult to arrange hosts in an order of preference in respect to this point, but we may recognize the following groups as approximate, at least:

First series: Homo sapiens, Sus scrofa domestica, Mus musculus, Mus rattus, Mus decumanus, Bos taurus, Ovis aries, Gallus gallus,

Musca domestica, Blatta, Phyllodromia.

Second series: Canis familiaris, Felis catus domestica, Equus caballus, Anas boschas, Anser cinereus, Culicidæ.

Third series: Rana temporaria, R. esculenta, Bufo.

Fourth series: Animals found in Europe and North America.

Fifth series: Marine or Australian animals.

Sixth series: Animals found in Asia, Africa, or South America.

It is not contended that the above list is without criticism or that it may not be viewed from different standpoints, according to local conditions, but the general idea advanced will doubtless appeal to many workers in parasitology.

#### 21. Remaining Genera Mentioned in this Paper.

In the foregoing pages it has been shown that certain species must be taken as type for certain genera, and that certain other species may best be taken as type for certain other genera.

There now remain certain generic names, for some of which (for various reasons) we prefer not to definitely propose types at this time. We shall, however, indicate the species which might best serve as type unless contraindicated by some circumstance which does not occur to us at present. As these suggestions are made with reserve, the indication should not be construed as designation of type.

Acanthopharynx Marion, 1870; probably affinis, because both male and female are given.

Acanthophorus Linstow, 1876; probably tenuis by page precedence. As the generic name is a homonym, it can not hold, but the designation of a type might influence some later generic name.

Amblyura Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828; probably serpentulus, by page precedence, male and female mentioned, and more extensive mention than gordius.

Amphistenus Marion, 1870; ? agilis, by page precedence.

Anguillulina Gervais & van Beneden, 1859; probably tritici, page precedence; and on basis of Railliet, 1893a, 553.

Aorurus Leidy, 1849; ? agile, by page precedence.

Ascaridia Dujardin, 1845; ? truncata, by page precedence.

Calodium Dujardin, 1845; ? annulosum, because of its hosts (Mus rattus and M. decumanus); the rats had lived on onions (Allium cepa).

Cephalacanthus Diesing, 1853; probably monacanthus, by page precedence and because the host (Tenebrio molitor) is so common

Crenosoma Molin, 1861; probably striatum, by page precedence, and figured.

Crossophorus Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828; ? collaris, by page precedence.

Cucullanus Mueller, 1777; see pp. 96-97.

Cystoopsis, see p. 98.

Dacnitis Dnjardin, 1845; ? esuriens, by virtual tautonymy, very common, and because of host; or? spherocephalus (Pleurorhynchus).

Diaphanocephalus Diesing, 1851; ? strongyloides, by page precedence, and because of single type host.

Dicheilonema Diesing, 1861; ? labiatum, see p. 100.

Dipetalonema Diesing, 1861; probably caudispina, see p. 100.

Dorylaimus Dujardin, 1845; probably stagnalis, by page precedence, both sexes given. Echinorhynchus Zœga, 1776; ? gadi, by elimination and page precedence.

Enoplostoma Marion, 1870; probably hirtum, by page precedence, very common, both sexes given.

Enoplus Dujardin, 1845; ? tridentatus, by page precedence, partially by elimination, both sexes given.

Eubostrichus Greef, 1869; ? filiformis, by page precedence, or ? phalacrus because of male.
Eucoleus Dujardin, 1845; probably \*arophilum\* by page precedence, and description more complete; Dujardin \*apparently\* took this as his type.

Filaria Mueller, 1787; martis, by elimination.

Hærucula Pallas, 1760, 1768; see p. 110.

Helmins Schlotthauber, 1860; ? paradoxus, by page precedence, also because of dubius (see p. 29); probably not a valid generic name.

Heterodera Schmidt, 1871; not accessible to us.

Histiocephalus Diesing, 1851; ? laticaudatus, by page precedence.

*Ichthyonema* Diesing, 1861; probably *globiceps*, by page precedence, both sexes given. *Kalicephalus* Molin, 1861; probably *inermis*, by page precedence, only species figured. *Laburinthostoma* Cobb. 1898; species apparently not named.

Lineola Kœlliker, 1845; probably sieboldii, by page precedence, and description slightly more complete.

Linguatula Schrank, 1796; probably bilinguis, by page precedence and elimination.

Liorhynchus Rudolphi, 1801; ? truncata by elimination, see p. 116.

Mastophorus Diesing, 1853; probably echiurus, because its host is so common.

Monopetalonema Diesing, 1861; ? physalurum, by page precedence.

Needhamia Carus: not accessible to us.

Nematodum Diesing, 1861; see p. 122.

Oncholaimus Dujardin, 1845; ? attenuatus, see p. 121.

Oxysoma Schneider, 1866; probably brevicaudatum, by page precedence and because of host.

Phanoglene Nordmann, 1840; ? micans, by page precedence.

Pleurorinchus Nau, 1787; [sphærocephala], see p. 130.

Polygordius Schneider, 1868; apparently "P. lacteus."

Polyporus Gruby, 1840; not accessible to us.

Pontonema Leidy, 1855; probably vacillatum, by page precedence, abundant.

Proboscidea Bruguière, 1791; see p. 131.

Solenonema Diesing, 1861; type ?.

Spironoura Leidy, 1856; ? gracile, by page precedence.

Spirura E. Blanchard, 1849; probably talpa, by page precedence and because of Blanchard's apparent intentions; see p. 138.

Thoracostoma Marion, 1870; probably echinodon, by page precedence, most common. Vibrio Mueller, 1773; type species very doubtful.

## CORRELATED NOMENCLATURAL QUESTIONS.

The discussion thus far has been based upon the subject of type species. During the preparation of the list, however, certain other nomenclatural questions have arisen which it may be well to mention briefly.

## 22. Synonymy by Original Publication.

Cases like the following have given rise to difficulty among certain authors. Let it be assumed that the genus

X-us, 1810, contains two species (without designation of type): albus, 1810, and niger, 1810; and let the genus Y-us, 1850, contain three species (without designation of type): albus, 1810 = X. albus, niger, 1810 = X. niger, and flavidus, 1850.

Some authors have construed Y-us, 1850, as direct synonym of X-us on the ground that it contains all of the original species of X-us, hence it must contain the type of X-us. Other authors have construed Y-us as being used in a broader sense than X-us, have returned albus and niger to X-us, and considered Y-us valid with flavidus as type. The rules here adopted (see p. 42) make Y-us a synonym pure and simple of X-us, both genera containing the same type. This construction is entirely in accord with the spirit of the law of priority, for Y-us should never have been proposed. See also Spiroptera and Acuaria, and §§ 6 to 8 of the B. A. Code, quoted on page 14.

As an instance of a case in which two opinions may be legitimately defended, mention may be made of the following:

Suppose an author examines certain animals and describes them under a new name, but at the same time mentions one or more earlier specific names as positive or doubtful synonyms, what is the relation of the new name used to the old names quoted in synonymy? Thus:

X-us albus, 1900, new name; X-us aureus, 1800, given as positive synonym; and? X-us niger, 1850, given as doubtful synonym.

Probably all nomenclaturists will admit that (1) if the author of albus, 1900, did not examine specimens personally, then albus is simply aureus renamed, hence, albus is an absolute synonym of aureus; (2) if the author of albus did examine specimens, and if these were actually identical specifically with aureus, then, also, albus is an absolute synonym of aureus.

If, however, the author of *albus* examined specimens, and later reexamination of these shows that all but 1, 2, 3, or *x* are identical specifically with *aureus*, then what is the relation of *albus* to *aureus*?

Different views may be defended covering such cases.

(1) It might be maintained that since the author of *albus*, 1900, admitted that this was synonymous with *aureus*, the publication of *albus* was absolutely unjustified, and *aureus* should have been adopted. Against this proposition no just objection is evident to us.

(2) Let this error in publishing albus be admitted, but let it be assumed that all the specimens of albus, except one (or x), are specifically identical with or distinct from aureus, or let it be assumed that all of the specimens of albus are specifically distinct from aureus, is the status of albus not altered? Do not these specimens represent the types of albus, and should not albus be judged on its types?

(3) With the premises mentioned in (2), does not albus also include the original types of aureus, and does this case not, therefore, represent a name which covers an older type, hence, simply a new name

unwarrantedly proposed?

Admitting that there are two sides to this question and that the position mentioned under (2) is not without certain justification, this seems to be a case of deciding between the lesser of two evils, and the lesser evil seems to be to rule that the newer name is a synonym of the older, as advanced in (1) and (3). Further, while this lesser evil, though at times it may seem Draconian, can be carried out consistently, the greater evil (2) can not be carried out consistently and it must constantly give rise to doubts as to the course to be pursued. Suppose, for instance, albus was based upon two specimens, a male and a female, and one of these is identical with aureus, while the other is distinct, what would be the status of albus?

Draconian as the position seems to be, we contend that in case an author unreservedly admits that an earlier name is synonymous with the name he publishes as new, the latter is a "synonym by original publication," even if part or all the specimens the author of the new name examined are specifically distinct from the specimens upon which the older name was based.

#### 23. Rule of Homonyms.

A homonym may be defined as one and the same name used for two or more different systematic units of the same rank. All recognized codes agree that only the first use of such name can be admitted as legitimate. The second and later uses of the name, for other units of the same rank, are cases of stillbirth, and the name, as used in these later cases, is forever dead.

In the case of absolute homonyms, it is not usually a matter of great importance whether the type is fixed or not. Nevertheless, it seems advisable as a rule to designate such. Under some circumstances the fixing of a type for a homonym may determine the type for a valid name.

The cases of *absolute* homonyms (namely, absolutely identical combinations of the same letters) found in roundworm generic names may be seen from the following tables:

Roundworm<sup>1</sup> generic names which are absolutely preoccupied.

Roundworm genus,	Preoccupied as follows.
Acanthophorus Linstow, 1876	Serv., 1832, coleopteron.
Acanthosoma Mayer, 1844	Curt., 1824, hemipteron; DeK., 1842, fish.
Arhynchus Shipley, 1896	Dejean, 1834, coleopteron.
Aspidocephalus Diesing, 1851	Motsch, 1839, coleopteron.
Brachynema Cobb, 1893	Fieb., 1861, hemipteron.
Cephalacanthus Diesing, 1853	Lac., 1802, fish.
Cephalonema Cobb, 1893	Stimps., ante 1882, worm.
Chatosoma Claparède, 1863	Westwood, 1851, coleopteron.
Cheiracanthus Diesing, 1838	
Cochlus Zeder, 1803	Humph., 1797, mollusk.
	Thunb., 1812, orthopteron; Zenk., 1833,
1 0)	crustacean; Scheenh., 1838, coleop-
	teron; Dum., 1853, reptile.
Cystocephalus Railliet, 1895	Léger, 1892.
Diceras Rudolphi, 1810	Lamarck, 1805, mollusk.
Dipeltis Cobb, 1891	
Discophora Villot, 1875	
Eucamptus Dujardin, 1845	
	coleopteron.
Eurystoma Marion, 1870	Rafinesque, 1818, mollusk; Kæll., 1853, coleopteron.
Fimbria Cobb, 1894	Bohadsch, 1761, mollusk; Meg., 1811, mollusk; Risso, 1826, mollusk.
Hoplocephalus Linstow, 1898	
220ptotoppiumo 2222btotti, 2010 22222222	Oplocephalus for Hoplocephalus.
Leptoderes Dujardin, 1845.	
Litosoma van Beneden, 1873	
	Schenherr, 1837, coleopteron; Sclater,
	1859, bird.
Neorhynchus Hamann, 1892	Sclater, 1869, bird; Milne-Edwards, 1879,
	crustacean.
Oxysoma Schneider, 1866	Gervais, 1849, arachnoid; Kraatz, 1865,
	coleopteron.
Oxystoma Buetschli, 1874	Duméril, 1806, coleopteron.
Oxyurus Lamarck, 1816	Rafinesque, 1810, fish; Swains., 1827,
	bird.
Paradoxites Lindemann, 1865	
Paragordius Montgomery, 1898	
Pelodytes Schneider, 1860	
Pterocephalus Linstow, 1899	
Ptychocephalus Diesing, 1861	
Rhabdogaster Metschnikoff, 1867	
Rhabdonema Leuckart, 1883	
Rhabdonema Perroncito, 1886	Leuckart, 1883, nematode; Kuetzing, 1844, polygastrica.

See also p. 11 for explanation of insertion of other than nematode genera.

Roundworm genus.	Preoccupied as follows.
Rhytis Mayer, 1835	Zeder, 1803, worm.
Spilophora Bastian, 1865	Bohem., 1850, coleopteron.
Spinifer Linstow, 1901	Rafinesque, 1831, mollusk.
Spira Bastian, 1865	Brown, 1838, mollusk.
Spirura Diesing, 1861	E. Blanchard, 1849, nematode.
?Stenodes Dujardin, 18451	Guen., 1845, lepidopteron.
Trichina Owen, 1835	Meig., 1830, dipteron.
Trichoderma Greef, 1869	Steph., 1835, coleopteron; Swains., 1839, fish.
Trichodes Linstow, 1874	Herbst, 1792, coleopteron.
Triodontus Looss, 1900	Westwood, 1845, coleopteron.
Tropidurus Wiegmann, 1835	Neuwied, 1824, reptile.
Tropisurus Diesing, 1835	Neuwied, 1824, lizard.

## Roundworm generic names which absolutely preoccupy other names.

Roundworm genus.	Absolutely preoccupies the identical name proposed by.
Acanthocephalus Kœlreuter, 1771	
Allodapa Diesing, 1861	
Anguillula Mueller, 1786	
Autoplectus Balsamo-Crivelli, 1843	
Capillaria Zeder, 1800	
Capsularia Zeder, 1800	
Crino Lamarck, 1801	
Dicelis Dujardin, 1845	Stimps., 1857, worm.
Diplogaster Max Schultze, 1857	
Echinocephalus Molin, 1858	Schneider, 1875, protozoon.
Elaphocephalus Molin, 1860	Macleay, 1878, reptile.
Enoplus Dujardin, 1845	Reiche, 1859, coleopteron; Agassiz, 1846, fish.
Fimbria Cobb, 1894	Belon, 1896, insect.
Furia Linnæus, 1758	Cuvier, 1828, mammal.
Heligmus Dujardin, 1845	Cand., 1864, coleopteron.
Laphyctes Dujardin, 1845	Reichenbach, 1850, bird; Stål, 1853, hemipteron; Færst., 1878, hymenopteron.
Liniscus Dujardin, 1845.	Lefèvre, 1885, insect; Hæckel, 1880, cælenterate.
Lobocephalus Diesing, 1838	Kramer, 1898, arachnoid.
Paragordius Camerano, 1897	equals Paragordius Montgomery, 1898.
Pharyngodon Diesing, 1861	Cope, 1865, reptile.
Plectus Bastian, 1865	Scudder, 1882 [possibly earlier], coleopteron, for <i>Plectris</i> , 1825.
?Proboscidea "Bruguière,1791" [see p. 131].	Les., 18—, worm; Spix, 1823, mammal; Schmidt, 1832, mollusk; Trosch., 1848, mollusk.
Rhabdonema Leuckart, 1883	Perroncito, 1886, nematode.
Spirura E. Blanchard, 1849	
Strongylus Mueller, "1780," 1784	
Tetrameres Creplin, 1846	Schaufuss, 1877, coleopteron.
	Sommand, 2011, conspictom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We have not determined the relative date of these two publications.

Roundworm genus.	Absolutely preoccupies the identical name proposed by.
Trichoderma Greef, 1869	Nonfried, 1894, insect.
Trichonema Cobbold, 1874	Fromentel, 1875, protozoon.
Trichosoma Rudolphi, 1819	Boisd., 1834, lepidopteron; Swains., 1839, fish.
Uncinaria Freelich, 1789	Vest., 1867, mollusk.
Uracanthus Diesing, 1861	Fitzinger, 1865, bird.

There are but few authors who reject the Rule of Homonyms for absolute homonyms. Among living helminthologists, only one seems to have declared himself against it. In 1898, von Linstow proposed the name Hoplocephalus, and changed it the same year to Echinonema because Hoplocephalus was preoccupied in reptiles, 1829. Later, however, in 1899, he objected to the rejection of Trichina Owen, 1835 [not Meig., 1830, dipteron]. Von Linstow's position was that a genus of nematodes is not likely to be confused with a genus of diptera; hence, Trichina Owen, 1835, can safely be adopted. Consistency would compel him to admit as available such cases as: Conocephalus Diesing, 1861 (nematode), Conocephalus Thunb., 1812 (orthopteron), Conocephalus Zenk., 1833 (crustacean), and Conocephalus Dum., 1835 (reptile); or Laphyctes Dujardin, 1845 (nematode), Laphyctes Reichenbach, 1850 (bird), Laphyctes Stâl, 1853 (hemipteron), and Laphyctes Feerst., 1878 (hymenopteron).

#### 24. Phononyms.

While von Linstow seems to stand practically alone among helminthologists in regard to accepting absolute homonyms, there is a legitimate difference of opinion among systematists as to whether two combinations of letters must be absolutely identical in order to be homonyms. Thus the "Merton Rules" provide for the rejection of phononyms.

As some author, in discussing the Merton Rules, has already pointed out, while generic names as pronounced by a person of one nationality may be more or less phononymous, the same name pronounced by a person of another nationality may have a very different sound. The Merton Rule of phononyms does not therefore appear to be necessary.

Among roundworm genera the following names may be mentioned as more or less phononymous:

Roundworm genus.	Phononyms,
Acanthrus Acharius, 1780	Acanthurus Eichoff, 1886, insect.
Acrobeles Linstow, 1877	
Amphistenus Marion, 1870	Amphisternus Germ., 1843, coleopteron.
Enoplus Dujardin, 1845	Anoplus Schenh., 1826, coleopteron; Gray, 1840, reptile; Schl., 1842, fish.
Eurystoma Marion, 1870	
	Eurysomus Young, 1866, fish.

Roundworm genus.	Phononyms.
Fimbria Cobb, 1894c	Fimbriaria Freelich, 1795, cestode.
Gæzia Zeder, 1800	Gasia Beeck, 1871, crustacean; Gatia Karsch, 1892, insect.
Microlaimus de Man, 1880	Microlamia Bates, 1874, coleopteron.
Streptostoma Leidy, 1849	Streptotoma Guér., 1862, coleopteron.
Tribactis Dujardin, 1845	Tribacis Billb., 1820, lepidopteron.

## 25. Doubtful Homonyms.

The following generic names mentioned in this paper are very similar to, but not identical with, other generic names. Opinion differs in regard to their validity:

Roundworm genus.	Doubtful homonyms.
Acanthocheilus Molin, 1858'	Acanthocheila Stål, 1860, hemipteron.
	Allodape Lep., Serv., 1825, hymenopteron.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Allodapus Fieb., 1861, hymenopteron.
Angiostoma Dujardin, 1845a	
	Angystoma Klein, 1753, mollusk.
Arhynchus Shipley, 1896	
Asconema Leuckart, 1886	Askonema Kent, 1870, sponge.
Capillaria Zeder, 1800a	
Chromagaster Cobb, 1894c	
Cosmocephalus Molin, 1858.	Cosmocephala Stimps., 1857, worm.
Cosmocerca Diesing, 1861a	Cosmocercus Dej., ? date, coleopteron;
Cooncoon on Diocing, 1001	Thoms., 1864, coleopteron.
Cyathostoma E. Blanchard, 1849a	
Dactylius Curling, 1839a	
Diploodon Molin, 1861	Diplodon Spix, 1827, mollusk; Nitzsch,
	1840, bird.
	Dioplodon Gervais, 1850, mammal.
	Diplodonta Bronn, 1831, mollusk.
	Diplodontus Dug., 1834, arachnoid.
Dyacanthos Stiebel, 1817	?Diacanthus Siebold, 1817, worm; Latreille,
	1834, coleopteron.
	Diacantha Swainson, 1839, fish; Chevr., 1834, coleopteron.
Enoplus Dujardin, 1845	Enoplosus Lacép., 1802, fish; changed to
Ziropino Dajarani, 1515	Enoplus Agassiz, 1846.
Eucoleus Dujardin, 1845	1 0 ,
Globocephalus Molin, 1861	Globicephalus Lesson, 1828, mammal.
1100000	Globiocephalus Gray, 1843, mammal.
Heligmus Dujardin, 1845	Eligma Huebn., 1816, lepidopteron, changed to Heligma.
Heterocheilus Diesing, 1839	
,	Heterocheila —, ? date, for
	Heterochella Lioy., 1864, dipteron.
	Heterochelus Burmeister, 1844, coleopteron.
	Heterochilus, ? date, for Heterocheila.
Heterodera Schmidt, 1871	
Hoplocephalus Linstow, 1898	
., .,	Hoplocephala Macq., 1845, dipteron.
	Heplacephala Walk., 1857, dipteron.
	Heptacephata walk., 1891, dipteron.

Roundworm genus.	Doubtful homonyms,
Hoplocephalus Linstow, 1898	Oplocephala Lap., 1831, coleopteron.
	Hoplocephala (v. Heplacephala, Oploceph-
	ala).
Ironus Bastian, 1865	Irona Schicedte, 1883, crustacean.
	Ironeus Bates, 1872, coleopteron.
Isakis Lespès, 1856, changed to	Isacis Cope, 1873, and
Isacis Diesing, 1861	
Isacus Zool. Rec., 1897.	Isaca Walker, 1857, hemipteron.
Labiduris Schneider, 1866	Labidura Leach, 1817, orthopteron.
Laphyctes Dujardin, 1845	Laphyctis Loew., 1859, dipteron.
Lecanocephalus Diesing, 1839	Lecanicephalum Linton, 1891, cestode.
Leiuris Leuckart, 1850	Leiurus Ehr., 1829, arachnoid; Swains.,
	1839, fish; Gray, 1845, reptile.
Leptodera Dujardin, 1845	Leptodeira Fitz., 1843, reptile.
Leptoderes Dujardin, 1845	Leptodira for Leptodeira.
	Leptodirus Sturm., 1846, coleopteron.
	Leptodirus for Leptoderus Schmidt, 1849,
	coleopteron.
	Leptoderis Billb., 1820, coleopteron.
Leptosomatum Bastian, 1865	Leptosoma Whitman, 1886, worm.
	Leptosomatium Kraatz, 1895, insect.
Lepturis Schlotthauber, 1860	Leptura Linnæus, 1758, coleopteron.
	Lepturus Brisson, 1760, bird.
Litosoma van Beneden, 1873	Litosomus Lacordaire, 1866, coleopteron.
Mastophorus Diesing, 1853	Mastopora Eichw., 1840, mollusk.
Mitrephoros Linstow, 1877	Mitrephorus Scheenherr, 1837, coleopteron;
	Sclater, 1859, bird.
	Mitrophorus Burm., 1844, coleopteron.
Monodoutus Molin, 1861	Monodon Linnæus, 1758, mammal; Cu-
	vier, 1817, mollusk; Schweigger, 1820,
	mollusk; Gerv., 18—, mollusk.
	Monodonta Lamarck, 1799, 1801, mollusk.
	Monodontes Montf., 1810, mollusk.
Odontophora Buetschli, 1874	Odontophorus Vieillot, 1816, bird.
Oncophora Diesing, 1851	Onchophora Busk., 1855, mollusk.
	Oncophorus Rudow., 1874, neuropteron;
	Eppelscheim, 1885, insect.
Onyx Cobb, 1891	Onix Mayr & Forel, 1884, insect.
Oxystoma Buetschli, 1874	Oxystomus Fischer, 1803, mammal: Rafin-
	esque, 1810, fish; Latreille, 1825, cole-
	opteron; Swains., 1837, bird.
Oxyuris Rudolphi, 1803	Oxyurus Rafinesque, 1810, fish; Swains.,
	1827, bird.
Oxyurus Lamarek, 1816	Oxyura Bonap., 1828, bird.
	Oxyura for Oxura Kirby, 1817, coleopte-
	ron.
Paradoxites Lindemann, 1865	Paradoxides Brongn., ? date, crustacean.
Phacelura Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828	
	Phakellura Guild., 1840, lepidopteron.
Physocephalus Diesing, 1861	
Plectus Bastian, 1865	Plectris Lepell. & Serville, 1825, coleop-
	teron; changed to

Roundworm genus.	Doubtful homonyms,
Plectus Bastian, 1865	Plectus by Scudder, 1882, p. 269, possibly earlier.
?Polyporus Gruby, 1840	Polypora M'Coy, 1844, pol.; Mosel, 1876, cœlenterate.
Proboscidea "Bruguière, 1791"	Proboscidia Bory, 1824, rotifer.
Proleptus Dujardin, 1845	Prolepta Walk., 1851, hemipteron.
Pterocephalus Linstow, 1899	Pterocephala Swains., 1839, fish.
	Pterocephalia Rem., 1852, crustacean.
Rhabditis Dujardin, 1845	Rhabdites Haan, 1825, mollusk.
Spiliphera Bastian, 1865	Spilophora Bohem., 1850, coleopteron.
	Spilophorus Lac., 1856, coleopteron.
Spilophora, Bastian, 1865	Spilophorus Lac., 1856, coleopteron.
Spironoura Leidy, 1856	Spirura E. Blanchard, 1849, nematode.
Stenurus Dujardin, 1845	Stenura Dejean, 1834, coleopteron.
	Stenuris Kirby, 1837, coleopteron.
Strongylus Mueller, "1780," 1784	Stroggulus Motsch, 1845, coleopteron.
Synonchus Cobb, 1894	Synonycha Chevrolat, 1833, coleopteron.
Trichina Owen, 1835	Trichinia Bisch., ? date, worm.
	Trychina Klug., ? date, for
	Trychine Klug., ? date, coleopteron.
Trichodes Linstow, 1874	Trichotis Felder, 1874, lepidopteron.
	Trichoda Huebner, 1806, lepidopteron.
Trichosoma Rudolphi, 1819	Trichosomus Swains., 1839, fish; Chevrolat, ? 1881, coleopteron.
Trichuris Rederer & Wagler, 1761, 1762	Trichurus Wagner, 1843, for
	Trichosurus Lesson, 1828, mammal.
	Trichura Huebn., 1816, lepidopteron.
	Trichiurus Linnæus, 1758, fish.
	Trichiura Steph., 1829, lepidopteron.
Tricoma Cobb, 1894	Tricomia Walk., 1865, lepidopteron.
Tripula Bastian, 1865	
Tripyla Bastian, 1865	Tripylus Phil., 1845, echinoderm.
Uracanthus Diesing, 1861	Uracantha Hope, ante 1846, coleopteron.

Judging from published opinions, Braun, Looss, and many other authors would probably construe most of these names under the Rule of Homonyms. One of the points advanced in favor of so doing is that these names, if used as basis for family and subfamily names, might give rise to homonyms in groups higher than genera. This point hardly appears to be so important as might at first seem, for it is the exception rather than the rule that a family has but one genus, and if it has two genera, and one of its generic names is a doubtful homonym, the other generic name could be used as basis for the family and subfamily names.

Judging from von Linstow's position on absolute homonyms, he would doubtless accept doubtful homonyms as available. Jordan, Everman, Ashmead, and a number of other authors, including ourselves, accept names of this class on the ground that a difference of a single letter in two names precludes the possibility of their being identical, hence they can not be homonyms. (See Art. 36, Internat. Code.)

## 26. Emendation of Names.

The question relative to the necessity of emending names which were not originally published in accordance with the usages of classical orthography is one upon which there has been very great difference of opinion. Personally we have contended that classical Latin in nomenclature is a desideratum, but of really relatively secondary importance, and further (unfortunately) an impractical proposition; on this account we have contended, in common with Jordan, Everman, Ashmead, and a number of other zoologists, for the adoption of the originally published orthography, be it good, bad, or indifferent, and proposing that all names that are incorrectly written should be construed under Article 8k, of the International Code, as "arbitrary combinations of letters."

The International Congress of Zoology, held in Berlin, Germany, in 1901, decided in favor of emendation, hence, emendation is to-day called for by the International Code. (See Article 19.)

It is not desired to reopen the question at this time, but attention may be directed to certain work which should be undertaken before

emendation is put into practice.

It has been those authors who have argued in favor of the law of priority who have undertaken that extensive pioneer work which has made the carrying out of that law possible. Many authors who argued against it have adopted the law of priority in cases where they could do so without too much extra study, or where other men have worked out its application to certain groups. In this same spirit those authors who feel that emendation is an impractical proposition can justly look to their colleagues who think the rule practical to demonstrate its practicability by assuming the necessary burden of pioneer work in collating all the data which are prerequisite to an application of the rule in such a way as not to result in constant instability.

One of these prerequisites is a list of generic names which contains not only all generic and subgeneric names thus far proposed, but also all the variations in orthography of said names (to determine how many of these variations vitiate otherwise valid names by homonymy), and also the authoritatively correct orthography of all these words. To start in on emendation without such a list would be to take a leap in the dark, not knowing what may happen or where we may finally land. We favor the principle of majority rule, but in this case we belong to the conservative minority.

As a small contribution to this list, we are endeavoring gradually to collect all the names and variations found in the groups in which we are especially interested.

As soon as such a list demonstrates the feasible application of the rule, and places authors in a position so that it can be enforced, we

believe that all of us who have opposed its adoption should by all means follow the orthography agreed upon by the supporters of the rule. Until such a list and such a demonstration exists, or until there is at least an agreement upon the most common names and also an agreement as to what are homonyms, we find ourselves forced (much against our will) to continue to use original orthography.

As interesting examples of certain cases which will arise in the preparation of such a list 1 as is here suggested we will mention the

following nematode genera:

The hymenopteron genus Allodape, 1825, seems to have been changed to Allodapus by Fieb., 1861. What influence has this upon Allodapa Diesing, 1861? This case brings up the question as to whether words like Distomus, Distoma, and Distomum represent homonyms. (See Internat. Code, Art. 36.)

The Pre-Linnæan generic name Angystoma Klein, 1753, later Schumacher, 1817, was changed by Agassiz, 1846, to Angiostoma. What

effect does this have upon Angiostoma Dujardin, 1845?

Chromagaster Cobb, 1894, was changed to Chromogaster by Water-house, 1902. How is this influenced by Chromogaster Lauterborn, 1893?

Diplolaimus Linstow, 1876, was changed to Diplolæmus, see Scudder, 1884. How is this influenced by Diplolæmus Bell, 1843?

There is a lepidopteron genus Eligma Huebn., 1816, changed to Heligma by?, date?. What is the status of Heligmus, 1845?

There is a fish genus *Enoplosus* Lacep., 1832, which does not seem liable to be confused with the nematode genus *Enoplus* Dujardin, 1845. In 1846, Agassiz emended *Enoplosus*, 1832, to *Enoplus*, which is certainly an absolute homonym of *Enoplus*, 1845. What is the status of *Enoplosus*, 1832, and *Enoplus*, 1845?

Compare Heterocheilus Diesing, 1839, with Heterochelus Burmeister, 1844, coleopteron, and Heterocheila Rond., 1857, dipteron (also Heterochilus); Heterochella Lioy., 1864, dipteron (also Heterocheila).

Compare Hoplocephalus Linstow, 1898, with Hoplocephalus and Oplocephalus Cuvier, 1829, reptile; Hoplocephala Macq., 1845, dipteron; Heplacephala Walker, 1857, dipteron; Oplocephala Lap., 1831, coleopteron; Hoplocephala (v. Oplocephala and Heplacephala).

Isakis Lespès, 1856, was proposed for a genus of worms; it appears to have been changed to Isacis by Diesing, 1861; it is given by the Zool. Rec. (1896), 1897, as Isacus. Now, there exists Isacis and Isacus Cope, 1873, mammal. What effect does Isakis, 1856, have upon Isacus, 1873, and would the effect be the same if Isacus had not been used by Zool. Rec., 1897?

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  See also Cockerell, 1905. The letter '' k'' in zoological nomenclature < Science, N. Y., n. s. (561), v. 22, Sept. 29, 399–400.

There is a coleopteron genus *Mitrephorus*, 1837, and a bird genus *Mitrephorus*, 1859. What is the status of *Mitrephoros* von Linstow, 1877, altered form of *Mitrephorus*, 1877!

Oxyuris Rudolphi, 1803, was altered to Oxyurus by Lamarck, 1816. What effect does this have upon Oxyurus Raf., 1810 (fish)?

Spironoura Leidy, 1856, was apparently emended by Diesing, 1861, to Spirura. There already existed a Spirura Blanchard, 1849, hence, the emended form Spirura, 1861, is excluded since it is a homonym. Spironoura, 1856, is sufficiently distinct from Spirura, 1849, as not to be confused. What is the status of Spironoura, 1856? Is it a homonym of Spirura, 1849?

## 27. Nomenclatural Status of Misprints.

Criticisms have been raised because some authors include a citation of typographic errors in synonymy. Misprints seem to have a very definite nomenclatural status, however, and are therefore subject to citation and should be listed. In the first place it is often difficult to distinguish clearly between misprints and emendations, and cases are not unknown (Dermacentor—Dermacenter, Hymenolepis—Hymenolepsis, etc.), where a misprint has been adopted by several authors under the supposition that they were using the correct name. Further, the International Code provides for the admission of arbitrary combinations of letters as available scientific names. Such a name would naturally be a homonym if the same combination of letters had occurred as a misprint.

#### 28. Origin of the Law of Priority.

There still remain a few zoologists who do not follow the law of priority, and some men seem to be under the impression that this law is a more or less recent idea. It is, however, not a new idea, but seems to have been first proposed by Linnæus, although he did not follow it out consistently. In helminthology it was adopted by Rudolphi, 1801, but he did not apply it consistently.

## 29. Rudolphi's Rules of Nomenclature.

The fact that Rudolphi (1801, 62–65) published a set of rules on nomenclature seems to have been more or less generally overlooked. As they are of importance in interpreting his names, and as his code is very short, the rules are here reprinted for the benefit of helminthologists who do not have access to them. It will be seen that in 1801 Rudolphi declared in favor of the law of priority, although he did not adhere strictly to it in later years.

#### UEBER DIE BENENNUNGEN DER WÜRMER.

Die Namen der Würmer darf ich hier nicht ganz vorbeigehen, doch werde ich nur wenige spezielle Bemerkungen darüber machen. Ueber den Nutzen einer zweckmässigen Nomenklatur ist man allgemein einverstanden, und die Grundsätze, welche Linné in seiner *Philosophia botanica* für die Pflanzenkunde aufstellte, können mit wenigen Einschränkungen als für alle Naturreiche gültig angenommen werden. Folgende Grundsätze scheinen mir hier von Wichtigkeit:

- 1. Ein schon gebräuchlicher Name muss, wenn er irgend erträglich ist, und nicht geradezu auf etwas falsches hindeutet, beibehalten werden. Ich lasse daher die Namen Ascaris, Trichocephalus, Festucaria, Fusciola, Linguatula, Tænia stehen, die Zeder mit neuen vertauscht hat, obgleich einige dieser neuen Namen wirklich gut sind. Man muss ja ungeachtet dieser neuen Benennungen, die alten doch auch behalten, und in der Folge liessen sich vielleicht wieder bessere finden, so dass des Namenwechsels kein Ende wäre. Wie schwer hält es nicht, die praktischen Aertze dahin zu bewegen, den wirklich falschen Namen Trichuris oder Ascaris trichiura mit Trichocephalus zu vertauschen; sollten sie nun gar die Namen Tænia, Ascaris u. s. w. verändern, das würde ihnen sehr schwer ankommen, und ist auch überflüssig.
- 2. Wenn wir die Würmer in gewisse Familien bringen, so müssen diese Familien freilich einen Namen haben, allein dazu können wir den Namen einer hervorstechenden Gattung in Pluralis gebrauchen, wie wir dies z. B. häufig bei den natürlichen Ordnungen der Pflanzen thun; es ist also darum nicht nöthig, einen Gattungsnamen zum Familiennamen zu erheben, und der Gattung selbst einen neuen Namen zu geben, so können die Rundwürmer im Allgemeinen Ascarides genannt werden, und die Gattung Ascaris behält ihren Namen dessen ungeachtet.
- 3. Der Gattungsname muss, wenn es sein kann, etwas charakteristiches ausdrücken, z. B. Echinorhynchus, Liorhynchus, Cysticercus.
- 4. Der Gattungsname darf von keinem Schriftsteller hergenommen werden, z. B Gæzia, dies ist im Thierreich ungebräuchlich, und hat auch wirklich etwas sonderbares an sich. Im Pflanzenreich hingegen ist es üblich, und auch wirklich nicht zu tadeln.
- 5. Der Name Wurm lässt sich im deutschen Gattungsnamen anbringen, allein in einem systematischen lateinischen oder griechischen Namen passt er nicht; da heisst die Klasse Wurm, und bei der Gattung sagt es nichts, wenn ich es hier auch gebrauche, z. B. Rytelminthus, Alyselminthus.
- 6. Eben so wenig muss der Gattungsname auf etwas zielen, was der ganzen Klasse zukommt; wenn daher die Würmer im Allgemeinen (ob es gleich Ausnahmen giebt) tentacula zu einem Charakter haben, darf ich keine Wurmgattung Tentacularia nennen, so wie ich auch keine Insektengattung Antennaria nennen würde.
- 7. Von den Aufenthalt des Wurmes darf ich keinen Gattungsnamen hernehmen, daher ist der Name Cystidicola, den Fischer dem von ihm entdeckten Wurm gab, ganz falsch. Erstlich sind mehrere Würmer eben so gebildet, ohne sich in der Fischblase aufzuhalten, man sehe oben die Gattung Ophiostoma, und ich glaube sogar den Fischerschen Wurm in dem Darmkanal der Forelle gefunden zu haben. Zweitens sind auch andere Würmer<sup>1</sup> in der Fischblase gefunden. Drittens heisst eine jede Blase Cystis, und man könnte alle in Blasen vorkommende Würmer so nennen. Der Aufenthalt ist ja immer nur sehr zufällig.
- 8. Noch weniger darf der Gattungsname von dem Thier hergenommen werden, worin sich eine Art zeigt, z. B. Strigea, da sich ähnliche Würmer auch in anderen Thieren zeigen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gœze (Naturgeschichte der Eingeww. S. 421) führt an, dass Steller in der Schwimmblase des Salmo Eperlanus Würmer gefunden habe, die G. zum Fischbandwurm bringen mögte.

9. Die Namen, die sich auf aria endigen, taugen freilich nicht viel, indess sind sie schon sehr gebräuchlich, die aus odes aber nicht; daher nicht Mastigodes.

10. Wollen wir den Entomologen ihre Namen lassen, sie aber auch dafür bitten, uns nicht die unserigen, wie z. B. Strongylus, zu nehmen.

Diess in Rücksicht der Gattungsnamen. In Ansehung der Trivialnamen habe ich folgendes zu bemerken: Es hält allerdings schwer diese immer gut zu wählen, indess werden sich doch immer dergleichen finden lassen, wenn man auf die Form im Ganzen, oder auf die hervorstechende Gestalt einzelner Theile sieht. Von den Thieren, in denen sie gefunden sind, müssen die Würmer durchaus nicht benannt werden, da sich ein und derselbe Wurm oft in mehreren Arten oder sogar Gattungen von Thieren zeigt; alle so beschaffene Namen müssen daher geändert werden. Von Schriftstellern darf der Trivialname auch wohl nicht entlehnt werden, da diess immer bei den Würmern sonderbar klingt, besonders da es sonst üblich war, die Würmer nach dem Thier, worin sie sich fanden, zu benennen.

### 30. Polynomial Authors Between 1758 and 1819.

It is often difficult to decide whether or not a given paper should be rejected because of its not being strictly binomial in its nomenclature. These papers which are in doubt not infrequently give rise to considerable trouble in nomenclatural matters, and not a few cases of nomenclature could be solved more easily if the publications in question were to be ruled out of consideration entirely. On account of the difficulty in obtaining an agreement upon the matter, it might possibly be feasible to appoint a committee which should list the papers between 1758 and 1819 which are not entitled to citation in synonymy or to consideration in connection with the Law of Priority.

Of such papers which contain nematode genera, the following may be mentioned as not entitled to any nomenclatural consideration: Pallas (1760, 1768), Pereboom (1780), Fischer (1788b, 1789a), and Werner (1786).

As papers in connection with which authors will be more likely to differ in opinion may be mentioned: Bloch (1780a, 1782a) and Geze (1782a).

# PART II.—LIST OF GENERIC NAMES, CHIEFLY NEMATODES, WITH THEIR ORIGINAL AND TYPE SPECIES.

The following list includes all of the nematode genera (both freeliving and parasitic) which we have been able to find recorded. It also includes all of the species which come into consideration in determining the type species of the genera in question. With a few exceptions (in cases of type by original designation) all of the original species are given under each genus, and under these species cross references are given to the various genera in which they have been placed.

For various reasons it has not been found feasible to confine the list entirely to the nematodes; accordingly, occasional references will be found to genera of other groups.

abbreviata Rudolphi, 1819a, 30, 257-258.

1819: Physaloptera.

abbreviatus Rudolphi, 1819a, 21, 234-235.

1819: Cucullanus. 1845: Dacnitis.

abbreviatus Villot, 1874, Jan., 57.

1874: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

Acanthocephala Rudolphi, 1808a, 198. Ordinal name.

Acanthocephali Burmeister, 1837a, 527. Family name.

Acanthocephalos Geeze, 1782a, 141; for Acanthocephalus.

Acanthocephalus Keelreuter 1771a, 499–500, 503, pl. 26, figs. 5, a-d. Type Echmo-' rhynchus anguillæ Mueller, designated by Luehe, 1905, 329.

[Not Acanthocephalus Lap., 1833, hemipteron.]

Kœlreuter gives no specific name, but the parasite in question is clearly a thornheaded worm reported for Cyprinus rutilus, adhering to the intestinal wall. See also Echinorhynchus lavareti Rudolphi, 1809a, 313.

Acanthocheilonema Cobbold, 1870b, 9-14. A. dracunculoides Cobbold, 1870b, 10-14, only species, hence type.

Acanthocheilus Molin, 1858, 154–155. A. quadridentatus Molin, 1858, 155, only species, hence type.

[Not Acanthocheila Stål, 1860, hemipteron; Acanthochila Stål, 1873, for Acanthocheila.]

Acanthopharynx Marion, 1870, 34-37. Type species probably A. affinis.

perarmata Marion, 1870, 34-35, pl. K [26], figs. 1-1f. ♀

oculata Marion, 1870, 35, pl. K [26], figs. 2-2c. Q

striatipunctata Marion, 1870, 35–36, pl. K [26], figs. 3–3c. ♀

affinis Marion, 1870, 36, pl. K [26], figs. 4-4b. ♂ ♀

There seems to be no evidence that males were examined for the first three species.

Acanthophorus von Linstow, 1876, 5-6. Type species? A. tenuis. See Tropidocerca.

[Not Acanthophorus Serv., 1832, coleopteron; Acanthophora Soll., 1873, protozoon; Acanthophora Hulst, 1896, insect; Acanthophorys Edw., 1865, crustacean.]

tenuis von Linstow, 1876, 5–6, pl. 1, figs. 7–9. 3

horridus von Linstow, 1876, 6, pl. 1, figs. 10-12. 3

Acanthosoma Mayer, 1844, 409–410. A. chrysalis Mayer, 1844, 409–410, pl. 10, figs. 5–8, only species, hence type. A larval dipteron which has been interpreted as a roundworm

[Not Acanthosoma Curt., 1824, hemipteron; Acanthosoma Owen Ross, 18—, crustacean; Acanthosoma De K., 1842, fish.]

Acanthrus Acharius, 1780, 49–55. A. sipunculoides Acharius, 1780, 49–55, pl. 2, figs. 1–9, only species, hence type.

[Not Acanthurus Eichoff, 1886, insect.]

acanthura Diesing, 1851a, [591]; spinicauda Diesing, 1851a, 188, renamed.

1851: Ascaris. 1860: Oxyuris. 1861: Pharyngodon (type).

acer Bastian, 1865c, 156-157, pl. 13, figs. 187-188.

1865: Theristus (type).

aceti Mueller, 1783, 162; and Dugès, 1826a, 226. See also glutinis, anguillula, and Anguillula.

[1767: Chaos redivirum in part.] [1773: Vibrio anguillula in part.] 1783:
 Vibrio. 1786: Anguillula Mueller. 1803: Vibrio [only species in Blumenbach]. 1815: Gordius. 1838: Anguillula Ehrenberg. 1845: Rhabditis. 1865:
 Anguillula, 1828 [type, according to Bastian]. 1866: Leptodera. See p. 34.

Acheilostomi. Diesing, 1851a, 264. Section of Filaria, with 21 species.

acipenseri Wagner, 1867 (probably later), 6. [Not accessible to us.]

1867: Cystopsis (probably type, see p. 98). 1875: Cytoopsis. 1902: Cystoopsis. 1902: Cystoopsis.

Acrobeles Linstow, 1877, 2-3. A. ciliatus Linstow, 1877, 2-3, only species, hence type.

[Not Acrobela Færster, 1862, hymenopteron; Acrobelus Stål, 1869, hemipteron.]

Acuaria Bremser, 1811a, 26. Type Spiroptera authuris, established by Rudolphi,

1819a, 244. 1819: *Spiroptera* Rudolphi, 1819a, 22–29, 235–255. *Acuaria* renamed, hence same type.

1819: Authoris Rudolphi, 1819a, 244. Acuaria renamed, hence same type; also type by absolute tautonymy.

1845: Dispharagus Dujardin, 1845a, 42, 69–82. Type by inclusion, Spiroptera authuris.

For discussion of this very complicated case see p. 48.

aculeatus Curling, 1839a, 274-287, pl. 4, figs. 1-5.

1839: Dactylius (type).

acuminata Molin, 1860, 930.

1860: Spiroptera. 1897: Oxyspirura.

acuminatus Eberth, 1863a, 28-29, pl. 1, figs. 6-9.

1863: Odontobius. 1865: Anticoma,

acuminatus Bastian, 1865c, 120, pl. 10, figs. 87-88.

1865: Plectus.

acus Dujardin, 1845a, 264-265.

1845: Stenodes (type).

acuta Diesing, 1851a, 277-278; includes Filaria colymbi Rudolphi, 1819a,

1851: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema,

acutissima Molin, 1860, 332-333.

1860: Subulura (type).

acutum Molin, 1861, 449.

1861: Œsophagostomum.

acutus Dujardin, 1845a, 105.

1845: Proleptus (type).

xqualis Molin, 1858, 383-384.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Solenonema.

arophilum Creplin, 1839a, 278-279.

1839: Trichosomum. 1845: Eucoleus (probably type).

affine Leidy, 1856, 53.

1856: Spironoura, 1861: Spirura.

affinis Marion, 1870, 36, pl. K, fig. 4.

1870: Acanthopharynx (probably type).

Agamomermis Stiles, 1903, 15-17.

culicis Stiles, 1903, 15-17.

Agamomermis is a collective group for immature Mermis-like worms, which have not developed to a stage permitting a definite generic determination. Such a group has no type species.

Agamonema Diesing, 1851a, 78, 116–122. A collective group for immature nematodes in fish, and as such it has no type species. Original species:

bicolor (Creplin, 1825) Diesing, 1851a, 116.

papilligerum (Creplin, 1846) Diesing, 1851a, 116.

capsularia (Rudolphi, 1802) Diesing, 1851a, 116–117. Includes Filocapsularia communis Deslongchamps, 1824q, type.

ovatum (Zeder, 1803) Diesing, 1851a, 117-118.

cysticum (Rudolphi, 1819) Diesing, 1851a, 118.

(Species 6 to 24 are given as species inquirendæ.)

Agamonematodum Diesing, 1861a, 727. A collective group for immature nematodes, and as such it has no type species. Original species:

alause (Molin, 1859) Diesing, 1861a, 727.

paganelli (Molin, 1859) Diesing, 1861a, 727.

Agchylostoma Dubini, 1843a, 5–13. A. duodenale Dubini, 1843a, 5–13, only species, hence type. There exist the following variations in spelling:

1845: Ancylostoma Creplin, 1845a, 325. Agchylostoma renamed.

1846: Anchylostoma "delle Chiaje, 1846a, 399." Agchylostoma renamed.

1851: Anchylostomum Diesing, 1851a, 321-322. Agchylostoma renamed.

1851: Ancylostomum Diesing, 1851a, 82. Agchylostoma renamed.

1877: Anhylostoma Parona & Grassi, 1877, 192. Misprint.

1879: Anchilostoma Bozzolo, 1879b, 369-370. Agchylostoma renamed.

1883: Anghylostoma La Clinica de Malaga, 1883, 309.

1885: Ankylostoma Lutz, 1885, 2295–2350, 2467–2506. Agchylostoma renamed.

1886: Ankylostoma Leichtenstern, 1886, Mar. 18, 173; Apr. 8, 238. Agchylostoma renamed.

1895: Ankylostomum Stossich, 1895, 21-25. Agchylostoma renamed.

1897: Anchylostamum Mehlau, 1897, 573. Misprint.

1903: Anchylostomia Henderson, 1903a, Mar., 126. Misprint.

agile Leidy, 1849, Oct., 230-231.

1849: Streptostoma (type). [1849: Aorurus (? type); see also attenuatum.] 1853: Streptostomum (type).

agilis Rudolphi, 1819a, 67, 316-317.

1819: Echinorhynchus. 1892: Neorhynchus.

agilis Marion, 1870, 14-15, pl. B, fig. 1.

1870: Amphistenus (? type).

agilis Verrill, 1879, Nov. 5, 187-188.

1879: Nectonema (type).

Agriostomum Railliet, 1902, 107–108, 110. A. vryburgi Railliet, 1902, 107–108, only species, hence type.

agrostidis Bastian, 1865c, 128; includes "Vibrio graminis Steinbuch" and Anguillula graminearum (in part) Diesing.

1865: Tylenchus.

agrostis Steinbuch, 1799, 233-253.

1799: Vibrio. [1838: Anguillula.] [1859: Anguillulina.] [? 1865: Tylenchus.] Alaimus de Man, 1880, 2-3. Type species A. primitivus, designated in letter from de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903.

primitivus de Man, 1880, 2-3. ♂♀

dolichurus (de Man, 1876) de Man, 1880, 3. 3 9

alata Rudolphi, 1819a, 29-30, 256-257.

1819: Physaloptera.

alatus R. Leuckart, 1848, 26-28, pl. 2, figs. 3, A-D.

1848: Strongylus. 1848: Pharurus (type). [1851: Prosthecosacter.]

alatus Wedl, 1862, 470-471, pl. 2, figs. 20-22.

1862: Thelandros (type).

alausæ Molin, 1859, 31-32.

1859: Nematoideum. 1861: Agamonematodum.

albidum Bastian, 1865c, 143-144, pl. 11, figs. 154-155.

1865: Phanoderma.

alfredi Camerano, 1894b, June, 1-3.

1894: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

Allantinema R. Leuckart, 1884, 320. Misprint for Allantonema.

Allantonema R. Leuckart, 1884, 320. A. mirabile R. Leuckart, 1884, 320, only species, hence type.

1884: Allantinema Leuckart, 1884, 320. Misprint.

allodapa Creplin, 1853b, 61-64; = typica Diesing, 1861a, 644.

1853: Oxyuris. [1861: Allodapa (type).]

Allodapa Diesing, 1861a, 614, 644. A. typica Diesing, 1861a, 644, only species, hence type; = Oxyuris allodapa Creplin, 1853, renamed. Also type by absolute tautonymy.

[Not Allodapa Brunn, 1878, orthopteron; Allodape Lep. Serv., 1825, hymenopteron; Allodapus Fieb., 1861, hymenopteron.]

Alloionema Schneider, 1859, 25. Sept., 176-177. A. appendiculatum Schneider, 1859, 177, only species, hence type.

alpestris Villot, "1884, 44-45." [Not accessible to us.]

"1884: Gordius." 1897: Parachordodes.

ambigua Rudolphi, 1819a, 19, 229-230.

1819: Oxyuris. 1845: Passalurus (type).

ambigua Bastian, 1865c, 98, pl. 9, figs. 14–15.

1865: Monhystera.
Amblyonema Linstow, 1898, 470–471. A. terdentatum Linstow, 1898, 470–471, pl. 35, figs. 12–14, only species, hence type.

Amblyura Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a, unpaged. Type species probably A. serpentulus, see p. 66.

serpentulus (Mueller, 1773) Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a, pl. 2, fig. 14. 3 Q gordius (Mueller, 1786) Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a. [Very brief mention.] americana Stiles, 1902, May 10, 777-778.

1902: Uncinaria. 1903: Uncinaria (Necator [type]). 1904: Necator (type).

Amphistenus Marion, 1870, 14-16. Type species? A. agilis, by page precedence.

[Not Amphisternus Germ., 1843, coleopteron.]

agilis Marion, 1870, 14-15, pl. B, figs. 1-1g. Q pauli Marion, 1870, 15-16, pl. B, figs. 2-2b. Q

anacanthura Molin, 1860, 966–967.

1860: Spiroptera. 1897: Oxyspirura.

anatis Schrank, 1790, 119.

1790: Trichocephalus. [1803: sub Capillaria tumida (type).] [1809: sub Trichocephalus capillaris.] [1819: sub Trichosoma brevicolle.]

Anchilostoma Bozzolo, 1879b, 17 giugno, 369–370. Agchylostoma renamed, hence type species Agchylostoma duodenale.

Anchylostamum Mehlau, 1897, Mar., 573. Misprint for Anchylostoma.

Anchylostoma "delle Chiaje, 1846a, 399." Agchylostoma renamed, hence type species Agchylostoma duodenale.

Anchylostoma Dubini, 1850a, 102-112. Agchylostoma renamed.

Anchylostomia Henderson, 1903a, Mar., 126. Misprint for Anchylostoma.

Anchylostomum Diesing, 1851a, 321–322. Agchylostoma renamed, hence type species Agchylostoma duodenale.

Ancylostoma Creplin, 1845a, 325. Agchylostoma renamed, hence type species Agchylostoma duodenale.

[Not Ancylostomia Ragonot, 1893, insect.]

Ancylostomum Diesing, 1851a, 82. Agchylostoma renamed, hence type species Agchylostoma duodenale.

Ancyracanthopsis Diesing, 1861a, 670-671. A. bilabiata (Molin, 1860) Diesing, 1861a, 671, only species, hence type; = Ancyracanthus bilabiatus Molin.

Ancyracanthus Diesing, 1838a, 189; 1839a. A. pectinatus Diesing, 1838a, 189, only species, hence type; nomen nudum except for habitat; renamed A. pinnatifidus Diesing, 1839a, 227-229, pl. 14, figs. 21-27.

1898: Aucyracanthus Zool. Rec. (1897), 1898, v. 34, Verm., 42. Misprint.

Ancyrocephalus Creplin, 1839a, 292. A. paradoxus Creplin, 1839a, 292, only species, hence type. Creplin placed this genus among the trematodes. See also Linstow, 1878, 210.

androphora Nitzsch, 1821, 48-49.

1821: Ascaris. 1821: Hedruris (type).

Anghylostoma La Clinica de Malaga, 1883, 309. For Agchylostoma, hence type duodenale.

Angiostoma Dujardin, 1845a, 244, 262–263. Type species A. limacis. See p. 34. 1845: Angiostomum Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653.

1851: Angiostomum Diesing, 1851a, 79, 138–139.

[Not Angystoma Schumacher, 1817, 229 (mollusk), for Angystoma Klein, 1753, mollusk, changed to Angiostoma Agassiz, 1846; Angystoma Risso, 1826, 226 (supergeneric).]

entomelas Dujardin, 1845a, 262-263, pl. 4, fig. C. 3 9

limacis Dujardin, 1845a, 263, pl. 4, fig. B. 3 Q To Leptodera angiostoma Schneider, 1866, 157. Type by designation (Schneider) and by absolute tautonymy. See p. 34.

Angiostomum Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653. Corrected to Angiostoma Dujardin, 1845a, 653. anguilla Lockwood, 1872, Aug., 449–454, figs. 120–122.

1872: Koleops (type).

anguillæ Mueller, 1780, 2, pl. 69; "1784, 84."

1780: Echinorhynchus. 1905: Acanthocephalus (type).

Anguillina Hammerschmidt, 1838a, 352, 358. A. monilis Hammerschmidt, 1838a, 358, pl. 4, fig. a, only species, hence type. Hammerschmidt states that there are three species in this genus, but he mentions only one.

[Not Anguillinia Rafinesque, 1815, 91, fish, subfamily; "Anguillina" Scudder, 1884, 19, for Anguillinia.

1884, 19, for Anguillinia.

Anguillola Grassi & Calandruccio, 1884a, 22 nov., 492–494. Misprint for Anguillula; for Rhabdonema.

Anguillula "Mueller, 1786, 63." Type by absolute tautonymy Vibrio anguillula Mueller, 1773 [= Chaos redivivum Linnaus, 1767, renamed], confined to Vibrio glutinis by Mueller, 1783, see discussion, p. 34.

Anguillula Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a. Type species fluvialis=fluviatilis, by present designation, based upon page precedence, elimination, and other factors, see p. 34. Bastian's designation of aceti as type can not be admitted, see p. 37.

anguillula Mueller, 1773, 41. Equals redivirum, 1767, renamed. See Anguillula.

1773: Vibrio. [1786: Anguillula (type).] 1788: Chaos.

Anguillulina Gervais & van Beneden, 1859b, 101–103. Type species probably A. tritici. tritici (Steinbuch, 1799, or Bauer, 1823) Gervais & van Beneden, 1859b, 102. To Tylenchus by Bastian, 1865c; returned to Anguillula by Railliet, 1893.

dipsaci (Kuehn, 1857) Gervais & van Beneden, 1859b, 102–103. To Tylenchus by Bastian, 1865c.

[? Vibrio agrostis Steinbuch, 1799, 233-253.] Gervais & van Beneden, 1859b, 101.

[? Vibrio phalaridis Steinbuch, 1799, 253–257.] Gervais & van Beneden, 1859b, 101.

[? Vibrio graminis Steinbuch in Naturf., 28, 233, & Analecten 97, 135]; to Tylenchus as T. agrostidis by Bastian, 1865c, 128.

Anhylostoma Parona & Grassi, 1877, 192. Misprint for Anchylostoma.

Anisakis Dujardin, 1845a, 151, 220–230. Type species? "A. simplex Rudolphi," misdetermined; = A. dussumierii. Subgenus of Ascaris.

Ascaris distans Rudolphi, 1809a, 128–129. 3 Q Ascaris simplex Rudolphi, 1809a, 170. 3 Q

Ankylostoma Lutz, 1885, 2295–2350, 2467–2506; Leichtenstern, 1886, Mar. 18, 173; Apr. 8, 238. Agchylostoma renamed, hence type species Agchylostoma duodenale.

Ankylostomum Stossich, 1895, 21–25. Agchylostoma renamed, hence type species Agchylostoma duodenale.

annulata de Man, 1880, 59.

1880: Macroposthonia (type).

annulosum Dujardin, 1845a, 27.

1845: Calodium (?type).

Anoplostoma Buetschli, 1874b, 272–273. Type species Symplocostoma vivipara Bastian, 1865c, 133–134, pl. 11, figs. 123–125; designated type of genus by Buetschli, 1874b, 272.

anoura Dujardin, 1845a, 221-222.

1845: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaris (Polydelphis [type]).

antarcticus de Man, 1904, 44.

1904: Plectus (Plectoides).

Anthraconema zur Strassen, 1904, 301–346, figs. a-j, pls. 15–16. Type by designation of zur Strassen in personal letter to Stiles, dated Oct. 12, 1904, A. weismanni. weismanni zur Strassen, 1904, 302–346, figs. b, c, e, h, pl. 15, figs. 1–4; pl. 16, figs. 6–9. More common species.

sagax zur Strassen, 1904, 302-346, figs. a, d, g, j, pl. 15, fig. 5.

Anthrocephali Encycl. Americana, v. 7, 1903, Art. Entozoa. For Acanthocephali.

Anthuris Rudolphi, 1819a, 244. Type by absolute tautonymy Spiroptera anthuris Rudolphi, 1819a, 25. It seems positive that Rudolphi based his generic term Anthuris on this species.

anthuris Rudolphi, 1819a, 25.

[1811: Acuaria (type).] 1819: Spiroptera (type). 1819: Anthuris (type). 1845: Dispharagus (type). 1866: Filaria.

Anticoma Bastian, 1865c, 141–142. Type A. eberthi, designated by Bastian in letter

to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

eberthi Bastian, 1865c, 141, pl. 11, figs. 143-145. 3 9

limalis Bastian, 1865c, 141-142, pl. 11, figs. 146-148.

pellucida Bastian, 1865c, 142, pl. 11, figs. 149-150. Q

acuminata (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 142. 3 9 [Not examined by

Antoplectus see sub Autoplectus Balsamo-Crivelli, 1843b.

Aorurus Leidy, 1849, 230, 231. Type species? Streptostoma agile.

Leidy divided Aorurus into two subgenera, namely, Streptostoma (only species, hence type agile Q) and Thelastoma (only species, hence type attenuatum Q). Leidy, 1850, 100-102, still retains the same order, namely, one genus divided into two subgenera. Either agile or attenuatum may be taken as type.

Aphanolaimus de Man, 1880, 5-6. A. attentus de Man, 1880, 6, only species, hence type. Aphelenchus Bastian, 1865c, 93, 121-124. Type species A. avena, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

avenæ Bastian, 1865c, 122-123, pl. 10, figs. 97-98.

villosus Bastian, 1865c, 123, pl. 10, figs. 99-101. ♂ ♀ parietinus Bastian, 1865c, 123, pl. 10, figs. 102-103.

puri Bastian, 1865c, 123-124, pl. 10, figs. 103a-103c. ♂ ♀

apiarium Bosc, 1812a, 72-73, pl. 1, fig. 3.

1812: Dipodium (type).

Apororhynchus Shipley, 1899, Aug., 361. A. hemignathi (Shipley, 1896), only species, hence type.

1896: Arhynchus Shipley, 1896, 207-218. [Not Arhynchus Dejean, 1834, coleopteron.]

appendiculatum Schneider, 1859, 25. Sept., 177.

1859: Alloionema (type).

appendiculatus Molin, 1861, 544-547.

1861: Kalicephalus.

Aprocta von Linstow, 1883, 289-290. A. cylindrica von Linstow, 1883, 289-290, pl. 7, fig. 21, only species, hence type.

[Not Aprocta Diesing, 1850a, 478, 481, suborder. Diesing's use of this name in subordinal sense does not invalidate Aprocta, 1883.]

aquaticus Linnæus, 1758a, 647.

1758: Gordius (type).

aquaticus de Man, 1880, 60.

1880: Rhabdolaimus.

aquatilis Dujardin, 1845a, 68, pl. 3, fig. E.

1845: Filaria. 1889: Mermis. [1898: Paramermis.]

Aracolaimus de Rouville, 1903, 11. Dec., 1529. Probably misprint for Aracolaimus. Arxolaimoides de Man, 1893, 86. Arxolaimus (Arxolaimoides) microphthalmus de Man, 1893, 86-89, pl. 5, fig. 4, only species, hence type.

Arxolaimus de Man, 1888, 14-17. Type species A. bioculatus, designated in letter from de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903.

1888: Arceolaimus de Man, 1888, 15. For Arceolaimus.

bioculatus (de Man, 1877) de Man, 1888, 15. ♂ ♀

[mediterranea de Man, 1877]; see also de Man, 1888, 15. 3 Q

elegans de Man, 1888, 16-17, pl. 1, fig. 9. 3 9

arcuata Cobbold, 1874h, 85-87, figs. a-g.

1874: Trichonema (type).

argillaceus Linnæus, 1758a, 647.

1758: Gordius.

Arhynchus Shipley, 1896, 207–218. A. hemignathi Shipley, 1896, 207–218, pl. 12, figs. 1–15, only species, hence type. Renamed Apororhynchus, 1899.

[Not Arhynchus Dejean, 1834, coleopteron; Arrhynchus Philippi, 1871, insect.] armata Rudolphi, 1801, 57. See also ascaroides Gœze, 1782a; cucullanus Schrank, 1788. [1800: Gœzia.] 1801: Gœzia (type). 1803: Cochlus (type).

Arcolaimus de Man, 1888, 15. Misprint for Arcolaimus.

Ascaridea Ehrenberg, 1831. This is given by Scudder, 1884, 29, as a supergeneric name; we have been unable to find it.

Ascaridia Dujardin, 1845a, 151, 214–220. Type species? Ascaris truncata. A subgenus of Ascaris containing

truncata (Zeder, 1803), & Q, including Ascaris hermaphrodita Freelich.

inflexa Rudolphi, 1819a, 3°, including Fusaria reflexa Zeder, 1800a [not Fusaria inflexa Zeder, 1800] and Ascaris funiculus Deslongchamps. See sub reflexa, Heterakis vesicularis (type).

gibbosa Rudolphi, 1809a, as doubtful; including Fusaria strumosa Zeder, 1800a. perspicillum Rudolphi, 1809a, as doubtful.

compar Schrank, 1790, as doubtful; including Ascaris lagopodis Freelich, 1802a. maculosa Rudolphi, 1809a, δ ♀, including Ascaris columbie Gmelin, 1790a.

Ascaris Linnæus, 1758a, 644, 648. Type by elimination and designation Ascaris lumbricoides Linnæus, 1758a. For discussion, see p. 60.

1780: Stomachida Pereboom, 1780, only species, hence type Stomachida vermis = Ascaris lumbricoides.

1800: Fusaria Zeder, 1800a;=Ascaris renamed, hence type species Ascaris lumbricoides.

1821: Lombricoides Mérat, 1821, 225, type vulgaris=lumbricoides.

1896: Ascoria Huber, 1896a, 562. Misprint.

vermicularis Linnæus, 1758a, 648. To Fusaria by Zeder, 1803a; to Oxyuris by Bremser. 1819a.

lumbricoides Linnæus, 1758a, 648; = Stomachida vermis Pereboom, 1780; to Fusaria by Zeder, 1800a; = Ascaris renamed.

ascaroides Gœze, 1782a, 40, 134. See armata Rudolphi.

1782: Cucullanus. [1800: Gazia (type).] [1801: Cochlus (type).] 1810: Prinonoderma (type).

Ascaroides Barthélemy, 1858a, 41–48. A. limacis Barthélemy, 1858a, 41–48, pl. 5, figs. 8–15, only species, hence type.

Ascarophis van Beneden, 1871a, 92. Mentions A. morrhuæ (from Gadus morrhua), pl. 3, fig. 1, apparently type. See Ascaropsis.

Ascarops van Beneden, 1873b, 22. A. minuta van Beneden, 1873b, 22, pl. 5, figs. 6-11, only species, hence type.

Ascaropsis Power & Sedgwick, 1880. See Ascarophis van Beneden, 1871a.

Asconema R. Leuckart, 1886, 20. Dec., 743-746. A. gibbosum R. Leuckart, 1886, 743-746, only species, hence type. See Atractonema.

[Not Askonema Kent, 1870, Nov. 1, sponge.]

Ascoria Huber, 1896a, 562. Misprint for Ascaris, 1758.

Aspidocephalus Diesing, 1851a, 80, 208. A. scoleciformis Diesing, 1851a, 208, only species, hence type.

[Not Aspidocephalus Motsch, 1839, coleopteron, for Aspidocephalus; not Aspidocephala Burmeister, 1837, crustacean (supergeneric name); not Aspidocephali Ritg., 1828, reptile (supergeneric name).]

Atractis Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 233, 654. Ascaris dactyluris Rudolphi, 1819a, only species, hence type.

Atractonema R. Leuckart, 1887, Apr. 25, 678-703, pl. 3, figs. 1-13; [= Asconema Leuckart, 1886 [not Askonema Kent, 1870], renamed]. Type species A. qibbosum.

attentus de Man, 1880, 6.

1880: Aphanolaimus (type).

attenuata Rudolphi, 1803, 3. See also Filaria falconis Gmelin, 1790a.

1803: Filaria (? type, see also quadrispina Diesing, and martis Gmelin).

attenuata Rudolphi, 1819a, 26.

[1811: Acuaria.] 1819: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus.

attenuatum Leidy, 1849, 231.

1849: Thelastoma (type). [1849: Aorurus.] [1856: Thelastomum (type).]

attenuatus Dujardin, 1845a, 236.

1845: Oncholaimus (? type, see p. 121). 1851: Enoplus.

Aucyracanthus Zool. Rec. (1897), 1898, v. 34, Verm., 42. Misprint for Ancyracanthus.
Aulolaimus de Man, 1880, 30–31. A. oxycephalus de Man, 1880, 31, only species, hence type.

australis Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 409-410, figs. 9, i-iv.

1894: Bathylaimus (type).

Autoplectus Balsamo-Crivelli, 1843b, 188. A. protognostus Balsamo-Crivelli, 1843b, 188, only species, hence type.

[Not Autoplectus Raffray, 1883, insect; see Zool. Rec. (1883), 1884, v. 20, Index,2; Waterhouse, 1902, 40, gives this as Antoplectus (probably misprint).]

avenæ Bastian, 1865c, 122-123, pl. 10, figs. 97-98.

1865: Aphelenchus (type).

Axonolaimus de Man, 1889, 3-4. Type species A. spinosus, designated in letter from de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903.

filiformis de Man, 1889, 3-4. & Q

bacillata Eberth, 1863a, 19-20, pl. 2, figs. 1-4.

1863: Phanoglene. 1865: Leptosomatum.

barbata Carter, 1859d, July, 43-44, pl. 3, fig. 32.

1859: Urolabes. 1865: Symplocostoma.

barbiger Nordmann, 1840, 664.

1840: Phanoglene.

Bastania Zool. Rec. (1893), 1894, v. 30, Verm., 56. Misprint for Bastiania.

Bastiana Scudder, 1882, 37. Misprint for Bastiania.

Bastiania de Man, 1876, 172-174. B. gracilis de Man, 1876, 172-174, pl. 11, figs. 43, a-c, only species, hence type.

1884: Bastiana Scudder, 1884, 37. Misprint for Bastiania.

1894: Bastania Zool. Rec. (1893), 1894, v. 30, Verm., 56. Misprint for Bastiania.

Bathylaimus Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 409-410. B. australis Cobb, 1894c, 409-410, figs. 9, i-iv, only species, hence type.

bicolor Creplin, 1825a, 4-5.

1825: Filaria. 1851: Agamonema.

bicuspis Rudolphi, 1819a, 24, 240-241.

1819: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus.

bidens Rudolphi, 1819a, 24, 240.

[1811: Acuaria.] 1819: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus. 1866: Ancyracanthus. bifida Fabricius, 1780a, 273; includes Gordius atak Mueller.

1780; Ascaris, [1801: Ophiostoma.] 1803: Ophiostoma. [?]: Proboscidea.

bifida Molin, 1858, 411-412.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema.

bifurcata Cobb, 1898a, Mar., 315, figs. 36, i-iv; [Apr.], 453, fig. 127. 1898: Lepidonema (type).

bilabiata Diesing, 1851a, 277; includes Filaria sternæ Rudolphi, 1819a. 1851: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema.

bilabiata Molin, 1860, 343.

1860: Ancyracanthus. 1861: Ancyracanthopsis (type).

"bilinguis Schrank, 1796, 231, n. 1, pl. 2, A, B." [Not accessible to us.]

1796: Linguatula (probably type). 1809: Hamularia. [1851: Filaria nodulosa.] [1861: Monopetalonema obtuse-caudatum.]

bioculata Schultze, 1857, pl. 8, fig. 2.

1857: Rhabditis. 1865: Chromadora.

bioculata de Man, 1877, 107-108, pl. 8, figs. 13, a-d.

1877: Spira. 1888: Arxolaimus (type).

bispinosa Diesing, 1851a, 278.

1851: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema.

blainvillii Zenker, 1827, 53.

1827: Netrorhynchus (type).

bombi Dufour, 1837a, 9, pl. 1 Å, fig. 3. 1837: Sphæruloria (type).

bothropis Molin, 1861, 549; sp. inq.

1861: Kalicephalus.

bothryophorus Schuberg & Schreder, 1904, 22. Feb., 629-632.

1904: Myenchus (type).

Brachynema Cobb, 1893a, Oct., 811. B. obtusa Cobb, 1893a, 811, only species, hence type.

[Not Brachynema Fieb., 1861, hemipteron.]

Bradynema zur Strassen, 1892, Oct. 18, 655-747. Filaria rigida von Siebold, 1836, 33, only species, hence type.

brevicaudata Zeder, 1800a, 66-68.

1800: Fusaria. 1802: Ascaris. 1845: Heterakis. 1866: Oxysoma (probably type).

brevicaudata Mueller, 1894, 113, 116-117, pl. 7, fig. 2.

1894: Strongyluris (type).

brevicaudatum Marion, 1870, 24-25, pl. G, fig. 2.

1870: Enoplostoma.
brevicaudatus Dujardin, 1845a, 80.

1845: Dispharagus. 1851: Histiocephalus. 1891: Dispharagus.

brevicaudatus Cobb, 1898a, Apr., 440, 441, figs. 102-103.

1898: Zoniolaimus.

brevicolle Rudolphi, 1819a, 13; =capillaris Rudolphi, 1809a, 86, renamed.

[1803: Capillaria tumida (type).] 1819: Trichosoma (type).

brevicollis Cobb, 1898a, Mar., 311, figs. 29, i-iv.

1898: Rhigonema (type).

brevipenis Molin, 1860, 921.

1860: Spiroptera. 1897: Oxyspirura.

brevipenis Molin, 1861, 548-549.

1861: Kalicephalus.

brevispinosus Diesing, 1861a, 728.

1861: Uracanthus (type).

brevisubulata Molin, 1860, 959.

1860: Spiroptera. 1897: Oxyspirura.

britannicus de Man, 1889, 4-5.

1889: Thalassironus (type).

Bunonema Jägerskiöld, 1905, Feb. 28, 557–561, 1 fig. B. richtersi Jägerskiöld, 1905, 557–561, 1 fig., only species, hence type.

Bunostomum Railliet, 1902, Feb. 7, 108–109, 110. Type by original designation B.
 trigonocephalum (Rudolphi, 1809) Railliet, 1902, 108. Railliet proposed
 Bunostomum to replace Monodontus Molin, 1861, but he designated B. trigonocephalum type of Bunostomum. (See below, p. 121.)

cæca Bastian, 1865c, 169, pl. 13, figs. 239-241.

1865: Chromadora.

cæcus Bastian, 1865c, 163, pl. 13, figs. 213-214.

1865: Cyatholaimus.

Calodium Dujardin, 1845a, 4, 25-29. Type species? C. annulosum.

splenæcum Dujardin, 1845a, 25-26, pl. 1, fig. A. ♂♀

plica (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 26-27. 3 9

annulosum Dujardin, 1845a, 27. 3 Q (From Mus rattus and M. decumanus.)

longifilum Dujardin, 1845a, 27-28. Only 3.

ornatum (Dujardin, 1843) Dujardin, 1845a, 28. ♂♀

tenue Dujardin, 1845a, 28-29. ♂ ♀ (From Columba domestica.)

As the rat is one of the easiest animals to obtain, it will be better to select *C. annulosum* as type, unless some author has already selected another species. *calvadosicus* de Man, 1890, 190–192, pl. 5, fig. 10.

1890: Oncholaimellus (type).

Calyptonema Zool. Rec. (1876), 1878, v. 13, Verm., 18. See Calyptronema.

Caluptronema Marion, 1870, 12-13. C. paradoxum Marion, 1870, 12-13, pl. A, fig. 2, only species, hence type.

[1870: Calyptonema Marion. Misprint? Zool. Rec. (1876), 1878, v.13, Verm., 18.] Camacolaimus de Man, 1889, 8. C. tardus de Man, 1889, 8, only species, hence type. candidus Mueller, 1776, 214. Renamed acus Rudolphi, 1802, 51.

1776: Echinorhynchus. [[?]: sub Proboscidea versipellis.]

Capillaria Zeder, 1800a, 5. Type by virtual tautonymy and page precedence Trichocephalus capillaris Rudolphi, 1809a; = Capillaria tumida.

[Not Capellaria Gistl., 1848; not Capillaria Haworth, 1828, lepidopteron.]

1819: Trichosoma Rudolphi, 1819a, 13–16, 219–223; = Capillaria Zeder, 1800a, renamed.

1839: Trichosomum Creplin, 1839a, 278; for Trichosoma.

tumida Zeder, 1803a, 61; from Anas querquedula; = Trichocephalus anatis Schrank, 1790, renamed; = Trichocephalus capillaris Rudolphi, 1809a, 86; including Linguatula trichocephala Schrank, 1797, 232, and Capillaria tumida; renamed Trichosoma brevicolle Rudolphi, 1819a, 13. Type by virtual tautonymy and page precedence.

semiteres Zeder, 1803a, 61. Renamed Hamularia nodulosa Rudolphi, 1809a, 84, and Trichosoma longicolle Rudolphi, 1819a, 221, including Filaria gallinæ Gmelin, 1790a, 3040, Gordius gallinæ (Gmelin), Tabl. encycl., Linguatula unilinguis Schrank, 1797, 231.

capillaris Rudolphi, 1809a, 86-87;=anatis Schrank, 1790, and tumida renamed.

[1790: Trichocephalus anatis] [1803: Capillaria tumida sub (type).] 1809: Trichocephalus. [1819: Trichosoma brevicolle sub (type).]

capillaris Molin, 1860, 349.

1860: Spiroptera. 1861: Cheilospirura.

capitatus Looss, 1900, 191-192.

1900: Gyalocephalus (type).

Capsularia Zeder, 1800a, 5, 7-15. Type by tautonymy and page precedence, Ascaris capsularia Rudolphi, 1802a; = Capsularia salaris. See p. 37.

[Not Capsularia Oken, 1815, coleopteron.]

salaris (Gmelin, 1790) Zeder, 1800a; renamed Ascaris capsularia Rudolphi, 1802, 27.

halecis (Gmelin, 1790) Zeder, 1800a; renamed Filaria capsularia Rudolphi, 1802, 3–5; renamed Filocapsularia communis Deslongchamps, in part halecis. capsularia Rudolphi, 1802, 2–5; = Capsularia halecis renamed; see Filocapsularia communis

[1800: Capsularia.] 1802: Filaria. [Sub Filocapsularia communis Deslongchamps, 1824q (type).]

capsularia Rudolphi, 1802, 27; = Capsularia salaris renamed.

[1800: Capsularia (type).] 1802: Ascaris. 1851: Agamonema.

Carnoya Gilson, 1898a, 335–369. C. vitiensis Gilson, 1898a, 335–369, 1 pl., figs. 1–23, only species, hence type.

catanensis Drago, ["1887a"] 1887b, 81-83. Parasitic oligochete.

1887: Epithelphusa (type).

caudispina Molin, 1858, 382-383, pl. 1, fig. 4.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Dipetalonema (probably type).

Cephalacanthus Diesing, 1853a, Jan., 34–35. Type species probably C. monacanthus.
[Not Cephalacanthus Lac., 1802, fish.]

monacanthus Diesing, 1853a, 35. Host Tenebrio molitor.

triacanthus Diesing, 1853a, 35. Host Geotrupes stercorarius.

cephalata Cobb, 1894c, Apr., 399-401, figs. 7, i-iv.

1894: Platycoma (type).

Cephalobus Bastian, 1865c, 94, 124–125. Type species C. persegnis, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1994.

persegnis Bastian, 1865c, 124–125, pl. 10, figs. 104–106. 3 Q striatus Bastian, 1865c, 125, pl. 10, figs. 107–108. Q

Cephalonema Cobb, 1893a, Oct., 825. C. longicauda Cobb, 1893a, 825, fig. 41, only species, hence type. See Nanonema.

[Not Cephalonema, Stimps. (? date), worm. See Scudder, 1884, 58.]

cephalopodum Diesing, 1851a, 353.

1851: Fictitium (type).

cephaloptera Molin, 1860, 956-957.

1860: Spiroptera. 1861: Cheilospirura. 1897: Oxyspirura (type).

Ceratospira Schneider, 1866, 29, 104, 108-109. C. vesiculosa Schneider, 1866, 109, 1 fig, only species, hence type.

Cercosoma Brera, 1809a, 106-108. Larva of Eristalis.

ceti Roussel, 1834, 326-331.

1834: Odontobius (type).

cettensis de Rouville, 1903, 11. Dec., 1529.

1903: Sabatieria (type).

Chætia Hill, 1752a, 14, pl. 2. Pre-Linnæan, for Gordius aquaticus.

Chætosoma Claparède, 1863a, 88–89. C. ophicephalum Claparède, 1863a, 88–89, pl. 18, figs. 2–3, only species, hence type.

[Not Chatosoma Dej., ? date, coleopteron; Chatosoma Westwood, 1851, coleopteron; Chatostoma Tsch., 1845, fish; Chatostoma Rond., 1856, dipteron; Chatotoma Motsch, coleopteron.]

Chaolaimus Cobb, 1893a, Oct., 821. C. pellucidus Cobb, 1893a, 821, fig. 39, only species, hence type.

Chaos Linnæus, 1767, 1074, 1326–1327. Type by absolute tautonymy Chaos protheus Linnæus, 1767; = Volvox chaos Linnæus, 1758a; = Chaos chaos (Linnæus, 1758) Stiles, 1905 [= Amæba proteus]. For discussion, see p. 38. [Not Chaus Grav, 1843, mammal.]

chaos Linnæus, 1758a, 821. See also proteus and protheus.

1758: Volvox. [1767: Chaos (type).] [1773: Vibrio.] [1786: Proteus.] [1822: Amiba (type).] [1831: Amæba (type).]

Characostomum Railliet, 1902, 109–110. Type species C. longemucronatum (Molin, 1861). Railliet proposed this generic name as substitute for Globocephalus Molin, 1861, because of the existence of Globicephalus Lesson, 1828, mammal. He had previously (1895) proposed Cystocephalus as substitute, but this is preoccupied by Cystocephalus Léger, 1892. Since, however, Globocephalus and Globicephalus are two different combinations of letters, they are different, hence can not be identical. There is therefore no necessity for rejecting Globocephalus.

Cheilospirura Diesing, 1861a, 618, 683–686. Type species by present designation C. hamulosa.

1884: Cheirospirura von Drasche, 1884a, 213. Misprint.

posthelica (Molin, 1860) Diesing, 1861a, 683-684.

quadricostata (Molin, 1860) Diesing, 1861a, 684: ♂♀

erecta (Molin, 1860) Diesing, 1861a, 684. 3 See Spiroptera anabatis.

uncinipenis (Molin, 1860) Diesing, 1861a, 684-685. 3 Q From Rhea americana.

hamulosa (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 685. ♂♀ (From Gallus gallus.)
To Dispharagus by Stossich, 1890.

longestriata (Molin, 1860) Diesing, 1861a, 685. ♂♀

cephaloptera (Molin, 1860) Diesing, 1861a, 686. & Q To Oxyspirura by Stossich, 1897 (type).

capillaris (Molin, 1860) Diesing, 1861a, 686; sp. inq.

Cheilospirura hamulosa is here designated as type of Cheilospirura; upon the following grounds: (1) It is a more or less common and widespread species found in a food animal, hence it can be easily obtained; (2) it was examined by Diesing, the author of the genus; (3) it is the oldest of the original species of Cheilospirura; (4) as the generic name Dispharagus, 1845 (with which hamulosa is now usually combined), must drop as synonym of Acuaria 1811 (because of type by inclusion), a selection of hamulosa as type of Cheilospirura, 1861, now gives us a more or less well-known name for the hamulosa group.

Cheilostomi Diesing, 1851a, 264, 276–279. Section of Filaria with two subsections: Dicheilostomi and Tricheilostomi.

Cheiracanthus Diesing, 1838a, 189 [nomen nudum except for habitat]; 1839a, 221–227. Type species by inclusion C. robustus. See Gnathostoma.

[Not Cheiracanthus Agassiz, 1833, fish.]

robustus Diesing, 1838a, 189 [nomen nudum except for habitat]; 1839a, 222–225, pl. 14, figs. 1–7. ♂♀ See Gnathostoma spinigerum.

gracilis Diesing, 1838a, 189 [nomen nudum except for habitat]; 1839a, 225, pl. 14, figs. 8-11. 3 Q

Diesing (1839) gives Gnathostoma spinigerum Owen, 1837, as probable synonym of Ch. robustus.

Cheirospirura von Drasche, 1884a, 213. Misprint for Cheilospirura.

chlorurus de Man, 1880, 61-62.

1880: Odontolaimus (type).

Choanolaimus de Man, 1880, 28-29. C. psammophilus de Man, 1880, 29, only species, hence type.

Chordodes Creplin, 1847b, 161-165. C. parasitus Creplin, 1847b, 161-165, only species, hence type.

Chromadora Bastian, 1865c, 95, 167-170. Type C. vulgaris, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

1886: Euchromadora de Man, 1886, 67-76; type vulgaris.

vulgaris Bastian, 1865c, 167-168, pl. 13, figs. 233-235. 3 Ω (Type of Euchromadora de Man, 1886.)

nudicapitata Bastian, 1865c, 168, pl. 13, figs. 230-232.

natans Bastian, 1865c, 168-169, pl. 13, figs. 236-238. ♂♀

cæca Bastian, 1865c, 169, pl. 13, figs. 239-241. 3 filiformis Bastian, 1865c, 169, pl. 13, figs. 242-244. ♂♀

sabelloides Bastian, 1865c, 169-170, pl. 13, figs. 245-246. 3

papillata Bastian, 1865c, 170, pl. 13, figs. 247-248.

bioculata (Schultze, 1857) Bastian, 1865c, 170. Sexes? ocellata (Carter, 1859) Bastian, 1865c, 170. 3 9

Chromagaster Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 416-419. Type C. purpurea Cobb, 1894c, designated by Cobb in letter to Stiles, dated Dec. 15, 1903.

[Not Chromogaster Lauterborn, 1893, worm.]

1902: Chromogaster Waterhouse, 1902, 75. For Chromagaster.

nigricans Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 416-417. 3

purpurea Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 417-419, figs. 12, i-iv.

Chromogaster Waterhouse, 1902, 75. For Chromagaster.

chrysalis Mayer, 1844, 409-410, pl. 10, figs. 5-8.

1844: Acanthosoma (type).

ciliatus von Linstow, 1877, 2-3.

1877: Acrobeles (type).

cincta Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 390-391, figs. 2-3.

1894: Tricoma (type).

cinctus von Linstow, 1898, 469-470, pl. 35, figs. 3-11.

1898: Hoplocephalus (type). 1898: Echinonema (type). Ciorhynchus Zeder, 1803a, viii. Misprint for Liorhynchus.

cirratus Bastian, 1865c, 119, pl. 10, figs. 81-82.

1865: Plectus.

cirrhatus Eberth, 1863a, 34-35, pl. 2, figs. 20-22; pl. 4, fig. 17; pl. 5, fig. 4. 1863: Enoplus. 1891: Dipeltis. 1875: Discophora (type).

clausa Rudolphi, 1819a, 29, 255-256, pl. 1, figs. 2-3.

1819: Physaloptera (type).

clavaceps Zeder, 1800a, 130-131.

1800: Echinorhynchus. 1892: Neorhynchus (type). 1905: Neoechinorhynchus (type).

Cloacina von Linstow, 1898, Mar., 286-290. C. dahli von Linstow, 1898, 286-290, pl. 22, figs. 13-20, only species, hence type.

Cochlus Zeder, 1803a, 45-50. Gazia Zeder, 1800a, renamed, hence type species Cochlus armatus = Cucullanus ascaroides.

[Not Cochlus Humph., 1797, mollusk; Meg. (? date), mollusk.]

cocksi Bastian, 1865c, 143, pl. 11, figs. 151-153.

1865: Phanoderma (type).

Coleops. See Koleops.

collaris Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a.

1828: Crossophorus (? type).

columbæ Schrank, 1788, 8.

1788: Ascaris. [1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia) maculosa (sub).]

colymbi Rudolphi, 1819a, 10. Nomen nudum except for host. See acuta.
1819: Filaria. [1861: Dicheilonema.]

Comesoma Bastian, 1865c, 95, 158–159. Type C. vulgaris Bastian, 1865c, designated
by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

vulgaris Bastian, 1865c, 158–159, pl. 13, figs. 195–197. ♂ ♀ Type. profundi Bastian, 1865c, 159, pl. 13, figs. 198–200. ♂ ♀

communis Deslongchamps, 1824q, 399-400. See capsularia Rudolphi, 1802, 2-5. 1824: Filocapsularia (type).

communis Buetschli, 1874b, 282–283, pl. 6, figs. 27, a-b; pl. 7, figs. 27, c-d. 1874: Spilophora. 1889: Desmodora (type).

communis de Man, 1880, 34.

1880: Cylindrolaimus (type).

communis de Man, 1880, 63.

1880: Diphtherophora (type).

communis de Man, 1888, 12, pl.-1, fig. 7.

1888: Terschellingia (type).

commutata Diesing, 1851a, 152. Includes "Ascaris brevicaudata Zeder" of Rudolphi, 1819a, 284; from Bufo viridis.

1851: Ascaris. 1861: Cosmocerca. 1866: Nematoxys.

"commutata Rudolphi," of Schneider, 1866, 113. See commutata Diesing. compar Schrank, 1790, 120.

1790: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia).

conica Molin, 1858, 412.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema.

Conocephalus Diesing, 1861a, 616, 669. C. typicus, only species, hence type.

[Not Conocephalus Thunb., 1812, orthopteron; Zenk., 1833, crustacean; Scheenh., 1838, coleopteron; Dum., 1853, reptile.]

contorta Rudolphi, 1819a, 25, 242-243.

1819: Spiroptera. 1866: Spiroxys (type). [1866: Spiroxis (type).]

contortus Rudolphi, 1803, 15–17. 1803: Strongylus. 1898: Hæmonchus (type).

contortus Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 414.

1894: Laxus.

convolutus Kuhn, 1829b, 365-366.

1829: Strongylus. 1851: Prosthecosacter.

copulatum Molin, 1861, 462-463.

1861: Eucyathostomum,

coronata van Beneden, ["1858a"]; 1861a, 270-271.

[1858: Spiropterina (type).] 1861: Spiropterina (type)

coronatus Molin, 1861, 533-534, pl. 6, figs. 1-2.

1861: Histiostrongylus (type).

coronatus Eberth, 1863a, 37-38, pl. 3, figs. 13-19.

1863: Enoplus. 1865: Leptosomatum.

Coronilla van Beneden, 1871a, 6, 17, 18; [possibly earlier]. Type? C. robusta. [Not Coronella Laur., 1768, reptile; not Coronella Goldf., 1820, rotifer.] sillicola van Beneden, 1871a, 6; [no description]. Host Mustelus rulgaris. minuta van Beneden, 1871a, 17; n. sp.; [no description]. Host Raja batis. robusta van Beneden, 1871a, 18, 19, pl. 3, figs. 2-7; n. sp. Hosts Raja circularis and R. clavata.

Corynosoma Luehe, 1904, Dec., 231; 1905, 342. Type by original designation C. strumosum (Rudolphi, 1802) Luehe, 1904, 231; 1905, 342.

Cosmocephalus Molin, 1858, 151–152. C. diesingii Molin, 1858, 151–152, only species, hence type.

[Not Cosmocephala Stimps., 1857, worm.]

Cosmocerca Diesing, 1861a, 614, 645-646. C. ornata (Dujardin, 1845), only valid species, hence type.

1866: Nematoxys Schneider, 1866, 29, 111-113.

[Not Cosmocercus Dej.,? date, coleopteron; Thoms., 1864, coleopteron.]

ornata (Dujardin, 1845) Diesing, 1861a, 645. Type.

commutata (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 645-646; species inquirenda.

costata Bastian, 1865c, 166-167, pl. 13, figs. 228-229.

1865: Spilophora. 1889: Monoposthia (type).

costatus Rudolphi, 1819a, 647-648.

1819: Strongylus. 1845: Sclerostoma. 1851: Diaphanocephalus.

costellatus Dujardin, 1845a, 116.

1845: Strongylus. 1861: Metastrongylus.

crassa von Linstow, 1889, 392–396, pl. 22, figs. 2–8.

1889: Mermis. [1898: Paramermis (type).]

crassicauda Bellingham, 1845a, Jan., 476.

1845: Trichosoma. 1874: Trichodes (type). 1895: Trichosomoides (type).

crassiusculus Dujardin, 1845a, 235.

1845: Enoplus. 1865: Mononchus.

Crenosoma Molin, 1861, 435, 437-442. Type probably C. striatum (Zeder, 1800) Molin, 1861.

striatum (Zeder, 1800) Molin, 1861, 440-441, pl. 1, figs. 1-2.

semiarmatum Molin, 1861, 442. Includes Strongylus decoratus Creplin, 1847a, 289, and Liorhynchus rulpis Dujardin, 1845a, 283.

Unless semiarmatum has already been designated as type, it will be best to select striatum as such.

crinalis Wedl, 1855, 384-385, 394, pl. 3, figs. 18-20.

1855: Dikentrocephalus (type). [1861: Dicentrocephalus.]

Crino Lamarck, 1801, 339-340. C. truncatus Lamarck, 1801, only species, hence type.
[Not Crino Huebn., 1816, lepidopteron; Gistl., 1848, mollusk.]

Crino truncatus is based upon "Les Crinons" of Chabert, 1787a, 21–24, which is a heterogeneous group of roundworms found especially in the horse, and found also in dogs and other animals. Scudder attributes Crino to Chabert, 1782, but we have been unable to verify this.

cristata Freelich, 1802a, 9-13, pl. 1, figs. 1-3.

1802: Rictularia (type). 1819: Ophiostoma. [1845: Laphyctes (type).]

cristatus Bastian, 1865c, 102, pl. 9, figs. 33-34.

1865: Mononchus.

Crossophorus Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a. Type species? C. collaris.

[Not Crossophora Meyrick, 1883, insect. See Zool. Rec. (1883), 1884, v. 20, Index, 4.]

collaris Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a.

tentaculatus Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a.

crucis Maupas, 1900, 578-582, pl. 26, figs. 4-10.

1900: Macrolaimus (type).

Ctenocephalus Linstow, 1904, Feb., 12–13 of reprint. Ct. tiara (Linstow, 1879) Linstow, 1904, Feb., 12–13 of reprint, pl. 2, figs. 23–27, only species, hence type. See Tanqua and Tetradenos.

[Not Ctenocephalus Kol., 1857, dipteron.]

Cuculanus Bloch, 1782a, 34-35. For Cucullanus.

Cucullanus Mueller, "1777, 50, pl. 38, figs. 1-11 [not accessible];" see 1779, 99-101, where two species are given.

1782: Cuculanus Bloch, 1782a, 34-35. For Cucullanus.

1803: Cucullus Zeder, 1803a, 50. Misprint.

marinus Mueller, 1779, 99-101, for pl. 38, figs. 1-11. See also foveolatus.

Cucullanus Mueller-Continued.

lacustris (Mueller, 1776) Mueller, 1779, 100.

Dujardin (1845a, 245) has designated Cucullanus elegans as type. Not being able to obtain Mueller, 1777, we reserve judgment upon this case. Probably marinus should have been taken as type.

cucullanus Schrank, 1788, 50-51.

1788: Tania. [1803: Cochlus armatus sub.] [1845: Prionoderma ascaroides · sub.]

Cucullus Zeder, 1803a, 50. Misprint for Cucullanus.

culicis Stiles, 1903, 15-17.

1903: Agamomermis.

curvula Rudolphi, 1803a, 6-8. See equi.

1803: Oxyuris (type). [1816: Oxyurus (type).] 1860: Lepturis (type).

Cyatholaimus Bastian, 1865c, 95, 162-165. Type species C. ocellatus, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

ocellatus Bastian, 1865c, 163, pl. 13, figs. 210-212a. ♂♀

cacus Bastian, 1865c, 163, pl. 13, figs. 213-214. Q

ornatus Bastian, 1865c, 163-164, pl. 13, figs. 215-216. Q

punctatus Bastian, 1865c, 164, pl. 13, figs. 217-218.

striatus Bastian, 1865c, 164, pl. 13, figs. 219-220.

gracilis (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 165. ♂ ♀ [Not observed by Bastian.] Cyathostoma E. Blanchard, 1849a, March, 182-185. Cyathostoma lari Blanchard, 1849a, 182-185, pl. 7, fig. 5, only species, hence type.

This species is figured in Cuvier's Régne Animale (Masson's Ed., 1836-49), v. 20 (Zoophytes), pl. 25, figs. 6, a-b, and short description of figures given. It is also mentioned in Voyage en Sicile, Vers, pl. 23, fig. 5.

Cyathostomum Molin, 1861, 435, 451-455. Cyathostomum tetracanthum (Mehlis, 1831) Molin, 1861, only species, hence type. Renamed Cylichnostomum.

[Not Cyathostoma E. Blanchard, 1849a, nematode.]

cygni Molin, 1858, 154.

1858: Echinocephalus.

cugnoides Metschnikoff, 1867, Aug. 26, 542-543, pl. 31, figs. 9-11.

1867: Rhabdogaster (type).

Cylichnostomum Looss, 1902, 38, 86-132; = Cyathostomum Molin, 1861, renamed; hence type species Cyathostomum tetracanthum.

1861: Cyathostomum Molin, 1861 [not Cyathostoma Blanchard, 1849], type C. tetracanthum.

1903: Cylicostomum Gedœlst, 1903a, 56, 92. For Cylichnostomum.

Cylicolaimus de Man, 1889, 1-2. C. magnus (Villot, 1875), only species, hence type. Cylicostomum Gedeelst, 1903a, 56, 92. For Cylichnostomum.

cylindrica von Linstow, 1883, 289-290, pl. 7, fig. 21.

1883: Aprocta (type).

Culindrolaimus de Man, 1880, 34-35. Type species C. communis, designated in letter from de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903.

communis de Man, 1880, 34. ♀ Type. melancholicus de Man, 1880, 35. 3 Q

Cysstoopis Linstow in Zykoff, 1902, 29. July, 452. Misprint for Cystoopsis.

custica Rudolphi, 1819a, 634-635.

1819: Filaria. 1851: Agamonema.

Cystidicola G. Fischer, 1798b, mars, 98; 1798a, 306, fig. 7; 1799a, 95-100; pl. 2, figs. 1-6. C. farionis Fischer, 1798, only species, hence type. Also type by absolute tautonymy Fissula cystidicola.

Custidicola G. Fischer-Continued.

1801: Fissula Lamarck. Type by inclusion Cystidicola farionis.

1801: Ophiostoma Rudolphi. Type by inclusion Cystidicola farionis.

1839: Ophiostomum Creplin. Ophiostoma Rudolphi, 1801, renamed.

cystidicola Lamarck, 1801, 339; = farionis Fischer, 1798, renamed.

[1798: Cystidicola (type).] 1801: Fissula (type). 1809: Ophiostoma (type). 1819: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus. 1866: Ancuracanthus.

Cystocephalus Railliet, 1895a, 1302; = Globocephalus Molin, 1861, renamed; hence type Globocephalus longemucronatus Molin, 1861. See Globocephalus and Characostomum.

[Not Cystocephalus Léger, 1892.]

Cystoopsis Zykoff, 1902, 15. Apr., 229-233. See Cystopsis.

Cystopsis Wagner, 1867, 6. [Not accessible to us; given on authority of Scudder, 1884, 90, who quotes from Marschall.] Probably acipenseri is only species, hence type. Not being able to obtain Wagner, 1867 (probably not published until later), we are unable to state which is the original orthography.

Cytoopsis Melnikoff (1872) 1875, 6. [Not accessible to us, see Cystopsis.]

Dachmius, 1862, Veterinarian, Lond. (416), v. 35, 4. s. (92), v. 8, Aug., 549–556.
Misprint for Dochmius.

Dacnitis Dujardin, 1845a, 267–272. Type species ? D. esuriens by virtual tautonymy, very common, and because of host, or ?sphærocephala by inclusion.

1900: Danitis von Linstow, 1900, 130. Misprint.

abbreviata (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, 269. 3 9 Not examined by Dujardin, but cited with reserve.

esuriens Dujardin, 1845a, 270. 3 S. Includes? Cucullanus heterochrous Rudolphi, 1809a, 114, ? Cucullanus heterochrous Creplin, 1839a, 280, and Cucullanus platessæ, and Cucullanus soleæ Rudolphi, 1819a, 22.

hians Dujardin, 1845a, 270–271. 3 Q. Includes? Cucullanus foreolatus Rudolphi, 1809a, 109, p. p., very common. Hosts Pleuronectes soleæ and P. latus.

sphærocephala (Rudolphi, 1809) Dujardin, 1845a, 271–272. 3 Q Includes Pleurorinchus Nau, 1787, 471, Ascaris sphærocephala Rudolphi, 1809a, 188, Ophiostoma sphærocephalum Rudolphi, 1819a, 61, 305. squali Dujardin, 1845a, 272. Q

Dactylius Curling, 1839a, 274–287. D. aculeatus Curling, 1839a, 274–287, pl. 4, figs. 1–5, only species, hence type. An annelid.

[Not Dactylium Megerle, in Scudder, 1884, mollusk.]

dactylura Dujardin, 1845a, 654; for dactyluris Rudolphi, 1819a.

1845: Ascaris. [1845: Atractis (type).]

dactyluris Rudolphi, 1819a, 40, 272, 581. See also dactylura. 1819: Ascaris. [1845: Atractis (type).]

Danitis von Linstow, 1900, 130. Misprint for Dacnitis.

dahli von Linstow, 1898, 286–290, pl. 22, figs. 13–20.

1898: Cloacina (type).

Darylaimus von Linstow, 1878, 343. Misprint for Dorylaimus.

davainii Bastian, 1865c, 126, pl. 10, figs. 109-111.

1865: Tylenchus (type).

decorus Dujardin, 1845a, 78, pl. 3, fig. K.

1845: Dispharagus. 1851: Histiocephalus.

Deletrocephalus Diesing, 1851a, 82, 298. D. dimidiatus Diesing, 1851a, 298, only species, hence type.

Demonema Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 392–394. D. rapax Cobb, 1894c, 393–394, figs. 5, i-iv, only species, hence type.

dentatum Molin, 1861, 459-460, pl. 1, fig. 7.

1861: Eucyathostomum.

dentatus Rudolphi, 1803a, 12-13.

1803: Strongylus. [1861: Œsophagostomum subulatum (sub) (type).]

dentatus Diesing, 1839a, 232-233, pl. 15, figs. 9-19.

1839: Stephanurus (type).

denticulatus Rudolphi, 1809a, 249–250, pl. 12, figs. 1–2. Includes Gazia inermis. 1809: Liorhymchus.

Dentolaimus Zool. Rec. (1880), 1881, v. 17, Index, 4. Misprint for Deontolaimus. denudatus Dujardin, 1845a, 81, pl. 3, fig. G.

1845: Dispharagus. 1851: Histiocephalus.

Deontolaimus de Man, 1880, 3-4. D. papillatus de Man, 1880, 4, only species, hence type.

1881: Dentolaimus. Misprint for Deontolaimus Zool. Rec. (1880), 1881, v. 17, Index, 4.

depressus Dujardin, 1845a, 112-113.

1845: Strongylus. 1861: Metastrongylus.

Dermatoxys Schneider, 1866, 29, 123–124. D. veligera (Rudolphi, 1819) Schneider, 1866, 123–124, pl. 12, fig. 4, only species, hence type.

Dermofilaria Rivolta, 1884, 128–134. D. irritans Rivolta, 1884, 128–134, only species, hence type.

Desmodora de Man, 1889, 9. Type by original designation (de Man, 1889, 9) D. communis (Buetschli, 1874).

Desmolaimus de Man, 1880, 14–15. D. zeelandicus de Man, 1880, 14–15, only species, hence type.

Desmoscolex Claparède, 1863a, 89-90. D. minutus Claparède, 1863a, 89-90, pl. 18, figs. 4-7, only species, hence type.

Diaphanocephalus Diesing, 1851a, 82, 297-298. Type species ? D. strongyloides.

strongyloides Diesing, 1851a, 297. 3° Strongylus galeatus Rudolphi, 1819a, renamed. Host Podinema teguixin, Brazil.

costatus (Rudolphi, 1819) Diesing, 1851a, 297–298. 3 Q Hosts Lachesis rhombeata and Hylophis lævicollis.

viperæ (Rudolphi, 1819) Diesing, 1851a, 298; sp. inq.

Dicelis Dujardin, 1845a, 106, 107–108. D. filaria Dujardin, 1845a, 108, pl. 3, fig. H, only species, hence type.

[Not Dicelis Stimps., 1857, worm.]

Dicentrocephalus Diesing, 1861a, 727; for Dikentrocephalus Wedl, 1855; hence type species Dikentrocephalus crinalis.

Diceras Rudolphi, 1810a, 258; = Ditrachyceros Hermann in Sultzer, 1801, renamed.
Diceras rude Rudolphi, 1810a, 258-261, pl. 12, fig. 5, only species, hence type.
[Not Diceras Lamarck, 1805, mollusk; Diceros Gray, 1821, mammal.]

Dicheilonema Diesing, 1861a, 620, 707-709. Type species? D. labiatum. Diesing separated from Filaria the following species:

bifidum (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 707. ♂ ♀

bilabiatum (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 707. Q Host Sterna leucopareia.
acutum (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 707-708. Q Hosts Podiceps cristatus
and P. cornutus.

conicum (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 708. 9

labiotruncatum (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 708.

labiatum (Creplin, 1825) Diesing, 1861a, 708. & Q Host Ciconia nigra. rubrum (Leidy, 1856) Diesing, 1861a, 708. Sexes not given in 1856.

Dicheilonema Diesing—Continued.

fusiforme (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 709. Q

bispinosum (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 709. 3 Q Hosts Ophis, Thamnobius, and Boa.

horridum (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 709. ♂♀

The subsection Dicheilostomi, 1851, which was later (1861) raised to generic rank, originally contained Filaria labiata, F. physalura, F. obtuso-caudata, F. bilabiata, F. acuta, F. horrida, and F. bispinosa. By the principle of virtual tautonymy bilabiata would first come into consideration as type, but such a choice is contraindicated by the lack of details given for this worm in both 1851 and 1861. The history of the genus strongly indicates F. labiata as type, unless there are other reasons why this should not be taken. F. labiata was the best-known species in 1851.

Dicheilostomi Diesing, 1851a, 264, 276-278. Subsection of Cheilostomi of Filaria. See Dicheilonema.

Dicyema Kælliker, 1849d, 59-66. D. paradoxum Kælliker, 1849d, 59-66, pl. 5, figs. 1-12, only species, hence type.

diesingii Molin, 1858, 151-152.

1858: Cosmocephalus (type).

Dikentrocephalus Wedl, 1855, 384–385, 394. D. crinalis Wedl, 1855, 384–385, 394, pl. 3, figs. 18–20, only species, hence type.

1861: Dicentrocephalus Diesing, 1861a, 727; for Dikentrocephalus.

dimidiatus Diesing, 1851a, 298.

1851: Deletrocephalus (type).

Dioctophryme Scudder, 1882, 99. Misprint for Dioctophyme.

Dioctophyme Collet-Meygret, 1802a, 458–464, figs. 1–4. D. renale (Gozze, 1782) Stiles, 1901, only species, hence type.

1851: Eustrongylus Diesing, 1851a. Type Dioctophyme renale.

1884: Dioctophryme Scudder, 1884, 99. For Dioctophyme.

Collet-Meygret used only the generic name.

Dipeltis Cobb, 1891c, Dec. 22, 155–158. Type D. typicus Cobb, 1891c. Renamed Diplopeltis Cobb, 1905.

[Not Dipeltis Packard, 1885, crustacean.]

minor Cobb, 1891c, 156.

cirrhatus (Eberth, 1863) Cobb, 1891c, 156–157. Type of Discophora, 1875 [not 1836].

typicus Cobb, 1891c, 157-158, figs. 9, i-iv.

In this genus Cobb has indicated the type by the specific name *typicus*, and this indication should stand despite the fact that *Dipetits* includes the type (cirrhatus) of an earlier genus (*Discophora*). See p. 30. A personal letter from Cobb, dated March 28, 1904, shows us that it was Cobb's original intention to use *typicus* as type.

dipetala Molin, 1858, 373.

1858: Filaria. [1861: Dipetalonema.]

Dipetalonema Diesing, 1861a, 620, 703-704. Type probably Filaria caudispina.

inflexum Diesing, 1861a, 704. & Filaria dipetala Molin, 1858, renamed.

mucronatum (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 704. &

Probably caudispina should be taken as type, as it is the only species figured and of which both sexes were known; further, the material was abundant. See also 40.

Diphtherophora de Man, 1880, 62-63. D. communis de Man, 1880, 63, only species, hence type.

Diplogaster Max Schultze in Carus, 1857a, pl. 8, fig. 1. D. micans Schultze in Carus, 1857a, pl. 8, fig. 1, only species, hence type.

[Not Diplogaster Bigot, 1886, insect. Zool. Rec. (1886), 1887, v. 23, Insecta, 310.] Diplolæmus (?date) for Diplolaimus. See Scudder, 1884, 100.

[Not Diplolæmus Bell, 1843, reptile.]

Diplolaimus von Linstow, 1876, 16-17. D. gracilis von Linstow, 1876, 16-17, pl. 2, fig. 38, only species, hence type.

Diploodon Molin, 1861, 435, 471-475. Type species D. mucronatum Molin, 1861. [Not Diplodon Spix, 1827, mollusk; not Nitzsch, 1840, bird; not Diplodon Marschall, 1873, for Dioplodon Gervais, 1850; not Diplodon Roth, 1901, mammal; not Dioplodon Gervais, 1850, mammal; not Diplodonta Bronn, 1831, mollusk; not Diplodontus Dug., 1834, arachnoid.]

mucronatum Molin, 1861, 474-475, pl. 3, fig. 1.

quadridentatum Molin, 1861, 475, pl. 3, fig. 2.

Molin examined and figured both species; the description of the male is based upon D. mucronatum and that of the female upon D. quadridentatum. the male is more important in this group than the female, mucronatum should be taken as type. Further, Molin (1861, 471) practically states that mucronatum was his type.

Diplopeltis Cobb, 1905, in Stiles & Hassall, 1905, 101. New name for Dipeltis Cobb, 1891 [not Packard, 1885], proposed in letter to Stiles, dated Dec. 15, 1903. Type species D. typicus, designated by Cobb in letter to Stiles, dated March

28, 1904.

1891: Dipeltis Cobb, 1891c, 155-158 [not Packard, 1885, coleopteron].

Dipodium Bose, 1812a, 72-73. D. apiarium Bose, 1812a, 72-73, pl. 1, fig. 3, only species, hence type.

dipsaci "Kühn, 1857a, 129."

1857: Anguillula. 1859: Anguillulina. 1865: Tylenchus.

Discophora Villot, 1875, 463. Enoplus cirrhatus Eberth, 1863a, 34–35, pl. 2, figs. 20–22; pl. 4, fig. 17; pl. 5, fig. 4, only species, hence type. See Dipeltis and Diplopeltis.

[Not Discophora Boisduval, 1836, lepidopteron; not Discophorus Chevrolat, 1880, insect.]

disjuncta Bastian, 1865c, 98, pl. 9, figs. 12-13.

1865: Monhystera.

dispar Bastian, 1865c, 97, pl. 9, figs. 1-2.

1865: Monhystera.

Dispharagus Dujardin, 1845a, 42, 69-82. Type by inclusion Spiroptera anthuris. For discussion of this very complicated case, see p. 48.

distans Rudolphi, 1809a, 128-129.

1809: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaris (Anisakis).

Ditrachicerosoma Brera, 1809a, 140-145, figs. 11-13. Ditrachyceros Hermann, 1801, renamed.

Ditrachyceros Hermann in Sultzer, 1801, 1-52, pls. 1-2.

1801: Dytrachiceros Hermann in Sultzer, 1801, 9. Corrected to Ditrachyceros.

1809: Ditrachicerosoma and Ditrachycerosoma Brera, 1809a, 140-145, figs. 11-13.

1810: Ditrachyceras Sultzer, 1802, of Rudolphi, 1810a, 258.

1810: Diceras Rudolphi = Ditrachyceros renamed.

No specific name is used, but Sultzer translates Ditrachyceros into Bicorne rude. The name Ditrachyceros is used as a generic name.

Ditrachycerosoma Brera, 1809a, 140-145, figs. 11-13. Ditrachyceros Hermann, 1801, renamed.

Dochmius Dujardin, 1845a, 267, 275–279. Type by inclusion Uncinaria vulpis Frelich., See Uncinaria.

1845: Docmius Dujardin, 1845a, 114. Misprint for Dochmius.

1861: Doohmius Molin, 1861, 471. Misprint for Dochmius.

1862: Dachmius. Misprint for Dochmius.

1878: Damius. Misprint for Dochmius.

1902: Dohmius Looss, 1902, Apr. 5, 424. Misprint for Dochmius.

Dochmius originally contained the only two species which up to 1845 had ever been placed in the genus *Uncinaria*. It is therefore a deliberate and unjustified renaming of a preexisting genus. On this account *Dochmius* drops into synonymy and takes the same type as *Uncinaria*.

Docmius Dujardin, 1845a, 114. Misprint for Dochmius.

Demius Sonsino, 1878, 616. Misprint for Dochmius.

Dohmius Looss, 1902, Apr. 5, 424. Misprint for Dochmius.

Dolicholaimus de Man, 1888, 31–34. D. marioni de Man, 1888, 32–34, pls. 2, 3,fig. 15, only species, hence type.

dolichura de Man, 1876, 177-179, pls. 11, 12, figs. 46, a-c.

1876: Monhystera. 1880: Alaimus.

dolichurus de Man, 1880, 32-33,

1880: Prismatolaimus.

Donylaimus von Linstow, 1876, 17. Misprint for Dorylaimus.

Doohmius Molin, 1861, 471. Misprint for Dochmius.

Dorylaimus Dujardin, 1845a, 230-231. Type species probably D. stagnalis.

1876: Donylaimus von Linstow, 1876, 17. Misprint for Dorylaimus.

1878: Darylaimus von Linstow, 1878, 343. Misprint for Dorylaimus.

stagnalis Dujardin, 1845a, 231, pl. 3, fig. C. & Q

marinus Dujardin, 1845a, 231, pf. 3, fig. D. ♀

Other things being equal, stagnalis should be type, as Dujardin describes both male and female of this species, while of marinus he describes only the female.

dorylaimus Marion, 1870, 27, pl. H, fig. 2. . 1870: Thoracostoma.

dracunculoides Cobbold, 1870b, 10-14.

1870: Acanthocheilonema (type).

Dracunculus "Kæmpfer, 1712a, 524-535," Pre-Linnæan.

Dracunculus Kniphof, 1759, 12 [not accessible to us], or Gallandat, 1773a, 103–116, "Dracunculus sive Vena medinensis" only species, hence type. Also type by absolute tautonymy, see dracunculus. Some doubts may arise as to whether this was a valid generic name in 1759 and 1773.

1773: Vena Gallandat, 1773a. Type Vena medinensis.

1792: Nervus Laporte. Type medinensis.

[Not Dracunculus Wiegm., 1834, reptile.]

dracunculus Bremser, 1819a, 194–221, pl. 4, fig. 1. For medinensis Linnaeus, 1758a. 1819: Filaria.

duodenale Dubini, 1843a, 5-13, pl. 1, figs. 1-5; pl. 2, figs. 1-3.

1843: Agchylostoma (type). 1845: Ancylostoma (type). 1846: Anchylostoma (type). 1851: Anchylostomum (type). 1851: Ancylostomum (type). 1877: Anhylostoma (type). 1879: Anchilostoma (type). 1885: Uncinaria. [1886: Ankylostoma (type).] 1895: Ankylostomum (type). 1897: Anchylostomum (type).

dussumierii van Beneden, 1870a, 362–363; "simplex Rudolphi, 1809," of Dujardin, 1845a, 220–221, renamed.

[1845: Ascaris (Anisakis [type]).]

Dyacanthos Stiebel, 1817, 174–179. D. polycephulus Stiebel, 1817, 174–179, pl. 3, figs. 2–5, only species, hence type. A spurious parasite.

[Not Diacanthus Siebold, 1817, worm; Latreille, 1834, coleopteron; Diacantha Swainson, 1839, fish; Chevr., 1834, coleopteron.]

Dytrachiceros Hermann in Sultzer, 1801, 9. Corrected to Ditrachyceros Hermann in Sultzer, 1801, 42. Mentions no specific name.

eberthi Bastian, 1865c, 141, pl. 11, figs. 143-145.

1865: Anticoma (type).

echinatus Rudolphi, 1809a, 98-100. Includes spirillum Pallas, 1781, 111, and lacertæ Schrank, 1788, 5.

1809: Trichocephalus. [1819: Sclerotrichum (type).] 1845: Sclerotrichum (type).

Echinocephalus Molin, 1858, 154. E. uncinatus, only valid species, hence type; also type by virtual tautonymy and page precedence.

[Not Echinocephalus E. Schneider, 1875, protozoon.]

uncinatus Molin, 1858, 154.

cygni Molin, 1858, 154; species inquirenda.

echinodiscus Diesing, 1851a, 36, 554.

1851: Echinorhynchus. 1892: Gigantorhynchus (type).

echinodon Marion, 1870, 26, pl. H, fig. 1.

1870: Thoracostoma (? type).

Echinonema von Linstow, 1898, 20. Oct., 672. Hoplocephalus cinctus von Linstow, 1898, 469, only species, hence type. Hoplocephalus von Linstow, 1898 (not Cuvier, 1829, reptile), renamed.

Echinorhycus Nordmann, 1840, 641. Echinorhynchus renamed.

Echinorhynchus Zœga in Mueller, 1776, xxviii, 214–215. Type species ? E. gadi or ? E. lævis.

1779: Echinoryngus. [Not accessible to us.]

1839: Echinorrhynchus Creplin, 1839a, 283. For Echinorhynchus.

1840; Echinorhycus Nordmann, 1840, 641. For Echinorhynchus.

[?]: Echynorhynchus, Echinorynchus, Echinoryncus, Echynoryngus.

lacustris Mueller, 1776, 214. To Cucullanus by Mueller, 1779, 100.

gadi Mueller, 1776, 214. Renamed E. lineolatus by Mueller, 1779, 96-98.

candidus Mueller, 1776, 214. [Renamed Echinorhynchus acus Rudolphi, 1802, 51; = Proboscidea versipellis.]

lævis Mueller, 1776, 215. [Probably includes Echinorhynchus tereticollis and E. nodulosus.]

Echinorrhynchus Creplin, 1839a, 283; = Echinorhynchus renamed.

Echinoryngus [?], 1779, 543. [Not accessible to us.]

echiurus Diesing, 1853a, 34.

1853: Mastophorus (probably type).

Elaphocephalus Molin, 1860, 343–344. E. octocornutus Molin, 1860, 344, only species, hence type.

[Not Elaphocephalus Macleay, 1878, reptile. See Zool. Rec. (1878) 1880, v. 15 Rept., 12.]

elegans Zeder, 1800a, 91.

1800: Cucullanus (type by Dujardin, 1845a, 245; see, however, Cucullanus). elegans Bastian, 1865c, 165–166, pl. 13, figs. 221–222.

1005 G 11 7 (1 100 TO), pr. 10, 11gs. 221 222.

1865: Spilophora (type). 1865: Spiliphera (type).

elegans de Man, 1888, 16–17, pl. 1, fig. 9.

1888: Arxolaimus.

elongata Rudolphi, 1819a, 26, 246.

[1811: Acuaria.] 1819: Spiroptera.

elongata Buetschli, 1874b, 270–271, pl. 4, figs. 18, a-d.

1874: Oxystoma (type).

elongatum Bastian, 1865c, 145, pl. 12, figs. 156-157.

1865: Leptosomatum (type).

elongatus Dujardin, 1845a, 234.

1845: Enoplus. [1851: sub Amblyura gordius?]

elongatus Bastian, 1865c, 155, pl. 12, figs. 180-181.

1865: Linhomœus.

emerui Camerano, 1895a, Aug., 6-7.

1895: Gordius, 1897: Paragordius.

Enchelidium Ehrenberg, 1836, 40-41, 57. E. marinum (Mueller, 1783) Ehrenberg, 1836, 40-41, 57, only species, hence type; = Vibrio marinus Mueller.

1845: Enchilidium Dujardin, 1845a, 238; for Enchelidium.

1867: Euchelidium Leuckart, 1867, 31. Probably misprint.

1884: Enchelydium, see Scudder, 1884, 111.

Enchelydium, see Enchelidium.

Enchilidium, see Enchelidium.

Enoplolaimus de Man, 1893, 118-122. E. vulgaris de Man, 1893, 119-122, pl. 7, fig. 13, only species, hence type.

Enoplostoma Marion, 1870, 22-25. Type species probably E. hirtum.

hirtum Marion, 1870, 22-23, pl. F, figs. 1-1x. ♂♀ [Very common.]

minus Marion, 1870, 23-24, pl. G, figs. 1-1h. 3

brevicaudatum Marion, 1870, 24–25, pl. G, figs. 2–2c. ♀ Enoplus Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 233–235, 653. Type species probably E. tridentatus

Dujardin, 1845a, 233–234. [Not Enoplus Reiche, 1859, coleopteron; Enoplus Agassiz, 1846, for Enoplosus Lacép., 1802, fish; Anoplus Schænh., 1826, coleôpteron; Gray, 1840, reptile; Schl., 1842, fish.]

1845: Tricontus Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653.

tridentatus Dujardin, 1845a, 233-234. ♂♀ (? Type.)

stenodon Dujardin, 1845a, 234. Sex?

elongatus Dujardin, 1845a, 234. Sex? [Sp. inq. according to Diesing, 1851a, 125; to Amblyura as doubtful by Diesing, 1851a, 127.]

microstomus Dujardin, 1845a, 234-235. Sexes?

rivalis Dujardin, 1845a, 235. Q [To Plectus by Bastian, 1865c, 121.]

crassiusculus Dujardin, 1845a, 235, as doubtful. Q [To Mononchus by Bastian, 1865c, 103.]

Unless other considerations call for some other species as type, it will be best to take E. tridentatus as such. See Tricontus.

entomelas Dujardin, 1845a, 262-263, pl. 4, fig. C.

1845: Angiostoma.

Epithelphusa Drago, "1887a," 1887b, 81–83. E. catanensis Drago, 1887b, 81–83, only species, hence type. Parasitic oligochete.

equi Schrank, 1788, 4.

1788: Trichocephalus. [1803: Oxyuris curvula (type).]

equinus Mueller, 1780 or 1784, 6. [Sherborn gives 1784, 6.]

1780 or 1784: Strongylus (type). [1809: Sclerostoma (type).] [1845: Sclerostomum (type).]

erecta Molin, 1860, 927-928.

1860: Spiroptera. 1861: Cheilospirura.

esuriens Dujardin, 1845a, 270.

1845: Dacnitis (? type, see also sphærocephala).

Ethmolaimus de Man, 1880, 21–22. E. pratensis de Man, 1880, 22, only species, hence type.

Etholaimus. We have been unable to trace this word. Possibly it is a misprint for Ethmolaimus,

Eubostrichus Greef, 1869a, 117-118. Type species? E. filiformis.

filiformis Greef, 1869a, 117-118, pl. 7, figs. 1-4. 9

phalacrus Greef, 1869a, 118, pl. 7, figs. 5-6. &

Eнсamptus Dujardin, 1845a, 106-107. E. obtusus Dujardin, 1845a, 107, only species, hence type.

[Not Eucamptus Chevr., 1833, coleopteron; Dej., 1833, coleopteron.]

Euchelidium Leuckart, 1867, 31; probably misprint for Enchelidium.

Euchromadora de Man, 1886, 66, 67-76. E. vulgaris (Bastian, 1865) de Man, 1886, 69-76, pls. 12-13, only positive species, hence type. (See also Chromadora); also type by original designation.

Eucoleus Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 23-25. Type species probably E. erophilum.

[Not Eucolus Muls., 1853, coleopteron.]

xrophilum (Creplin, 1839) Dujardin, 1845a, 24. ♂ ♀ (Description more complete.)

tenuis Dujardin, 1845a, 24-25. ♂ ♀ (Description less complete.)

Eucyathostomum Molin, 1861, 435, 455-463. Type species by present designation E. longesubulatum.

dentatum Molin, 1861, 459-460, pl. 1, fig. 7. 3 9

longesubulatum Molin, 1861, 460-462, pl. 2, figs. 1-2. ♂ ♀ (Type.)

copulatum Molin, 1861, 462-463. ♂ ♀

Molin examined all three forms, and figured the first and second. He definitely states that his anatomical description is based upon E. longesubulatum, from Cervus campestris and C. rufus, on which account we designate this species as type. The designation of E. dentatum as type would be more likely to lead to confusion.

euryoptera Rudolphi, 1819a, 26-27, 248-249. Including Ascaris collurionis Freelich.

[1811: Acuaria.] 1819: Spiroptera.

Eurystoma Marion, 1870, 19-21. Type species E. spectabile.

[Not Eurystoma Rafinesque, 1818, mollusk; not Eurystoma Alb., 1850, mollusk; not Eurystoma Keell., 1853, coleopteron; not Eurysoma Gistl., 1829, coleopteron; not Eurysoma Koch, 1840, arachnoid; not Eurysomus Young, 1866, fish.

spectabile Marion, 1870, 20-21, pl. E, figs. 1-1b. ♂ ♀ (Type.)

tenue Marion, 1870, 21, pl. E, figs. 2-2b. 3

As the generic name Eurystoma Marion falls under the rule of homonyms, it is immaterial which species is designated as type, except as such designation may possibly affect later established nontypical genera; we here designate spectabile because both sexes were described, and on account of page precedence.

Eustrongylus Diesing, 1851a, 82, 325-328. Includes Dioctophyme, 1802; hence type species Eustrongylus gigas = Dioctophyme renale.

exigua Gœldi, ? "1887"; 1889a, 28. Feb., 266; 1892a, 68.

? 1887: Meloidogyne. 1889: Meloidogyne (type).

exilis Dujardin, 1845a, 29-30. 1845: Liniscus (type).

exilis Marion; 1870, 11-12, pl. A, fig. 1.

1870: Lasiomitus (type).

falconis Gmelin, 1790a, 3040. See under Filaria.

1790: Filaria (type).

farionis Fischer, 1798a, 304-309, fig. 7; 1798b, 98; 1799a, 95-100, pl. 2, figs. 1-6. 1798: Cystidicola (type). [1801: Ophiostoma (type).] [1801: Fissula (type).] 1845: Dispharagus.

fasciculatus Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 411–413, figs. 10, i–vi.

1894: Synonchus (type).

Fictitium Diesing, 1851a, 353. F. cephalopodum Diesing, 1851a, 353, only species, hence type. Doubtful whether this is a generic name.

figuratum Bastian, 1865c, 146-147, pl. 12, figs. 161-163.

1865: Leptosomatum.

Filaraia Rudolphi, 1809a, 69. Misprint for Filaria.

Filaria Mueller, 1787, 64-67. Type species by elimination F. martis.

In the original reference Mueller (1787) does not give any specific names in connection with this genus, but he gives a number of bibliographic references arranged under their respective hosts. The species in question, so far as they can be determined by a comparison of Mueller, 1787, and Gmelin, 1790a, are as follows:

#### A. In Mammals:

leonis Gmelin, 1790a, 3040. [Sp. inq., in Rudolphi, 1809a, 68; Diesing, 1851a, 280; Molin, 1858, 421; Stossich, 1897, 71.]

leporis.Gmelin, 1790a, 3040. [Sp. inq., in Rudolphi, 1809a, 69; Diesing, 1851a, 280; Molin, 1858, 421; Stossich, 1897, 72.]

martis Gmelin, 1790a, 3040. [Renamed "Filaraia" mustelarum Rudolphi, 1809a, 69; Filaria mustelarum suboutanea Rudolphi, 1819a, 7, 216; F. quadrispina Diesing, 1851a, 271–272; see also F. perforans, Molin, 1858, 387; see also Stossich, 1897, 32.]

#### B. In Birds:

gallinæ Gmelin, 1790a, 3040. [See Capillaria semiteres Zeder, 1803a, 61; Hamularia nodulosa Rudolphi, 1809a, 84; Trichosoma longicolle Rudolphi, 1819a, 14, 221.]

falconis Gmelin, 1790a, 3040. [Renamed Filaria falconum Rudolphi, 1809a, 70, sp. dub.; see also F. foveolata Molin, 1858, 375; see also F. nodispina Molin, 1858, 402.]

ciconiæ Gmelin, 1790a, 3040. [See Dicheilonema labiatum.]

C. In Insects: [Probably all Gordiidæ or Mermithidæ.]

scarabai Gmelin, 1790a, 3040.

carabi Gmelin, 1790a, 3040.

silphæ Gmelin, 1790a, 3040.

grylli Gmelin, 1790a, 3040.

monoculi Gmelin, 1790a, 3041.

lepidopterorum Gmelin, 1790a, 3041.

tenthredinis Gmelin, 1790a, 3041.

phryganex Gmelin, 1790a, 3041.

Lamarck (1801, 340) mentions only 1 species, namely, Filaria equi Mueller, but this can not be taken as designation of type, since Mueller did not include it in his original (1787) species. Since Mueller distinctly intended to separate Filaria from Gordius, and since all the forms he mentions for insects probably belong to the Gordiide or Mermithide, and some of them have already been eliminated from Filaria, it will be best not to consider the insect parasites in determining the type of Filaria; such a procedure of exclusion is further justified by the tendency since Mueller's time to look upon Filaria as a genus parasitic in warm-blooded animals; it also agrees with the principle of page precedence.

In considering the 6 remaining species (3 from mammals and 3 from birds), it may be noted that F. galline and F. ciconie have already been eliminated; further, F. leonis and F. leporis are viewed as doubtful species, hence these may next be eliminated from consideration. There now remain F. martis and F. falconis. Of these two, conditions clearly favor the selection

of F. martis (see F. quadrispina Diesing).

filaria Dujardin, 1845a, 108, pl. 3, fig. H.

1845: Dicelis (type).

filaria van Beneden, 1873b, 21, pl. 5, figs. 1–5.

1873: Litosoma (type).

Filarina Hammerschmidt, 1838a, 351, 358. F. vitrea Hammerschmidt, 1838a, 358, pl. 4, figs. a-b, only species, hence type.

Filaroides van Beneden, ["1858a, 267-269"]; 1861a, 267-269. F. mustelarum, only species, hence type.

Filiaris J. de méd. vét., Par., 1826, v. 3, 167, 168; for Filaria.

filiforme Molin, 1857, 220-222, figs. 7-9.

1857: Gongylonema.

filiformis Bastian, 1865c, 98, pl. 9, figs. 7-8.

1865: Monhystera.

filiformis Bastian, 1865c, 169, pl. 13, figs. 242–244.
1865: Chromadora.

filiformis Greef, 1869a, 117-118, pl. 7, figs. 1-4.

1869: Eubostrichus (? type).

filiformis de Man, 1889, 3-4.

1889: Axonolaimus,

Filocapsularia Deslongchamps, 1824q, 398–400. F. communis Deslongchamps, 1824q, 399–400, only species, hence type; which includes a number of previously named species.

Filoria Nordmann, 1832, 11. Misprint for Filaria.

filum Dujardin, 1845a, 135 [includes major Raspail, 1829]. 1845: Pseudalius (type).

Fimbria Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 420–421. F. tenuis Cobb, 1894c, 420–421, figs. 14, i–iv, only species, hence type. See Fimbrilla.

[Not Fimbria Bohadsch, 1761, mollusk; Meg., 1811, mollusk; Risso, 1826, mollusk; Belon, 1896, insect; Fimbriaria Fredich, 1795, cestode.]

Fimbrilla Cobb, 1905, in Stiles & Hassall, 1905, p. 107. New name for Fimbria Cobb, 1894c [not Bohadsch, 1761, etc.]; hence type species Fimbrilla tenuis (Cobb, 1894) Cobb, 1905, 107.

Fissula Lamarck, 1801, 339. Type by inclusion Cystidicola farionis Fischer, 1798. See Cystidicola.

intestinalis (Bloch, 1782) Lamarck, 1801 [=Gordius intestinalis Bloch].

cystidicola Lamarck, 1801 [=Cystidicola farionis Fischer, 1798 (type of Cystidicola) renamed].

Lamarck (1816, Aug., 210) says: "Je crois être le premier qui ait senti la nécessité de séparer des ascarides, le ver que Muller a nommé Ascaris bijida. J'en ai formé un genre particulier dans mes leçons, sous le nom de fissule. Ce genre fut ensuite reconnu, mais diversement nommé par les auteurs. En effet, quelque années après, M. Fischer l'établit sous la dénomination de Cystidicola, d'après une nouvelle espèce qu'il fit connaître; enfin, le docteur Rudolphi, reconnaissant aussi le même genre, lui assigna le nom d'Ophiostomu."

We have been unable to find Fissula prior to Lamarck, 1801, and in this publication Lamarck does not mention Ascaris bifida, which he refers to in 1816, 211, as synonym of Fissula phoce. In 1816, he does not mention F. intestinalis.

From these data it is not clear to us how A. bifida can be accepted as type of Fissula, 1801.

Our view in taking farionis as type of Ophiostoma, thus making Cystidicola, Fissula, and Ophiostoma synonymous, is in harmony with the synonymy of Blainville, 1824a, 518.

flexilis Dujardin, 1845a, 109, pl. 6, fig. A.

[1845: Leptoderes (type).] 1845: Leptodera (type).

fluvialis Mueller, 1783, 161; ="fluviatilis Mueller, 1786, 65."

1783: Vibrio. [1786: Anguillula.] Type of Anguillula, 1838 not 1786.

fluviatilis "Mueller, 1783, 65;" Mueller, 1786, 65. See fluvialis.

1783; Anguillula Mueller. 1828; Anguillula Hemprich and Ehrenberg (type.) fovearum Dujardin, 1845a, 236–237.

1845: Oncholaimus (? type, see also muscorum and attenuatus). 1865: Mononchus.

foveolatus Rudolphi, 1809a, 109-111, pl. 3, fig. 2; in part, includes marinus Mueller. 1809: Cucullanus. [? 1845: Dacnitis hians sub.]

fragile Magalhães, 1905, Jan. 15, 314-318, figs. 4, 1-4.

1905: Synæcnema (type).

fülleborni Linstow, 1901, Apr. 20, 418–419, figs. A–E. 1901: Spinifer (type).

fungorum Linnæus, 1767, 1326.

1767: Chaos.

funiculus Deslongchamps, 1824e, 89.

1824: Ascaris. [1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia) inflexa (sub).]

Furia Linnaeus, 1758a, 644, 647. F. infernalis Linnaeus, 1758a, 647, only species, hence type.

[Not Furia Cuvier, 1828, mammal.]

Although Furia, 1758, is no longer looked upon as a valid genus of worms, the name must be recognized as still belonging in zoological nomenclature, and its use by Linnæus, 1758, invalidates its adoption for any other genus or alleged genus.

Fusoria Zeder, 1800a, 6, 16-68. Ascaris Linnæus, 1758a, renamed; hence type species Ascaris lumbricoides.

Of the two original species of Ascaris, Zeder in 1800 mentioned only Fusaria lumbricoides, but in 1803 he also mentioned Fusaria vermicularis. He distinctly gives Fusaria as Ascaris renamed; hence, Fusaria is a synonym of Ascaris and takes the same species as type.

fusca Rudolphi, 1819a, 5, 211.

1819: Filaria. 1861: Ichthyonema.

fusiformis Molin, 1858, 415.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema.

fusiformis Bastian, 1865c, 121, pl. 10, figs. 95, 96.

1865: Plectus.

gadi Mueller, 1776, 214. Renamed lineolatus Mueller, 1779, 96–98.

1776: Echinorhynchus (? type).

galeatus Rudolphi, 1819a, 648-649; = strongyloides Diesing, 1851a.

1819: Strongylus. 1845: Sclerostoma. [1851: sub Diaphanocephalus strongyloides (? type).]

gemmatus Villot, 1884. [Not accessible to us.]

1884: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

gibbosa Rudolphi, 1809a, 167-168. Includes Fusaria strumosa Zeder, 1800a.

1809: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia).

gibbosum Leuckart, 1886, 743-746.

1886: Asconema (type). 1887: Atractonema (type).

gibbosus Rudolphi, 1819a, 639.

1819: Trichocephalus. [1851: Oncophora (type).]

Gigantorhynchus Hamann, 1892d, 196. Type species G. echinodiscus (Diesing, 1851)
Hamann, 1892d, 196. Designated by Hamann in letter to Stiles, dated
Nov, 29, 1903.

echinodiscus (Diesing, 1851) Hamann, 1892d; 196.

Gigantorhynchus Hamann-Continued.

twnioides (Diesing, 1851) Hamann, 1892d, 196.

spira (Diesing, 1851) Hamann, 1892d, 196.

gigas (Bloch, 1782) Hamann, 1892d, 196, as probable member of this genus. ♂♀ gigas Bloch, 1782a, 26–27, pl. 7, figs. 1–8. [Bloch appeared prior to Gœze.]

1782: Echinorhynchus. 1892: Gigantorhynchus.

gigas Rudolphi, 1802, 2, 42, pl. 1, fig. 2. [Not accessible to us.]

1802: Strongylus. [1802: Dioctophyme (type).] 1851: Eustrongylus (type).

glaber Bastian, 1865c, 136, pl. 11, figs. 129-130.

1865: Oncholaimus. 1890: Oncholaimus (Viscosia).

głobiceps Rudolphi, 1819a, 7, 215.

1819: Filaria. 1861: Ichthyonema (probably type).

globiceps de Man, 1880, 15-16.

1880: Microlaimus (type).

globicola Fabricius, 1780a, 268.

1780: Gordius. ? 1790: Ascaris. [1801: Ophiostoma.] 1803: Fusaria. 1803: Ophiostoma. Eliminated from Ophiostoma by Rudolphi, 1810a, 279.

globocaudatus Diesing, 1853a, 34.

1853: Mastophorus.

Globocephalus Molin, 1861, 436, 534–537. G. longemucronatus Molin, 1861, 536–537, pl. 6, figs. 3–4, only species, hence type. See also Characostomum.

[Not Globicephalus Lesson, 1828, mammal, renamed Globicephalus Gray, 1843, Globicephalus van Beneden, 1880, Globiceps Flower, 1883 (not Lepelletier and Serville, 1825).]

1895: Cystocephalus Railliet, 1895, 1302. Globocephalus Molin renamed.

1902: Characostomum Railliet, 1902, 109. Globocephalus Molin renamed.

globosus Zeder, 1800a, 94-96; Rudolphi, 1809a, 111.

1800: Cucullanus.

globosus Dujardin, 1845a, 269. [See also Cucullanus globosus Zeder, 1800a, 94.] 1845: Dacnitis.

glomerans Bastian, 1865c, 115-116, pl. 9, figs. 16-17.

1865: Tripyla (type).

glutinis Mueller, 1783, 161; [=anguillula 1773=redivivum 1767]. See Anguillula.

[1773: Vibrio anguillula.] 1783: Vibrio. 1786: Anguillula (type). 1815: Gordius. [1838: Anguillula.] 1845: Rhabditis.

glycirrhiza van Beneden, 1873b, 13–16, pl. 1, figs. 1–7.

1873: Strongylacantha (type).

Gnathostoma Owen, 1836, 123–126. G. spinigerum Owen, 1836, 123–126, only species, hence type. See also Cheiracanthus.

Gazia Zeder, 1800a, 6, 96-102. Type by elimination G. armata Rudolphi, 1801, 57; = Cucullanus ascaroides.

[Not Gæsia Bæck, 1871, crustacean; not Gætia Karsch, 1892, insect.]

Cucullanus ascaroides Geze, 1782a, 40, 134; = Gazia armata Rudolphi, 1801, 57. inermis Zeder, 1800a, 101–102; sub Liorhynchus by Rudolphi, 1801.

Gongylonema Molin, 1857, 148–152, 216–223. Type species G. minimum, designated by Molin, 1857, 150.

minimum Molin, 1857, 218-220, figs. 1-6. 3 Q Host Mus musculus (type).

filiforme Molin, 1857, 220-222, figs. 7-9. Q

spirale Molin, 1857, 222, figs. 10–12. *pulchrum* Molin, 1857, 223, figs. 13–15.

Gordius Linnæus, 1758a, 644, 647. Type species G. aquaticus.

aquaticus Linnæus, 1758a, 647. (Type by Linnæan rule, see p. 64.)

argillaceus Linnæus, 1758a, 647.

medinensis Linnæus, 1758a, 647. To Dracunculus as type, 1759 and 1773.

gordius "Mueller, 1786, 60."

1786: Vibrio. 1828: Amblyura.

gracile Leidy, 1856, 52-53.

1856; Spironoura (? type). 1861; Spirura (? type).

gracile Bastian, 1865c, 145-146, pl. 12, figs. 158-160.

1865: Leptosomatum.

gracilescens Rudolphi, 1809a, 248-249.

1809: Liorhynchus.

gracilis Diesing, 1838a, 189, nomen nudum; 1839a, 225, pl. 14, figs. 8-11.
1838: Cheiracanthus. 1839: Cheiracanthus.

gracilis Leuckart, 1842, 38-39, pl. 1, figs. 11, a-c.

1842: Strongylus. 1861: Metastrongylus.

gracilis Diesing, 1851a, 231. Includes Spiroptera bicuspis Rudolphi, 1819a. [1845; Dispharagus bicuspis.] 1851; Histiocephalus.

gracilis Eberth, 1863a, 34, pl. 2, figs. 13-19.

1863: Enoplus. 1865: Cyatholaimus.

gracilis Bastian, 1865c, 99, pl. 9, figs. 20-22.

1865: Trilobus (type).

gracilis von Linstow, 1876, 16-17, pl. 2, fig. 38.

1876: Diplolaimus (type).

gracilis de Man, 1876, 172-174, pl. 11, figs. 43, a-c.

1876: Bastiania (type).

gracilis de Man, 1888, 3-4, pl. 1, fig. 1.

1888: Halalaimus (type).

granulosus Bastian, 1865c, 120–121, pl. 10, figs. 93–94.

1865: Plectus.

Graphonema Cobb, 1898d, Dec. 9, 406–407. G. vulgaris Cobb, 1898d, 406–407, only species, hence type.

gulosa Rudolphi, 1819a, 40, 271-272.

1819: Ascaris. 1866: Labiduris (type).

Gyalocephalus Looss, 1900, Feb. 12, 191–192. G. capitatus Looss, 1900, 191–192, only species, hence type.

Gymnotoma Schneider, 1866, 326. Ordinal name for Rhamphogordius. See also Polygordius.

Habronema Diesing, 1861c, 273-274. H. muscæ (Carter, 1861) Diesing, 1861c, 274, only species, hence type.

Hæmatozoon Leisering, 1865, 125. Used in a collective rather than a generic sense, for H. subulatum Leisering, 1865, 117-125, pl. 2, figs. 1-4; nematode found in the blood.

hæmisphæricus von Linstow, 1877, 2.

1877: Mitrephorus (type).

Hæmonchus Cobb, 1898a, Apr. 8, 447. H. contortus (Rudolphi, 1803) Cobb, 1898a, 447, figs. 120, i-v, only species, hence type.

Haruca Gmelin, 1790a, 3050. H. muris Gmelin, 1790a, only species, hence type; (=? Cysticercus fasciolaris).

[Cuvier, 1798, 637. No species mentioned.]

1840: Hæruca Nordmann, 1840, 641. For Hæruca.

Hærucula Pallas, "1760, 52;" 1768, 289. No specific name; gives "habitat in ranæ, esocis, cernuæ, percæ, & maxime in Truttæ nobilis intestino." See also Tæniola.

1760: Tæniola. "1768: Tæniola."

Halalaimus de Man, 1888, 2-4. H. gracilis de Man, 1888, 3-4, pl. 1, fig. 1, only species, hence type. halecis Gmelin, 1790a, 3037. Includes Gordius harangum Bloch, 1782a, 33.

1790: Ascaris. 1800: Capsularia. [1802: to Filaria by Rudolphi, 1802.][?]: Cucullanus.

Halichoanolaimus de Man, 1886, 66; 1888, 36–39. Spilophora robusta Bastian, 1865c, 166, pl. 13, figs. 226–227, only species, hence type.

Hamularia Treutler, 1793, 10–13. H. lymphatica Treutler, 1793, 10–13, pl. 2, figs. 3–7, only species, hence type.

1800: Tentacularia Zeder, 1800a, 5. Hamularia renamed.

hamulosa Diesing, 1851a, 217.

1851: Spiroptera. 1861; Cheilospirura (type). 1890: Dispharagus.

Hedruis Schneider, 1866, 340. Misprint for Hedruris.

Hedruris Nitzsch, 1821, 48-49. [H. androphora (Schmalz)?] Ascaris androphora Nitzsch, 1821, 48-49, only species, hence type.

1866: Hedruis Schneider, 1866, 340. Misprint.

Helicothrix Osman Galeb, 1878b, 296–298. (Subgenus of Oxyuris.) Type by inclusion Oxyuris spirotheca.

Oxyuris spirotheca Györy, 1856, 327–332, figs. 1–15. Type of Pseudonymus Diesing, 1857a.

Oxyuris hydrophili Osman Galeb, 1878b, 297, pl. 20, fig. 10.

Oxyuris hydroi Osman Galeb, 1878b, 297, pl. 25, fig. 1.

Oxyuris hydrobii Osman Galeb, 1878b, 297-298.

Heligmus Dujardin, 1845a, 136, 147-148. H. longicirrus Dujardin, 1845a, only species, hence type.

[Not Eligma Huebn., 1816, lepidopteron; corrected to Heligma by? [see Scudder, 1884, 143]; Heligmus Cand., 1864, coleopteron.]

Helmins Schlotthauber, 1860, 128. Nomen nudum except for habitat. It is doubtful whether this should be interpreted as a generic name.

nematoïdeus paradoxus.

nematoïdeus dubius.

hemignathi Shipley, 1896, 207-218, pl. 12, figs. 1-15.

1896: Arhynchus (type). 1899: Apororhynchus (type).

Hemipsilus Quatrefages, 1846, 131–132. One unnamed species. Bastian, 1865c, 172, gives three species.

hermaphrodita Freelich, 1789a, 151–155, pl. 4, figs. 11–13.

1789: Ascaris. [1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia) truncata.]

Heruca Scopoli, "1777, 383." [Not accessible to us.] See also Haruca.

Heteracis Molin, 1858, 149-150. Heterakis Dujardin, 1845a, renamed. Type species Heterakis vesicularis.

Heterakis Dujardin, 1845a, 136, 222-230. Type by original designation (Dujardin, 1845a, 222) H. resicularis. (Includes Ascaris papillosa Bloch, 1782a; Ascaris teres (minor) Goze, 1782a.)

1858: Heteracis Molin, 1858, 149-150. Heterakis renamed.

Heterobolbus Railliet, 1896, 161; = Heterodera Schmidt, 1871, renamed on account of Heteroderes Latreille, 1834. Hence type species same as Heterodera.

Heterocephalus Marion, 1870, 18–19. H. laticollis Marion, 1870, 18–19, pl. D, only species, hence type.

[Not Heterocephalus Rueppel, 1842, mammal.]

Heterocheila. See Heterochella under Heterocheilus.

Heterocheilus Diesing, 1839a, 229–232. H. tunicatus Diesing, 1839a, 230–232, pl. 15, figs. 1–8, only species, hence type; = Lobocephalus heterolobus Diesing, 1838a, 189, renamed. Also type by virtual tautonymy.

[Not Heterocheila Rond., 1857, dipteron; Heterocheila ior Heterocheila Lioy., 1864, dipteron; Heterocheilus Burmeister, 1844, coleopteron; Heterochilus for Heterocheila.]

heterochrous Rudolphi, 1802, 36-38.

1802: Cucullanus. [?1845: Dacnitis esuriens sub.]

Heterodera Schmidt, 1871. [Not accessible to us.]

[Not Heteroderes Latreille, 1834.]

1896: Heterobolbus Railliet, 1896, 161. Heterodera renamed.

heterolobus Diesing, 1838a, 189.

1838: Lobocephalus (type). [1839: Heterocheilus (type).]

Heth Cobb, 1898a, Mar., 299, figs. 10, i-iv. H. juli Cobb, 1898a, 299, figs. 10, i-iv, only species, hence type.

hians Dujardin, 1845a, 270-271.

1845: Dacnitis.

hirsutus Bastian, 1865c, 154-155, pl. 12, figs. 178-179.

1865: Linhomæus (type). 1865: Linhomomius (type).

hirsutus Bastian, 1865c, 157-158, pl. 13, figs. 192-194.

1865: Sphærolaimus (type).

hirsutus Cobb, 1894c, 413.

1894: Synonchus.

hirtum Marion, 1870, 22-23, pl. F.

1870: Enoplostoma (probably type).

Histeocephalus Molin, 1860, 913. Misprint for Histiocephalus.

Histiocephalus Diesing, 1851a, 80, 230-232. Type species? H. laticaudatus.

laticaudatus (Rudolphi, 1819) Diesing, 1851a, 230. 3 9 Host Otis tetrax.

In Dispharagus by Dujardin, 1845a.

minutus (Rudolphi, 1819) Diesing, 1851a, 230. 3 Q Host Platessa flesus. In Dispharagus by Dujardin, 1845a.

gracilis Diesing, 1851a, 231. ♂ ♀ Includes Spiroptera bicuspis Rudolphi, 1819a, 24; in Dispharagus bicuspis, Dujardin, 1845a, 79. Host Vanellus melanogaster.

spiralis Diesing, 1851a, 231. & Q [Includes Spiroptera obvelata Creplin.]

To Cosmocephalus alatus by Diesing, 1861a, 763.

brevicaudatus (Dujardin, 1845) Diesing, 1851a, 231–232. 3 Q [= Dispharagus brevicaudatus Dujardin, 1845a, 80.] To Dispharagus as sp. inq. by Stossich, 1891, 98.

decorus (Dujardin, 1845) Diesing, 1851a, 232. 3 Q In Dispharagus decorus Dujardin, 1845a, 78. Host Alcedo ispida.

denudatus (Dujardin, 1845) Diesing, 1851a, 232; sp. inq.; [= Dispharagus denudatus Dujardin, 1845a, 81].

Histiostrongylus Molin, 1861, 436, 530–534. H. coronatus Molin, 1861, 533–534, pl. 6, figs. 1–2, only species, hence type.

histrix Cobb, 1898a, March, 315, fig. 37.

1898: Xyo (type).

Hæruca Nordmann, 1840, 641. For Hæruca Gmelin.

hominis Schrank, 1788, 4; = Trichuris trichiura.

1788: Trichocephalus. 1790: Trichocephalus (type). 1803: Mastigodes (type).

Hoplocephalus von Linstow, 1898, 469–470. H. cinctus von Linstow, 1898, 469–470, pl. 35, figs. 3–11, only species, hence type. [Name changed to Echinonema by von Linstow, 1898.]

[Not Hoplocephalus and Oplocephalus Cuvier, 1829, reptile; Hoplocephali, see Cephaloplia; Hoplocephala Macq., 1845, dipteron; Heplacephala Walk., 1857, dipteron; Oplocephala Lap., 1831, coleopteron; Hoplocephala (v. Heplacephala, Oplocephala).]

horrida Diesing, 1851a, 278. Includes Filaria rhex Owen.

1851: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema.

horridus von Linstow, 1876, 6, pl. 1, figs. 10-12.

1876: Acanthophorus.

hydrobii Osman Galeb, 1878b, 297-298.

1878: Oxyuris (Helicothrix).

hydroi Osman Galeb, 1878b, 297, pl. 25, fig. 1.

1878: Oxyuris (Helicothrix).

Hydromermis E. Corti, 1902a, 113. II. rivicola Corti, 1902a, 113, only species, hence type.

hydrophili Osman Galeb, 1878b, 297, pl. 20, fig. 10.

1878: Oxyuris (Helicothrix).

Hypodontolaimus de Man, 1886, 66; 1888, 39-44. Type species (designated by de Man, 1888, 39) H. inequalis (Bastian, 1865).

Hystrichis Dujardin, 1845a, 290–291. II. tricolor Dujardin, 1845a, 290–291, only species, hence type.

Hystrignathus Leidy, 1850, 102. H. rigidus Leidy, 1850, 102, only species, hence type. Ichthyonema Diesing, 1861a, 620, 698–699. Type species probably I. globiceps.

globiceps (Rudolphi, 1819) Diesing, 1861a, 699. ♂♀

fuscum (Rudolphi, 1819) Diesing, 1861a, 699.

congeri vulgaris (Molin, 1859) Diesing, 1861a, 699; sp. inq.

ignavus Bastian, 1865c, 104, pl. 9, figs. 34, a-b.

1865: Ironus (type).

inæqualis Bastian, 1865c, 166, pl. 13, figs. 223-225.

1865: Spiliphera. [1865: Spilophora.] [1886: Hypodontolaimus (type).] 1888: Hypodontolaimus (type).

inermis Zeder, 1800a, 101-102.

1800: Gezia. [1801: Liorhynchus.] 1803: Cochlus.

inermis Molin, 1861, 540-542, pl. 7, figs. 1-3.

1861: Kalicephalus (probably type).

infernalis Linnæus, 1758a, 647.

1758: Furia (type).

inflexa Rudolphi, 1819a, 38, 268–269. [See also Fusaria inflexa Zeder, 1800a, 36–37.] 1819: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia).

inflexum Diesing, 1861a, 704; = dipetala Molin, 1858, 373, renamed.

1861: Dipetalonema.

inflexus Rudolphi, 1809a, 227-228. See also filum.

1809: Strongylus. 1845: Stenurus (type). 1851: Prosthecosacter.

infusorium Linnæus, 1767, 1326-1327.

1767: Chaos.

insignis Diesing, 1851a, 210.

1851: Peritrachelius (type).

instabilis Railliet, 1893, 442, fig. 301.

1893: Strongylus. 1905: Trichostrongylus.

intermedia Buetschli, 1873a, 67-68, pl. 6, figs. 33, a-b. 1873: Monhystera. 1880: Prismatolaimus (type).

intestinalis Bloch, 1782a, 33, pl. 10, figs. 8-9. [Not Fabricius, 1780a, 269.]

1782: Gordius. 1801: Fissula.

intestinalis Bayay, 1877a, 266-268.

1877: Anguillula. 1879: Strongyloides (type).

Ironus Bastian, 1865c, 93, 103-104. I. ignavus Bastian, 1865c, 104, pl. 9, figs. 34, a-b, only species, hence type.

[Not Irona Schiedte, 1883, crustacean (Zool. Rec. (1883), 1884, v. 20, Index, 7); not Ironeus H. W. Bates, 1872, coleopteron (Zool. Rec. (1872), 1874, v. 9, 301).]

6328-No. 79-05-8

irritans Rivolta, 1884, 128-134.

1884: Dermofilaria (type).

Isacis Diesing, 1861a, 614, 634. For Isakis Lespès, 1856.

Isacus Zool. Rec. (1896), 1897, v. 33, Verm., 42. For Isacis. See also Isakis.

Isakis Lespès, 1856, 335–336. I. migrans Lespès, 1856, 335–336, pl. 8, only species, hence type.

1861: Isacis Diesing, 1861a, 614, 634. For Isakis.

1897: Isacus Zool. Rec. (1896), 1897, v. 33, Verm., 42.

[Not Isacis and Isacus Cope, 1873, mammal; compare Isaca Walker, 1857, hemipteron.]

juli Cobb, 1898a, 299, figs. 10, i-iv.

1898: Heth (type).

Kalicephalus Molin, 1861, 436, 538-549. Type species probably K. inermis Molin.

inermis Molin, 1861, 540-542, pl. 7, figs. 1-3. ♂ ♀

strumosus Molin, 1861, 542. 3 Q

subulatus Molin, 1861, 543-544. ♂ ♀

appendiculatus Molin, 1861, 544-547. 3 9

mucronatus Molin, 1861, 547-548. δ Q

brevipenis Molin, 1861, 548-549. 3

bothropis Molin, 1861, 549. 3 Sp. inq.

As Kalicephalus inermis is the only species figured by Molin, this should probably be selected as type.

kaschgaricus Camerano, 1897g, 395.

1897: Parachordodes.

Koleops Lockwood, 1872, Aug., 449–454. K. anguilla Lockwood, 1872, 449–454, figs. 120–122, only species, hence type. Written Coleops in Scudder, 1884, 74. labiata Creplin, 1825a, 1–4.

1825: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema (? type).

Labiduris Schneider, 1866, 29, 122–123. L. gulosa (Rudolphi, 1819) Schneider, 1866, 123, pl. 7, figs. 15–17, only species, hence type; = Ascaris gulosa Rudolphi. [Not Labidura Leach, 1817, orthopteror; Labidura Dum., 1806, orthoptera,

supergeneric name.]

labiotruncata Molin, 1858, 412.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema.

Labyrinthostoma Cobb, 1898a, Apr., 421. Species apparently not named.

lacertæ Schrank, 1788, 5; = spirillum Pallas, 1781.

1788: Trichocephalus. 1803: Mastigodes. [1819: Sclerotrichum (echinatum)] type. [1845: Sclerotrichum (echinatum)] type. [1781: Tænia spirillum Pallas sub.]

lacteus Rathke, 1843, 238, pl. 12, fig. 16:

1843: Ramphogordius (type). 1866: Rhamphogordius (misdetermined). 1868: Polygordius (misdetermined by Schneider, type).

lacustris Mueller, 1776, 214.

1776: Echinorhynchus, 1779: Cucullanus (? type).

lævis Mueller, 1776, 215.

1776: Echinorhynchus (? type).

lavis Dujardin, 1845a, 117-118.

1845: Strongylus. 1861: Metastrongylus.

lævis Bastian, 1865c, 160, pl. 13, figs. 204-206.

1865: Spira.

lagopodis Freelich, 1802a, 46, pl. 1, fig. 21; pl. 2, figs. 1-3.

1802: Ascaris. [1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia) compar (sub).]

langrunensis de Man, 1890, 186-188, pl. 4, fig. 8.

1890: Oncholaimus (Viscosia).

Laphyctes Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653; = Rictularia Freelich renamed. Hence type species Rictularia cristata.

[Not Laphyctes Reichenbach, 1850, bird; Stål, 1853, hemipteron; Færst., 1878, hymenopteron; Laphyctis Loew., 1859, dipteron.]

lari E. Blanchard, 1849a, March, 182-185, pl. 7, fig. 5.

1849: Cyathostoma (type).

Lasiomitus Marion, 1870, 11–12. L. exilis Marion, 1870, 11–12, pl. A, fig. 1, only species, hence type.

latastei Camerano, 1895c, 8-9.

1895: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

laticaudata Rudolphi, 1819a, 24, 239-240.

1819: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus. 1851: Histiocephalus (? type).

laticeps Rudolphi, 1819a, 23, 238-239.

1819: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus.

laticollis Marion, 1870, 18-19, pl. D.

1870: Heterocephalus (type).

lavareti Rudolphi, 1809a, 313. See Acanthocephalus.

1809: Echinorhynchus.

Laxus Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 413–416. Type species L. longus, designated by Cobb in letter to Stiles, dated Dec. 15, 1903.

contortus Cobb, 1894c, 414.

longus Cobb, 1894c, 415-416, figs. 11, i-v.

Lecanocephalus Diesing, 1839a, 227. L. spinulosus, only species, hence type.

[Not Lecanicephalum Linton, 1891, cestode.]

Leiuris Leuckart, 1850, 11. Strongylus leptocephalus Rudolphi, 1819a, only species, hence type.

[Not Leiurus Ehr., 1829, arachnoid; Leiurus Swains., 1839, fish; Leiurus Gray, 1845, reptile.]

Lepidonema Cobb, 1898a, March, 315. L. bifurcata Cobb, 1898a, p. 315, figs. 36, i-iv; Apr., 453, fig. 127, only species, hence type.

leptocephalus Rudolphi, 1819a, 649-650.

1819: Strongylus. 1850: Leiuris (type).

Leptodera Dujardin, 1845a, 106, 108–109. L. flexilis Dujardin, 1845a, 109, pl. 6, fig. A, only species, hence type.

1845: Leptoderes Dujardin, 1845a, 2, 653; changed to Leptodera Dujardin, 1845a, 106, 108–109.

[Not Leptodeira Fitz., 1843, reptile; Leptodira for Leptodeira; Leptodirus Sturm., 1849, coleopteron; Leptodirus for Leptoderus; Leptoderus Schmidt, 1849, coleopteron.]

Leptoderes Dujardin, 1845a, 2, 653; changed to Leptodera Dujardin, 1845a, 106, 108–109.

[Not Leptoderes Serv., 1839, orthopteron; Leptoderis Billb., 1820, coleopteron.]
Leptolarmus. See Leptolarmus.

Leptolaimus de Man, 1876, 168-171. L. papilliger de Man, 1876, 169-171, pls. 10, 11,

figs. 42, a-e, only species, hence type.
[?]: Leptolæmus. [See Scudder, 1884, 172.]

Leptosomatum Bastian, 1865c, 94, 144-147. Type by original designation L. elongatum.
[Not Leptosoma Whitman, 1886, worm; Leptosomatium Kraatz, 1895, insect.]
elongatum Bastian, 1865c, 145, pl. 12, figs. 156-157.

punctatum (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 145.

gracile Bastian, 1865c, 145-146, pl. 12, figs. 158-160.

bacillatum (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 146.

figuratum Bastian, 1865c, 146-147, pl. 12, figs. 161-163.

coronatum (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 147.

longissimum (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 147.

subulatum (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 147.

leptura Rudolphi, 1819a, 48, 288.

1819: Ascaris. 1866: Oxysoma.

Lepturis Schlotthauber, 1860, 126. L. currula = Oxyuris curvula, only species, hence type. See Oxyuris.

[Not Leptura Linnaus, 1758, 1767, coleopteron; Lepturus Brisson, 1760, bird; Leptourus Swainson, 1838, bird.]

lepturus Marion, 1870, 16-17, pl. C, fig. 1.

1870: Stenolaimus (type).

limacis Dujardin, 1845a, 263, pl. 4, fig. B. Renamed angiostoma Schneider, 1866, 157.

1845: Angiostoma (type). [1866: Leptodera angiostoma.]

limacis Barthélemy, 1858a, 41-48, pl. 5, figs. 8-15.

1858: Ascaroides (type).

 $limalis \ Bastian, \ 1865c, \ 141-142, \ pl. \ 11, \ figs. \ 146-148.$ 

1865: Anticoma.

Lineola Kœlliker, 1845b, 86-89. [Compare Lineola Bær, 1827, polyg.] Type probably L. sieboldii.

Linguatula "Schrank, 1796, 227–232" [not accessible to us]. Type species probably L. bilinguis.

[Not Linguatula Freelich, 1789, arachnoid.]

bilinguis Schrank, 1796, 231, n. 1, pl. 2, A, B. [Compare Tentacularia cylindrica Zeder, 1803a, 45, pl. 1, fig. 2.] To Hamularia cylindrica (Zeder) Rudolphi, 1809a, 83; to Filaria nodulosa by Diesing, 1851a, 275; compare Monopetalonema obtuso-caudatum by Diesing, 1861a, 710.

unilinguis Schrank, 1797, 231, no. 2. To Hamularia nodulosa by Rudolphi, 1809a, 84; to Trichosoma longicolle by Rudolphi, 1819a, 14. See Capillaria semiteres

trichocephala Schrank, 1797, 232, n. 3. To Trichocephalus capillaris by Rudolphi, 1809a, 86; to Trichosoma brevicolle by Rudolphi, 1819a, 13. Type of Capillaria, 1800.

Linhomœus Bastian, 1865c, 154–155, 178. Type species L. hirsutus, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

1865: Linhomomius Bastian, 1865c, 94, 178; corrected to Linhomœus Bastian, 1865c, 154–155, 178.

hirsutus Bastian, 1865c, 154-155, pl. 12, figs. 178-179. ♀

elongatus Bastian, 1865c, 155, pl. 12, figs. 180-181.

Linhomomius Bastian, 1865c, 94, 178; corrected to Linhomœus.

Liniscus Dujardin, 1845a, 4, 29–30. L. exilis Dujardin, 1845a, only species, hence type.
[Not Liniscus Lefèvre, insect (Zool. Rec. (1885), 1886, v. 22, Index, 6);
Liniscus Hæckel, 1880, cœlenterate (Zool. Rec. (1880), 1881, v. 17, Index, 7).]

Liorhynchus Rudolphi, 1801, 49. Type species? L. truncatus. See p. 62.

1803: Ciorhynchus Zeder, 1803a, viii. Misprint for Liorhynchus.

1816: Liorrhynchus Olfers, 1816, 52. For Liorhynchus.

1866: Lyorhynchus Schneider, 1866, 13, 15. For Liorhynchus.

Ascaris tubifera Fabricius, 1780a, 273. [To Echinorhynchus by Zeder, 1803a; returned to Liorhynchus by Rudolphi, 1809a.]

Ascaris truncata Rudolphi, 1793, 12.

Ascaris pulmonalis Gmelin, 1790a, 3035. To Ascaris nigrovenosa by Rudolphi, 1802, 17. Type of Rhabdonema, 1883.

Gazia inermis Zeder, 1800a, 101–102. [To Cochlus in 1803; returned to Liorhynchus by Rudolphi, 1809a.]

Liorhynchus Rudolphi-Continued.

Liorhynchus truncatus is the only species of this genus which Rudolphi examined personally. In 1809, Rudolphi mentions: Liorhynchus truncatus (Rudolphi); Liorhynchus gracilescens Rudolphi, 1809a = Ascaris tubifera Fabricius renamed; and Liorhynchus denticulatus Rudolphi, 1809a = Gazia inermis renamed and figured.

Liorrhynchus Olfers, 1816, 52; = Liorhynchus Rudolphi.

Lissonema Linstow, 1903, 117–119. L. rotundatum Linstow, 1903, 117–119, figs. 16–20, only species, hence type.

Lissonoma Linstow, 1903, 117, 118. Misprint for Lissonema.

Litosoma van Beneden, 1873b, 21. L. filaria van Beneden, 1873b, 21, pl. 5, figs. 1–5, only species, hence type.

[Not Litosoma Douglas & Scott, 1865, 334–335, hemipteron; Litosomus Lacordaire, 1866, coleopteron.]

Lobocephalus Diesing, 1838a, 189. I. heterolobus Diesing, 1838a, 189, only species, hence type. Nomen nudum except for habitat. See also Heterocheitus. [Not Lobocephalus Kramer, 1898, arachnoid.]

Lombricoides Mérat, 1821, 225. L. vulgaris = Ascaris lumbricoides, only species, hence type.

longemucronatum Molin, 1861, 536-537, pl. 6, figs. 3-4.

1861: Globocephalus (type). 1895: Cystocephalus (type). 1902: Characostomum (type).

longestriata Molin, 1860, 958.

1860: Spiroptera. 1861: Cheilospirura.

longesubulatum Molin, 1861, 460-462, pl. 2, figs. 1-2.

1861: Eucyathostomum (type).

longevaginatus Diesing, 1851a, 317.

1851: Strongylus. 1861: Metastrongylus.

longicauda Cobb, 1893a, Oct., 819-820, fig. 37.

1893: Neonchus (type).

longicauda Cobb, 1893a, Oct., 825, fig. 41.

1893: Cephalonema (type). 1905: Nanonema (type).

longicauda de Man, 1893, 85-86, pl. 5, fig. 3.

1893: Trefusia (type).

longicaudata Bastian, 1865c, 98, pl. 9, figs. 5-6.

1865: Monhystera.

longicirrus Dujardin, 1845a, 148.

1845: Heligmus (type).

longicollis Bastian, 1865c, 133, pl. 11, figs. 119-122.

1865: Symplocostoma (type).

longifilum Dujardin, 1845a, 27-28.

1845: Calodium.

longipene Molin, 1861, 446-448.

1861: Œsophagostoma.

longissima Eberth, 1863a, 21, pl. 2, fig. 8.

1863: Phanoglene. 1865: Leptosomatum.

longus Leidy, 1851, 225.

1851: Anguillula. 1865: Trilobus.

longus Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 415-416, figs. 11, i-v.

1894: Laxus (type).

lumbricoides Linnæus, 1758a, 648.

1758: Ascaris (type). [1780: Stomachida vermis (type).] 1800: Fusaria (type). [1821: Lombricoides (type).]

Lumbricus Linnæus, 1758a, 644, 647–648. Type species L. terrestris; see p. 64. terrestris Linnæus, 1758a, 647–648.

terrestris Linnaeus, 1700a, 047-

marinus Linnæus, 1758a, 648.

Lumbricus terrestris contained, in part, Ascaris lumbricoides, and many earlier authors used Lumbricus for this parasite. Linnaeus's (1758a, 648) use of Ascaris lumbricoides, 1758, should be interpreted as eliminating this species from Lumbricus, and on this account Lumbricus no longer comes into consideration in connection with the nematodes. Cuvier (1798a, 630–631) mentions only L. terrestris; by the Linnæan rule, p. 64, this should be type.

lymphatica Treutler, 1793, 10-13, pl. 2, figs. 3-7.

1793: Hamularia (type). 1800: Tentacularia (type).

Lyorhynchus Schneider, 1866, 13-15; for Liorhynchus Rudolphi.

Macrolaimus Maupas, 1900, 578-582. M. crucis Maupas, 1900, 578-582, pl. 26, figs. 4-10, only species, hence type.

macrolaimus Linstow, 1904, Sept. 10, 491-492, figs. 13-15.

1904: Neomermis (type).

Macroposthonia de Man, 1880, 58-59. M. annulata de Man, 1880, 59, only species, hence type.

macrostoma Bastian, 1865c, 101-102, pl. 9, figs. 29-30.

1865: Mononchus.

maculosa Rudolphi, 1802, 22-23.

1802: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia).

magnum Villot, 1875, 458, pl. 11, figs. 2, a-b.

1875: Leptosomatum. 1889: Cylicolaimus (type).

major Raspail, 1829, May, 244, pls. 7–8. [See filum, 1845.]

1829: Strongylus. [1845: Pseudalius (type).]

manica Dujardin, 1845a, 22–23.

1845: Thominx (type).

marina Buetschli, 1874b, 285, pl. 3, fig. 13.

1874: Odontophora (type).

marina Buetschli, 1874b, 269–270, pl. 3, figs. 12, a-c. 1874: *Tripyla*. [1886: *Tripyloides*.]

marinum Leidy, 1855, 144.

1855: Pontonema.

marinus Linnæus, 1758a, 648.

1758: Lumbricus.

marinus Mueller, 1779, 99–101 [or 1777, 50–51, pl. 38, figs. 1–11]. [See also foreolatus.]

[? 1777: Cucullanus (? type).] 1779: Cucullanus.

marinus Mueller, 1783, 163.

1783: Vibrio. "1786: Anguillula," 1836: Enchelidium (type).

marinus Dujardin, 1845a, 231, pl. 3, fig. D.

1845: Dorylaimus.

marioni de Man, 1888, 32-34, pls. 2, 3, fig. 15.

1888: Dolicholaimus (type).

martis Gmelin, 1790a, 3040. See under Filaria.

1790: Filaria (type).

Mastigades Zeder, 1803a, 30. Misprint for Mastigodes.

Mastigodes Zeder, 1800a, 5–6; = Trichuris Rœderer & Wagler, 1761, renamed; hence type species Mastigodes hominis = Trichuris trichiura.

1803: Mastigades Zeder, 1803a, 30. Misprint.

1816: Mastigoides Lamarck, 1816, 212. Misprint.

Mastigoides Lamarck, 1816, 212. Misprint for Mastigodes, 1800.

Mastophorus Diesing, 1853a, 34. Type species probably M. echiurus.

[Not Mastopora Eichw., 1840, mollusk; Mastigophora Poey, 1832, lepidopteron.] globocaudatus Diesing, 1853a, 34. Host Geotrupes stercorarius. Only immature stages observed.

echiurus Diesing, 1853a, 34. Host Tenebrio molitor. Only immature stages observed. Probably type, because its host is so common.

medinensis Linnæus, 1758a, 647. Renamed dracunculus Bremser.

1758: Gordius. 1759: Dracunculus (type). 1773: Dracunculus (type). 1773:
 Vena (type). 1790: Filaria. 1792: Nervus (type). 1795: Furia vena.

mediterranea de Man, 1877, 108-109, pl. 9, figs. 14, a-c.

1877: Spira. 1888: Arxolaimus.

megalochila Diesing, 1851a, 278-279.

1851: Filaria. [1851: Tricheilostomi (type).] [1861: Schizocheilonema (type).] 1861: Tricheilonema (type).

megastoma Rudolphi, 1819a, 22-23, 236.

1819: Spiroptera. 1849: Spirura. 1866: Filaria.

megatyphlon Rudolphi, 1819a, 47, 285-286.

1819: Ascaris. 1845: Ozolaimus (type). 1866: Oxyuris.

melancholicus de Man, 1880, 35.

1880: Cylindrolaimus.

Meloidogyne Gœldi ?, "1887, 67-68;" 1889a, 28. Feb., 266; 1892a, 68. M. exigua, Gœldi ?, "1887;" 1889a, 28. Feb., 266; 1892a, 68, only species, hence type.

Menopetatonema Linstow, 1878, 74. Misprint for Monopetalonema.

Merinthoidea Kræmer, 1853a. See Merinthoidum.

Merinthoidum Kræmer, 1853a, 291–293. Proposed as an artificial collective group and as such it has no type species. Originally contained only one species, Merinthoidum mucronatum chironomi plumosi Kræmer, 1853a, 291–293, pl. 11, figs. 9–10, fig. 15 in text.

Mermis Dujardin, 1842a, 117–119; 1842e, 129, pl. 6. M. nigrescens, only species, hence type.

Metastrongylus Molin, 1861, 437, 588-594. Type species M. paradoxus.

longevaginatus (Diesing, 1851) Molin, 1861, 589-590, pl. 8, fig. 7.

paradoxus (Mehlis, 1831) Molin, 1861, 591.

lævis (Dujardin, 1845) Molin, 1861, 592.

costellatus (Dujardin, 1845) Molin, 1861, 592.

polygyrus (Dujardin, 1845) Molin, 1861, 592-593.

depressus (Dujardin, 1845) Molin, 1861, 593.

minutus (Dujardin, 1845) Molin, 1861, 593-594.

gracilis (Leuckart, 1842) Molin, 1861, 594.

Molin figures only the first species, but as this is probably identical with the second, and as the second is the most common, best known, and most easily obtained of any of the eight species in question, *M. paradoxus* is herewith designated type of *Metastrongulus*.

micans Nordmann, 1840, 664.

1840: Phanoglene (? type).

micans M. Schultze in Carus, 1857a, pl. 8, fig. 1.

1857: Diplogaster (type).

Microlaimus de Man, 1880, 15–16. M. globiceps de Man, 1880, 15–16, only species, hence type.

[Not Microlamia H. W. Bates, 1874, coleopteron (Zool. Rec. (1874), 1876, v. 11, 327).]

microphthalmus de Man, 1893, 86-89, pl. 5, fig. 4.

1893: Arxolaimus (Arxolaimoides) [type].

microstomus Dujardin, 1845a, 234-235.

1845: Enoplus.

migrans Lespès, 1856, 335-336.

1856: Isakis (type).

minimum Molin, 1857, 218-220, figs. 1-6.

1857: Gongylonema (type).

minimus de Man, 1876, 120-122, pl. 6, figs. 16, a-b.

1876: Tylencholaimus.

minor Kuhn, 1829a, Apr., 152. See also inflexus.

1829: Strongylus. 1851: Prosthecosacter (type).

minor Cobb, 1891c, Dec. 22, 156.

1891: Dipeltis.

minor Looss, 1900, 190-191.

1900: Triodontus. 1902: Triodontophorus.

minus Marion, 1870, 23-24, pl. G, fig. 1.

1870: Enoplostoma.

minuta van Beneden, 1871a, 17.

1871: Coronilla.

minuta van Beneden, 1873b, 22, pl. 5, figs. 6-11.

1873: Ascarops (type).

minutissima Gœze, 1782a, 40, 110.

1782: Ascaris.

minutus Rudolphi, 1819a, 21.

1819: Cucullanus. 1851: Histiocephalus.

minutus Dujardin, 1845a, 118.

1845: Strongylus. 1861: Metastrongylus.

minutus Claparède, 1863a, 89-90, pl. 18, figs. 4-7.

1863: Desmoscolex (type).

mirabile Leuckart, 1884, 320.

1884: Allantonema (type).

mirabilis Buetschli, 1873a, 44-45, pl. 19 (3), figs. 14, a-b.

1873: Tylenchus. 1876: Tylencholaimus (type).

Mitrephoros von Linstow, 1877, 18. See Mitrephorus

Mitrephorus von Linstow, 1877, 2. M. hæmisphæricus von Linstow, 1877, 2, only species, hence type.

1877: Mitrephoros Linstow, 1877, 18. For Mitrephorus.

[Not Mitrephorus Scheenherr, 1837, coleopteron; Mitrephorus Schater, 1859, bird; Mitrophorus Burm., 1844, coleopteron.]

monacanthus Diesing, 1853a, Jan., 35.

1853: Cephalacanthus (probably type).

Monhystera Bastian, 1865c, 93, 97-99. Type species M. stagnatis, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

1889: Monohystera de Man, 1889, 7. For Monhystera.

stagnalis Bastian, 1865c, 97, pl. 9, figs. 9-11. ♂ ♀

dispar Bastian, 1865c, 97, pl. 9, figs. 1-2. ♀

rivularis Bastian, 1865c, 97-98, pl. 9, figs. 3-4.

longicaudata Bastian, 1865c, 98, pl. 9, figs. 5-6.

filiformis Bastian, 1865c, 98, pl. 9, figs. 7-8. Q

disjuncta Bastian, 1865c, 98, pl. 9, figs. 12–13; ♂ as doubtful member of this genus.

ambigua Bastian, 1865c, 98, pl. 9, figs. 14–15; ♂ as doubtful member of this genus.

monilis Hammerschmidt, 1838a, 358, pl. 4, fig. a.

1838: Anguillina (type).

Monodontus Molin, 1861, 435, 463-470. Type species M. semicircularis.

[Not Monodon Linnæus, 1735, 1758, 1766, mammal; Monodon Cuvier, 1817, mollusk; Monodon Schweigger, 1820, mollusk; Monodon Gerv., 18—, mollusk; Monodonta Lamarck, 1799, 1801, mollusk; Monodontes Montf., 1810, mollusk; Monodous Schulze, 1897, for Monodon Linnæus, 1758.]

wedlii Molin, 1861, 467-469; includes Strongylus cernuus Creplin, 1829 = Strongylus trigonocephalus Rudolphi, 1809 = type of Bunostomum Railliet, 1902. semicircularis Molin, 1861, 469-470, pl. 2, figs. 3-4. Type; from Dicotyles torquatus.

Molin bases his anatomical discussion directly upon *M. semicircularis*, which is the only one of the two species he figures, and which further he (p. 464) specifically takes as an argument to justify his genus.

Monohystera de Man, 1889, 7. For Monhystera.

Mononchus Bastian, 1865c, 93, 100–103. Type species M. truncatus, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

1865: Monorchus Marschall, 1873, 436. For Mononchus Bastian, 1865.

1605: Monorchus Matschani, 1613, 430. Fot Monorchus truncatus Bastian, 1865c, 101, pl. 9, figs. 25–26. ♀ papillatus Bastian, 1865c, 101-102, pl. 9, figs. 27–28. ♀ macrostoma Bastian, 1865c, 102, pl. 9, figs. 31–32. ♀ cristatus Bastian, 1865c, 102, pl. 9, figs. 33–34. ♀ fovearum (Dujardin, 1845) Bastian, 1865c, 102-103. ♀ muscorum (Dujardin, 1845) Bastian, 1865c, 103. ♀ crassiusculus (Dujardin, 1845) Bastian, 1865c, 103. ♀

A slight complication arises in connection with Mononchus, 1865, and Oncholaimus, 1845. Of the three original species of Oncholaimus, Diesing (1851a, 125) transferred attenuatus to Enoplus, thus leaving forearum and muscorum. Under such circumstances one of these species would most naturally be selected as type. Bastian (1865c) returned attenuatus to Oncholaimus and transferred forearum and muscorum to Mononchus. Many authors would hold that Bastian was in error in this action, and that Mononchus should fall as a synonym of Oncholaimus on the ground that it contained the only two remaining species of Oncholaimus. Were it not for the fact that Bastian has written us that he intended truncatus as type of Mononchus we should be inclined to follow that ruling, but as the original author's intentions should be recognized, we accept truncatus as type of Mononchus.

Oncholaimus now takes attenuatus as type by Bastian's designation, provided it is admitted that he was justified in returning the species for the sake of establishing the type.

Monopetalonema Diesing, 1861a, 620, 710. Type species? M. physalurum by page precedence, or? obtuso-caudatum by inclusion. See bilinguis.

physalurum (Bremser, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 710. ♂♀

obtuse-caudatum Diesing, 1861a, 710; & Q=Filarianodulosa Rudolphi, 1820; =Filaria obtuse-caudata Rudolphi, 1819a.

Monoposthia de Man, 1889, 9-10. Type by original designation Spilophora costata Bastian, 1865c.

Monorchus Marschall, 1873, 436. Misprint for Mononchus Bastian, 1865.

[Not Monorchis Monticelli, 1893, trematode.]

monostichum Diesing, 1851a, 306.

1851: Sclerostomum, 1861: Œsophagostoma.

montredonense Marion, 1870, 27-29, pl. I, fig. 1.

1870: Thoracostoma.

morrhuæ.

1871: Ascarophis (apparently type).

morstatti Marion, 1870, 31-32, pl. J, fig. 1.

1870: Rhabdotoderma (type).

mucronata Molin, 1858, 155.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Dipetalonema.

mucronatum Molin, 1861, 474-475, pl. 3, fig. 1.

1861: Diploodon (type).

mucronatus Molin, 1861, 547–548. 1861: Kalicephalus.

muris Schrank, 1788, 21.

[1782: Pseudoechinorhynchus (? type).] 1788: Echinorhynchus. 1790: Hæruca (type).

muscæ Carter, 1861d, 30-33, pl. 1A, figs. 1-4.

1861: Filaria. 1861: Habronema (type).

muscorum Dujardin, 1845a, 237.

1845: Oncholaimus (? type, see also forearum and attenuatus). 1865: Mononchus. "mustelarum [pulmonalis] Rudolphi," 1819a, 8, 216. See also Ascaris bronchialis.

1819: Filaria. 1858: Filarioides (type).

Myenchus Schuberg & Schreder, 1904, in Schuberg, 1904, Feb. 22, 629-632.
 M. both-ryophorus Schuberg & Schreder, 1904, 629-632, only species, hence type.
 Muzomimus Stiles, 1892, 65-67.
 M. scutatus (Mueller, 1869) Stiles, 1892, 65-67, 1 fig.,

only species, hence type.

Nanonema Cobb, 1905, in Stiles & Hassall, 1905, 122. New name for Cephalonema Cobb, 1893a [not Stimps., ante, 1882]; hence type species Nanonema longicauda (Cobb, 1893) Cobb, 1905, 122.

nasuta Rudolphi, 1819a, 23, 238.

1819: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus.

natans Bastian, 1865c, 155-156, pl. 13, figs. 182-184.

1865: Tachyhodites (type).

natans Bastian, 1865c, 168-169, pl. 13, figs. 236-238.

1865: Chromadora.

Necator Stiles, 1903, Aug. 1, 312. Uncinaria americana, only species, hence type. Originally a subgenus of Uncinaria.

Necticonema Marion, 1870, 32-34. N. prinzi Marion, 1870, 33-34, pl. J, fig. 2, only species, hence type.

Nectonema Verrill, 1879, Nov. 5, 187–188. N. agilis Verrill, 1879, Nov. 5, 187–188, only species, hence type.

Needhamia Carus. [Not accessible to us.]

neglecta Diesing, 1851a, 296; [= gibbosus Rudolphi, 1819, renamed].

[1819: Trichocephalus.] 1851: Oncophora (type).

Nema Leidy, 1856, 49-50. N. vacilans Leidy, 1856, 50, only species, hence type.

Nematodum Diesing, 1861a, 724-726. It is doubtful whether this should be interpreted as a generic name. It seems rather to be an indefinite collective name "nematode."

Nematoideum Diesing, 1851a, 329-342. Collective group of artificial value and without any type species.

Nematoxys Schneider, 1866, 29, 111–113. Type species by inclusion N. ornatus. See also Cosmocerca.

ornatus (Dujardin, 1845) Schneider, 1866, 112–113, pl. 12, fig. 5; pl. 18, fig. 4. Type of Cosmocerca, 1861.

commutatus (Diesing, 1851) Schneider, 1866, 113, pl. 12, fig. 2; pl. 18, fig. 3.

Schneider apparently overlooked the fact that Diesing, 1861, had proposed the genus Cosmocerca to include these same two species, hence, Nematorys = Cosmocerca renamed, and consequently takes the same species, Cosmocerca ornata, as type.

Neoechinorhynchus Hamann in Stiles & Hassall, 1905, 123. Type N. clavæceps (Zeder, 1800) Hamann, 1905, 123. Proposed by Hamann in letter to Stiles, dated Nov. 29, 1903, for Neorhynchus Hamann, 1892d; not Sclater, 1869.

Neomermis Linstow, 1904, Sept. 10, 491–492. N. macrolaimus Linstow, 1904, 491–492, figs. 13–15, only species, hence type.

Neonchus Cobb, 1893a, Oct., 819–820. N. longicauda Cobb, 1893a, 819–820, fig. 37, only species, hence type.

Neorhynchus Hamann, 1892d, 197. Type species N. clavæceps, designated by Hamann, in letter to Stiles, dated Nov. 29, 1903. Renamed Neocchinorhynchus.

clavæceps (Zeder, 1800) Hamann, 1892d, 197.

agilis (Rudolphi, 1819) Hamann, 1892d, 197.

'[Not Neorhynchus Sclater, 1869, bird; Neorhynchus Milne-Edwards, 1879, crustacean.]

Nervus [see Laporte, 1792, 531]. Nervus medinensis = Dracunculus medinensis, only species, hence type.

Netrorhynchus Zenker, 1827, 53. N. blainvillii Zenker, 1827, 53, only species, hence type.

niger de Man, 1893, 100-102, pl. 6, fig. 8.

1893: Siphonolaimus (type).

nigrescens Dujardin, 1842a, 117–119; 1842e, 129, pl. 6. 1842: Mermis (type).

nigricans Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 416-417.

1894: Chromagaster.

nigrovenosa Gœze in Zeder, 1800a, 48.

1800: Fusaria. 1800: Ascaris. 1841: Oxyuris. 1882: Angiostomum. 1883: Rhabdonema (type). 1905: Rhabdias (type).

nitidum Leidy, 1856, 49.

1856: Potamonema (type).

nodulosa Rudolphi [1820], 13.

1820: Filaria. [1861: Monopetalonema.]

nudicapitata Bastian, 1865c, 168, pl. 13, figs. 230-232.

1865: Chromadora.

obtusa Cobb, 1893a, Oct., 811.

1893: Brachynema (type).

obtuse-caudatum Diesing, 1861a, 710. See obtuso-caudatum.

[1819: Filaria.] 1861: Monopetalonema.

obtuso-caudata Rudolphi, 1819a, 634. See also obtuse-caudatum. 1819: Filaria.

obtuso-caudata Kælliker, 1845b, 88-89.

1845: Lineola.

obtusus Dujardin, 1845a, 105.

1845: Proleptus.

obtusus Dujardin, 1845a, 107.

1845: Eucamptus (type).

obtusus Bastian, 1865c, 128, pl. 10, figs. 117-118.

1865: Tylenchus.

obtusus Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 419-420, figs. 13, i-iv.

1894: Solenolaimus (type).

ocellata Carter, 1859b, July, 43, pl. 3, fig. 31.

1859: Urolabes. 1863: Phanoglene. 1865: Chromadora.

ocellatus Bastian, 1865c, 163, pl. 13, figs. 210-212a.

1865: Cyatholaimus (type).

octocornutus Molin, 1860, 344.

1860: Elaphocephalus (type).

oculata Marion, 1870, 35, pl. K, fig. 2.

1870: Acanthopharynx.

Odontobius Roussel, 1834, 326–331. O. ceti Roussel, 1834, 326–331, only species, hence type.

Odontolaimus de Man, 1880, 61–62. O. chlorurus de Man, 1880, 61–62, only species, hence type.

Odontophora Buetschli, 1874b, 285. O. marina Buetschli, 1874b, 285, pl. 3, fig. 13, only species, hence type.

[Not Odontophorus Vieillot, 1816, bird.]

Œsophagodontus Railliet & Henry, 1902, 7. Feb., 110-111. O. robustus (Giles, 1892) Gedœlst, 1903a, 57, 92, only species, hence type.

Esophagostomum Molin, 1861, 435, 443–450. Type species O. subulatum = O. dentatum (Rudolphi, 1803).

subulatum Molin, 1861, 445-446, pl. 1, figs. 3-4. ♂♀

longipene Molin, 1861, 446-448. ♂♀

monostichum (Diesing, 1851) Molin, 1861, 448-449. ♂♀

acutum Molin, 1861, 449. ♂♀

pachycephalum Molin, 1861, 450. ♂♀

As Molin designated no type, we herewith designate as such the species *Œsophagostomum subulatum=Strongylus dentatus* Rudolphi, 1803, this selection being made for the following reasons: (1) As this form inhabits a domesticated animal, it is much more easy to obtain than forms inhabiting wild animals; (2) it is the only species Molin figured; (3) Molin evidently intended this species as type, although he did not definitely designate it as type; (4) this designation agrees with the principle of page precedence.

Ollulanus R. Leuckart, 1865, 227. O. tricuspis Leuckart, 1865, 227, only species, hence type.

Onchalaimus de Rouville, 1903, 11. Dec., 1528. Misprint for Oncholaimus.

Onchocerca Diesing, 1841, 200 [in J. Hermann, 1841b, 199–200]. O. reticulata Diesing, 1841, 200, only species, hence type.

1846: Oncocerca Creplin, 1846b, 171; for Onchocerca.

Oncholaima Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653. Changed to Oncholaimus Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 235–237, 653.

Oncholaimellus de Man, 1890, 189–192. O. calvadosicus de Man, 1890, 190–192, pl. 5, fig. 10, only species, hence type.

Oncholaimus Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 235–237, 653. Type species probably O. attenuatus. See discussion under Mononchus, 121.

1845: Oncholaima Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653. Changed to Oncholaimus Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 235–237, 653.

1865: ? Mononchus Bastian, 1865c, 93, 100-103; includes both forearum and muscorum.

1903: Onchalaimus de Rouville, 1903, 1528. Misprint.

attenuatus Dujardin, 1845a, 236. 3 To Enoplus by Diesing, 1851a, 125. Type of Oncholaimus according to Bastian, 1865c, 100, and de Man, 1886, 9.

fovearum Dujardin, 1845a, 236–237. Q To Mononchus by Bastian, 1865c, 102. muscorum Dujardin, 1845a, 237. Q To Mononchus by Bastian, 1865c, 103.

Oncocerca Creplin, 1846b, 171. See Onchocerca.

Oncophora Diesing, 1851a, 81, 296. O. neglecta Diesing, 1851a; = Trichocephalus gib-bosus Rudolphi, 1819a, renamed, only species, hence type.

[Not Onchophora Busk., 1855, mollusk; Oncophorus Rudow., 1874, neuropteron; Eppelscheim, 1885, insect.]

Onyx Cobb, 1891c, Dec. 22, 146–155. O. perfectus Cobb, 1891c, 153–155, figs. 4, 5, 7, 8, i–v, only species, hence type.

[Not Onix Mayr & Forel, 1884, insect (Zool. Rec. (1884), 1885, v. 22, Index, 7).]

ophicephalum Claparède, 1863a, 88–89, pl. 18, figs. 2–3.

1863: Chætosoma (type).

Ophiostoma Rudolphi, 1801, 48. Type by inclusion Cystidicola farionis Fischer, 1798.
See Cystidicola.

1839: Ophiostomum Creplin, 1839a, 283. Ophiostoma renamed.

[Not Ophiostomus for Ophistomis Dej., 1834, coleopteron.]

Ascaris phocæ Fabricius, 1780a, 272. [United with Ascaris atax Mueller, 1776, 214, Ascaris neitsib [neitsil] Mueller, 1776, 214, and Ascaris bifida Fabricius, 1780a, 273; (=Proboscidea bifida (Mueller) Lamarck, 1801), by Rudolphi, 1809a, 119, under the name Ophiostoma dispar Rudolphi, 1809a, 119; unidentifiable according to Krabbe, 1878.]

Ascaris globicola (Fabricius, 1780) Gmelin, 1790a, 3036; [= Gordius globicola Fabricius, 1780a; eliminated from Ophiostoma as doubtful by Rudolphi, 1810a,

2797.

Ascaris rajæ Mueller, 1776, 214. [To Proboscidea by Tableau encycl., pl. 32, figs. 11–12; to Fusaria and Ophiostoma by Zeder, 1803a, 124, 128; eliminated from Ophiostoma as doubtful by Rudolphi, 1810a, 270.]

Ascaris bifida Mueller, 1780, 273. [United with Ascaris phocæ by Rudolphi,

1809a, 119.]

Cystidicola farionis Fischer, 1798b, 98. [Type of Cystidicola.] [To Spiroptera by Rudolphi, 1819a, 26–27, 245–246.]

Ophiostoma, 1801, was a deliberate renaming of an earlier monotypical genus, hence it takes the same type as the earlier genus. It is quite possible that Ascaris bifida is the type of Proboscidea. See also p. 45.

Ophiostomum Creplin, 1839a, 283; = Ophiostoma renamed.

ornata Dujardin, 1845a, 144-145, pl. 5, fig. G.

1845: Oxyuris. 1861: Cosmocerca (type). 1866: Nematoxys (type).

ornatum Dujardin, 1843a, 347, pl. 14, fig. B.

1843: Trichosomum. 1845: Calodium.

ornatus Eberth, 1863a, 40-41, pl. 4, figs. 13-15; pl. 5, figs. 5-6.

1863: Enoplus. 1865: Symplocostoma.

ornatus Bastian, 1865c, 163-164, pl. 13, figs. 215-216.

1865: Cyatholaimus.

ovata Zeder, 1803a, 36-37.

1803: Filaria, 1851: Agamonema.

oviflagellis Fourment, 1884a, 1-8, pl. 16, figs. 1-11.

1884: Spinitectus (type).

Oxiurus Sonsino, 1878, 613. Misprint for Oxyuris.

oxycaudata Greef, 1869a, 115-117, pl. 6, figs. 9-10.

1869: Trichoderma (type).

oxycephalus de Man, 1880, 31.

1880: Aulolaimus (type).

oxycerca de Man, 1888, 10-11, pl. 1, fig. 6.

1888: Monohystera. 1889: Monohystera (Penzancia).

Oxynema von Linstow, 1899, 19–20. O. rectum von Linstow, 1899, 19–20, pl. 5, fig. 56, only species, hence type.

Oxysoma Schneider, 1866, 29, 114–116. Type species probably O. brevicaudatum, by page precedence and because of host.

[Not Oxysoma Gervais, 1849, arachnoid; Kraatz, 1865, coleopteron.]

Oxysoma Schneider-Continued.

brevicaudatum (Zeder, 1800) Schneider, 1866, 114-115, pl. 11, figs. 1-2; ♂ ♀ [= Fusaria brevicaudata Zeder, 1800a; = Heterakis brevicaudata (Zeder) Dujardin, 1845]. Host Rana temporaria.

tentaculatum (Rudolphi, 1819) Schneider, 1866, 115, pl. 7, fig. 13; pl. 12, fig. 1; 𝔞 ♀ [= Ascaris tentaculata Rudolphi].

lepturum (Rudolphi, 1819) Schneider, 1866, 115-116, pl. 7, fig. 14; pl. 12, fig. 3; ♂ ♀ [= Ascaris leptura Rudolphi].

Oxyspirura von Drasche in Stossich, 1897, 123-126. Type species O. cephaloptera, after Drasche, according to Stossich (letter to Stiles, dated Nov. 1, 1903).

acuminata (Molin, 1860) Stossich, 1897, 123. 3

anacanthura (Molin, 1860) Stossich, 1897, 123-124. ♂ ♀

brevisubulata (Molin, 1860) Stossich, 1897, 124. 3 9

cephaloptera (Molin, 1860) Stossich, 1897, 124-125. ♂♀

sygmoidea (Molin, 1860) Stossich, 1897, 125. 3 Q

spiralis (Molin, 1860) Stossich, 1897, 125-126. ♂ ♀ brevipenis (Molin, 1860) Stossich, 1897, 126. 3 Q

Oxystoma Buetschli, 1874b, 270-271. O. elongata Buetschli, 1874b, 270-271, pl. 4, figs. 18, a-d, only species, hence type.

[Not Oxystoma Duméril, 1806, coleopteron; Oxystoma Blainville, 1825, mollusk, supergeneric; Oxystomata Haan, 18-, crustacean, supergeneric; Blainville, 1825, mollusk, supergeneric; Oxystomus G. Fischer, 1803, mammal; Rafinesque, 1810, fish; Latreille, 1825, coleopteron; Swains., 1837, bird.]

Oxyuris Rudolphi, 1803a, 6-8. O. curvula = Trichocephalus equi Schrank, 1788, 4, or Gmelin, 1790a, 3038; = Oxyuris equi, only species, hence type.

1816: Oxyurus Lamarck, 1816, 213-215. For Oxyuris Rudolphi, 1803.

1860: Lepturis Schlotthauber. Type curvula.

1878: Oxiurus Sonsino, 1878, 613. Misprint.

[Not Oxyurus Rafinesque, 1810, fish; Swains., 1827, bird; Oxyura Bonap., 1828, bird; Oxyura for Oxura Kirby, 1817, coleopteron.]

Oxyurus Lamarck, 1816, 213-215. For Oxyuris Rudolphi, 1803a, hence type species Oxyuris curvula.

Ozolaimus Dujardin, 1845a, 136, 145-147. O. megatyphlon (Rudolphi, 1819) Dujardin, 1845a, only species, hence type.

pachycephalum Molin, 1861, 450.

1861: Œsophagostoma.

paganelli Molin, 1859, 32.

1859: Nematoideum. 1861: Agamonematodum.

paludinæ Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a, unpaged, appendix.

1828: Phacelura (type). palustris Carter, 1858a, June, 414.

1858: Urolabes (type).

papillata Bastian, 1865c, 170, pl. 13, figs. 247-248. 1865: Chromadora,

papillatus Bastian, 1865c, 101, pl. 9, figs. 27-28.

1865: Mononchus.

papillatus de Man, 1880, 4.

1880: Deontolaimus (type).

papillatus Cobb, 1898a, Mar., 320, figs. 45, i-iv.

1898: Streptogaster (type).

papilliger de Man, 1876, 169-171, pls. 10, 11, figs. 42, a-e. 1876: Leptolaimus (type).

papilligera Creplin, 1846b, 173.

1846: Filaria. 1851: Agamonema.

```
papillosa Schneider, 1866, 153-154, pl. 11, fig. 3.
      1866: Pelodera.
papillosus Bloch, 1782a, 32, pl. 9, figs. 1-6.
      1782: Ascaris. [1845: Heterakis vesicularis sub (type).]
Parachordodes Camerano, 1897g, 368, 389-398. Type species P. tolosanus (Dujardin,
        1842) Camerano, 1897g, 398, by designation of Camerano in card to Stiles,
        dated Nov. 29, 1903.
      vejdovskyi (Janda, 1894) Camerano, 1897g, 389. &
      raphælis (Camerano, 1893) Camerano, 1897g, 389.
      alfredi (Camerano, 1894) Camerano, 1897g, 390. 3 9
      latastei (Camerano, 1895) Camerano, 1897g, 390-391.
      abbreviatus (Villot, 1874) Camerano, 1897g, 391. &
      pleskei (Camerano, 1896) Camerano, 1897g, 391-392.
      wolterstorffii (Camerano, 1888) Camerano, 1897g, 392.
      violaceus (Baird, 1853) Camerano, 1897g, 392-393. ₹ 9
      alpestris (Villot, 1884) Camerano, 1897g, 393-394.
      prismaticus (Villot, 1874) Camerano, 1897g, 394-395.
      kaschgaricus Camerano, 1897g, 395.
      gemmatus (Villot, 1884) Camerano, 1897g, 395-396.
      pustulosus (Baird, 1853) Camerano, 1897g, 396-397. δ 9
      tolosanus (Dujardin, 1842) Camerano, 1897g, 398. & Q. Type.
paradoxa Mayer, 1835, 67-72, figs. 1-3.
      1835: Rhytis (type).
paradoxa Cobbold, 1864b, 79.
      1864: Simondsia (type).
```

Paradoxites Lindemann, 1865, 492–496. Type species P. renardi, by present designation, because of page precedence, and only species figured.

renardi Lindemann, 1865, 495, pl. 12, figs. 1–6. tænioides Lindemann, 1865, 496.

[Not Paradoxites Goldf., 1843, crustacean; Paradoxides Brongn. (? date), crustacean.]

paradoxum Kœlliker, 1849d, 59-66, pl. 5, figs. 1-12.

1849: Dicyema (type).

paradoxum Marion, 1870, 12-13, pl. A, fig. 2.
 1870: Galyptronema (type).

paradoxus Mehlis, 1831, 84.

1831: Strongylus. 1861: Metastrongylus (type).

paradoxus Diesing, 1835a, 83, 94–105.

1835: Tropisurus (type). 1835: Tropidurus (type). [1846: Tetrameres (type).] 1851: Tropidocerca (type).

paradoxus Creplin, 1839a, 292.

1839: Ancyrocephalus (type). 1878: Dactylogyrus.

Paragordius Camerano, 1897g, 368, 399-402. Type species P. varius tricuspidatus (Dufour, 1828) Camerano, 1897g, 400.

emeryi (Camerano, 1895) Camerano, 1897g, 401.

stylosus (von Linstow, 1883) Camerano, 1897g, 401–402.

varius (Leidy, 1851) Camerano, 1897g, 402. Type.

Paragordius was proposed independently by Montgomery, 1898, with Paragordius varius as only and type species.

Paragordius Montgomery, 1898, Apr., 45–47, 54. P. varius (Leidy, 1851) Montgomery, 1898, Apr., 45–47, figs. 78–93, only species, hence type. Same as Paragordius Camerano.

Paramermis von Linstow, 1898, Nov. 18, 167. Type species P. crassa.

Mermis crassa von Linstow, 1889, 392-396, pl. 22, figs. 2-8. ♂ ♀

Mermis aquatilis (Dujardin, 1845) von Linstow, 1898, 155–156, pl. 8, figs. 7–10.

In reply to a personal letter asking Dr. von Linstow for the type of his genus *Paramermis* he writes under date of Nov. 23: "Die erste unter dem Genus-Namen *Paramermis* beschriebene Art ist *crassa*." From this we assume that he considers *crassa* as type.

parasitifera Bastian, 1865c, 159-160, pl. 13, figs. 201-203.

1865: Spira (type).

parasitus Creplin, 1847b, 161-165.

1847: Chordodes (type).

parietinus Bastian, 1865c, 118-119, pl. 10, figs. 79-80.

1865: Plectus (type).

parietinus Bastian, 1865c, 123, pl. 10, figs. 102-103.

1865: Aphelenchus.

parvus Bastian, 1865c, 120, pl. 10, figs. 89-90.

1865: Plectus.

parvus Bastian, 1865c, 156, pl. 13, figs. 185-186.

1865: Tachyhodites.

Passalurus Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 231–233. Oxyuris ambigua Rudolphi, 1819a, 19, 229, only species, hence type.

patagonicus de Man, 1904, 41-44, figs. 1-6.

1904: Plectus (Plectoides [probably type]).

pauli Marion, 1870, 15-16, pl. B, fig. 2.

1870: Amphistenus.

pectinatus Diesing, 1838a, 189. Renamed Ancyracanthus pinnatifidus.

1838: Ancyracanthus (type).

Pelagonema Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 391–392. P. simplex Cobb, 1894c, 391–392, figs. 4, i-iv, only species, hence type.

pellio Schneider, 1866, 154, pl. 11, fig. 11.

1866: Pelodera.

pellucida Bastian, 1865c, 142, pl. 11, figs. 149-150.

1865: Anticoma.

pellucidus Bastian, 1865c, 100, pl. 9, figs. 23-24.

1865: Trilobus.

pellucidus Cobb, 1893a, Oct., 821, fig. 39.

1893: Chaolaimus (type).

Pelodera Schneider, 1866, 29, 148-154; = Pelodytes Schneider, 1860, renamed, hence type species Pelodera strongyloides. Also type by page precedence.

1860: Pelodytes Schneider, 1860, 228 [not Fitz. (? date), or Gistl., 1848]; type

Pelodytes strongyloides.

strongyloides (Schneider, 1860) Schneider, 1866, 152–153, pl. 10, fig. 9. Type. teres Schneider, 1866, 153, pl. 10, fig. 8.

papillosa Schneider, 1866, 153-154, pl. 11, fig. 3.

pellio Schneider, 1866, 154, pl. 11, fig. 11.

Pelodytes Schneider, 1860, 228, pl. 6, fig. 12. Pelodytes strongyloides Schneider, 1860, 228, pl. 6, fig. 12, only species, hence type. Renamed Pelodera Schneider, 1866, 148.

[Not Pelodytes Fitz., ante 1846, or Gistl., 1848, reptile; see Agassiz, 1842–46.] pendula Leidy, 1851, 240.

1851: Synplecta (type).

Penzancia de Man, 1889, 7-8. Type species Monhystera velox, designated in letter from de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903. Subgenus of Monohystera.

[velox (Bastian, 1865) de Man, 1889, 7-8.] (Type.)

[oxycerca (de Man, 1888) de Man, 1889, 7.]

perarmata Marion, 1870, 34-35, pl. K, fig. 1.

1870: Acanthopharynx.

perfectus Cobb, 1891e, Dec. 22, 153-155, figs. 4, 5, 7, 8, i-iv.

1891: Onyx (type).

Peritrachelius Diesing, 1851a, 80, 209–210. P. insignis Diesing, 1851a, 210, only species, hence type.

persegnis Bastian, 1865c, 124-125, pl. 10, figs. 104-106.

1865: Cephalobus (type).

perspicillum Rudolphi, 1803a, 9-10.

1803: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia).

Phacelura Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a, appendix, not paged. P. paludinæ Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a, only species, hence type.

[Not Phacellura for Phakellura Guild., 1840, lepidopteron.]

phalacrus Greef, 1869a, 118, pl. 7, figs. 5-6.

1869: Eubostrichus (? type).

Phanoderma Bastian, 1865c, 94, 142-144. Type species P. cocksi, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

cocksi Bastian, 1865c, 143, pl. 11, figs. 151-153. ♂♀

albidum Bastian, 1865c, 143-144, pl. 11, figs. 154-155. 9

tuberculatum (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 144. δ Ω [Not examined by Bastian.]

Phanoglene Nordmann, 1840, 664. Type species? P. micans; see p. 67.

micans Nordmann, 1840, 664; in larva of a neuropteron.

barbiger Nordmann, 1840, 664; free form.

Pharurus R. Leuckart, 1848, 26–28. Strongylus alatus Leuckart, 1848, 26–28, pl. 2, figs. 3, A–D, only species, hence type.

Pharyngodon Diesing, 1861a, 614, 642. P. acanthurus (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 642, only species, hence type.

[Not Pharyngodon Cope, 1865, reptile.]

phocæ Fabricius, 1780a, 272. Includes Ascaris neitsib Mueller.

1780: Ascaris. 1790: Echinorhynchus. [1801: Ophiostoma.] 1803: Ophiostoma. 1816: Fissula. [?]: Proboscidea.

Physaloptera Rudolphi, 1819a, 29-30, 255-259. Type species P. clausa, by present designation, because of page precedence, only species figured, and common host.

clausa Rudolphi, 1819a, 29, 255–256, pl. 1, figs. 2–3. ♂♀

alata Rudolphi, 1819a, 29-30, 256-257. 3 Q

abbreviata Rudolphi, 1819a, 30, 257-258. ♂♀

retusa Rudolphi, 1819a, 30, 258. ♂ ♀

tenuicollis Rudolphi, 1819a, 30, 258-259; sp. dub. ♀

Physaloptera clause is here designated type on the following grounds: (1) Of the original species, this alone is figured; (2) it occurs in an European animal which is not especially difficult to obtain, in fact, of the original hosts of Physaloptera, this host (Erinaceus europaus) is probably the most easily obtainable; (3) Rudolphi's description of this species is more complete than his description of any other member of the genus; (4) this ruling agrees with page precedence.

physalura Bremser in Diesing, 1851a, 276-277.

1851: Filaria. 1861: Monopetalonema (? type).

6328-No. 79-05-9

Physocephalus Diesing, 1861a, 619, 686-687. P. sexalata (Molin, 1859) Diesing, 1861a, 686-687, only species, hence type.

[Not Physocephala Schin., 1861, dipteron.]

Piguris Schlotthauber, 1860, 126. P. reticulata, only species, hence type.

pinguicola Verrill, 1870, 248-249, figs. 83, a-d.

1870: Sclerostoma. [1839: See Stephanurus dentatus (type).]

pinnatifidus Diesing, 1839a, 227-229, pl. 14, figs. 21-27. Aucyracanthus pectinatus renamed.

1839: Ancyracanthus (type).

plagiostoma Wedl, 1861, 464-466, pl. 1, figs. 5-11.

1861: Ptcrygodermatites (type). 1873: Rictularia. [?]: Ophiostoma.

platessæ Rudolphi, 1809a, 116-117.

1809: Cucullanus. [1845: Dacnitis esuriens (? type) sub.]

Platycoma Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 399-401. P. cephalata Cobb, 1894c, 399-401, figs. 7, i-iv, only species, hence type.

Plectoides de Man, 1904, 44-46. Type probably Plectus patagonicus. Subgenus of Plectus.

Plectus (Plectoides) patagonicus de Man, 1904, 41-44, figs. 1-6.

Plectus (Plectoides) antarcticus de Man, 1904, 44. (Only one specimen, a female.)

Plectus Bastian, 1865c, 93, 118-121. Type species P. parietinus, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

[Not Plectus, for Plectris Lepell., Serville, 1825, coleopteron.] See Scudder, 1884, 269.

parietinus Bastian, 1865c, 118-119, pl. 10, figs. 79-80.

cirratus Bastian, 1865c, 119, pl. 10, figs. 81-82. ♀

tenuis Bastian, 1865c, 119, pl. 10, figs. 83-84. Q

velox Bastian, 1865c, 119, pl. 10, figs. 85-86. Q

acuminatus Bastian, 1865c, 120, pl. 10, figs. 87-88. ♀

parvus Bastian, 1865c, 120, pl. 10, figs. 89-90. ♀ tritici Bastian, 1865c, 120, pl. 10, figs. 91-92. Q

granulosus Bastian, 1865c, 120-121, pl. 10, figs. 93-94. Q

fusiformis Bastian, 1865c, 121, pl. 10, figs. 95-96.

rivalis (Dujardin, 1845) Bastian, 1865c, 121, as doubtful member of this genus. pleskei Camerano, 1896d, 118-119.

1896: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

Pleurorhynchus Rudolphi, 1801, 58. For Pleurorinchus.

Pleurorinchus Nau, 1787, 471-474, pl. 7. No specific name used. Type "Ophiostoma sphærocephalus." See de Blainville, 1828a, 540.

1801: Pleurorhynchus Rudolphi, 1801, 58. For Pleurorinchus.

[Not Pleurorhymchus Phill., 1836, mollusk,] See Ascaris sphwrocephala Rudolphi, 1809a, 188-189.

plica Rudolphi, 1819a, 14, 222.

1819: Trichosoma, 1845: Calodium,

polycephalus Stiebel, 1817, 174-179, pl. 3, figs. 2-5.

1817: Dyacanthos (type).

Polydelphis Dujardin, 1845a, 151, 221-222. Ascaris anoura Dujardin, 1845a, 221-222, only species, hence type. A subgenus of Ascaris.

Polygordius Schneider, 1868, Feb., 51-60. Type apparently "P. lacteus."

"Rhamphogordius lacteus Rathke" of Schneider, 1866, 326, misdetermined; = "Polygordius lucteus," 1868, 52-56. Apparently type; description much more complete.

Rhamphogordius purpureus Schneider, 1866, 326; = Polygordius purpureus, 1868, 56-57. Only few specimens, hence description incomplete.

polygyrus Dujardin, 1845a, 116-117.

1845: Strongylus. 1861: Metastrongylus.

Polyporus Gruby, 1840. [Not accessible to us.]

[Not Polypora M'Coy, 1844, pol.; Mosel, 1876, cœlenterate.]

Pontamonema von Linstow, 1878, 349. For Potamonema Leidy.

Pontonema Leidy, 1855, Dec., 144. Type species? P. vacillatum.

vacillatum Leidy, 1855, 144. Probably only ♀ observed. Abundant.

marinum Leidy, 1855, 144. Probably only ♀ observed.

posthelica Molin, 1860, 926-927.

1860: Spiroptera. 1861: Cheilospirura.

Potamonema Leidy, 1856, 49. P. nitidum Leidy, 1856, 49, only species, hence type. pracinctus Dujardin, 1845a, 282.

1845: Stelmius (type). 1892: Dacnitis. [?]: Heterakis.

pratensis de Man, 1880, 22.

1880: Ethmolaimus (type).

primitivus de Man, 1880, 2-3.

1880: Alaimus (type).

prinzi Marion, 1870, 33-34, pl. J, fig. 2.

1870: Necticonema (type).

Prionoderma Rudolphi, 1810a, 254–256. P. ascaroides (Gœze, 1782) Rudolphi, 1810a, 254–256, pl. 12, fig. 3, only species, hence type.

prismaticus Villot, 1874, Jan., 58.

1874: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

Prismatolaimus de Man, 1880, 31–33. Type species P. intermedius, designated in letter from de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903.

Monhystera intermedia Buetschli, 1873a, 67–68, pl. 6, figs. 33, a-b. dolichurus de Man, 1880, 32–33.

probolurus Railliet, 1896, 542.

1896: Strongylus. 1905: Trichostrongylus.

Proboscidea "Bruguière, 1791, 96." Our copy [MS.] gives, 90, "Proboscide."

[Not Proboscidea Les., 18—, worm; Proboscidea Latreille, 1809, diptera, supergeneric; Proboscidea Ill., 1811, mammal, supergeneric; Proboscidea Spix, 1823, mammal; Proboscidea Schmidt, 1832, mollusk; Proboscidea Trosch.,

1848, mollusk; Proboscidia Bory, 1824, rotifer.]

According to Scudder (1882, 262), and Sherborn (1902, 777), this genus was proposed in 1791 by Bruguière. Cuvier (1798a, 637–638) mentions it, but does not give any species in connection with it. Lamarck (1801, 340) gives only Proboscidea bifida (Mueller); = Ascaris bifida Mueller [see Fabricius, 1780a]. Rudolphi (1801) was apparently not acquainted with the fact that Proboscidea had been proposed. Bosc (1802a, 43–45) attributes Proboscidea to Bruguière, and mentions the following species:

 $\begin{array}{l} bifida \; \text{(Fabricius)} \; [= \textit{Ascaris bifida} \; \text{Fabricius, 1780a;} \; = \textit{Proboscidea bifida} \; \text{(Fabricius)} \; \text{Lamarck, 1801;} \; = \textit{Ophiostoma bifidum} \; \text{(Fabricius)} \; \text{Zeder, 1803a]}. \end{array}$ 

rajæ (Mueller, 1776) [sub Ophiostoma by Rudolphi, 1801, 48; to Fusaria and Ophiostoma by Zeder, 1803a, 124, 128; eliminated from Ophiostoma as doubtful by Rudolphi, 1810a, 270].

pluronectis Mueller, 1776 [renamed Echinorhynchus platessoidæ Gmelin, 1790a; doubtful species in Rudolphi, 1809a, 310].

gadi (Mueller, 1776) [= Ascaris gadi Mueller, 1776; = A. clavata Rudolphi, 1809a, 183].

rersipellis (Fabricius, 1780) [= Echinorhynchus acus, according to Rudolphi, 1809a, 279].

rubra.

Proboscidea "Bruguière"—Continued.

alcæ (Mueller, 1776) [= Ascaris alcæ Mueller, 1776; to Echinorhynchus by Zeder, 1803a, 161; a doubtful species, according to Rudolphi, 1809a, 3067.

The type species is in doubt. Lamarck (1801) might perhaps be interpreted as having designated Ascaris bifida as such.

profundi Bastian, 1865c, 159, pl. 13, figs. 198-200.

1865: Comesoma.

profundissima von Linstow, 1888, 11-12, pl. 2, figs. 8-10.

1888: Prothelmins (type).

Proleptus Dujardin, 1845a, 42, 105. Type species P. acutus, see p. 30.

[Not Prolepta Walk., 1851, hemipteron.]

acutus Dujardin, 1845a, 105. & Only positive species, hence type.

? obtusus Dujardin, 1845a, 105.

Proshecosacter, see Prosthecosacter.

Prosthecosacter Diesing, 1851a, 82, 322–325. Type species by present designation P. minor, see p. 47.

1859: Proshecosacter Gervais & van Beneden, 1859b, 117. Misprint.

inflexus (Rudolphi, 1809a, 227-228 p. p.) Diesing, 1851a, 323. 3 Q Includes
Pseudalius filum Dujardin, 1845a, type of Pseudalius.

minor (Kuhn, 1829) Diesing, 1851a, 323-324. 3 Q Includes Stenurus inflexus Dujardin, 1845a, 226; see Stenurus.

convolutus (Kuhn, 1829) Diesing, 1851a, 324. ♂♀

proteus Pallas, 1766, p. 417, and Mueller, 1773, 45. See also chaos and protheus.

1766: Volvox. 1773: Vibrio. 1878: Amæba.

Prothelmins von Linstow, 1888, 11–12. P. profundissima von Linstow, 1888. 11–12. pl. 2, figs. 8–10, only species, hence type.

protheus Linnæus, 1767, 1326; equals chaos, 1758, renamed.

[1758: Volvox.] 1767: Chaos.

protognostus Balsamo-Crivelli, 1843b, 188.

?1840: [?]. 1843: Autoplectus (typė).

psammophilus de Man, 1880, 29.

1880: Choanolaimus (type).

Pseudalius Dujardin, 1845a, 106, 134-135. P. filum Dujardin, including Strongylus

major Raspail, 1829, only species, hence type.

Pseudoechinorhynchus Gœze, 1782a, 41, 138–139; Luche (1904, 250, 335) has apparently taken Ps. sp. Gœze, 1782a, 138–139, pl. 9b, fig. 12 (=? Cysticercus fasciolaris), as type species. We have our misgivings, however, whether this is altogether in harmony with Gœze (1782a, 41), who distinctly says: "Pseudoechinorhynchus (Tænia hæruca Pallas)." See also Hæruca.

Pseudomermis Zykoff, 1902, 61-64, pl. 1. [Not accessible to us.]

Pseudonymus Diesing, 1857a, 10. P. spirotheca (Györy, 1856) Diesing, 1857a, 9–10, only species, hence type.

1861: Ptychocephalus Diesing, 1861; type species spirotheca.

1878: Helicothrix Galeb, 1878b; type species spirotheca.

Pseudorhabditis Perroncito, 1881, Dec. 28, 499–519, pl. 19, figs. 1–8. Anguillula stercoralis Bayay, 1876a, only species, hence type.

Pterocephalus von Linstow, 1899, 12–13. P. riviparus von Linstow, 1899, 12–13, pl. 2, figs. 22–24, 26–27; pl. 4, fig. 41, only species, hence type.

[Not Pterocephalus Schneider, 1887, protozoon; Pterocephala Swains., 1839, fish; Pterocephalia Röm., 1852, crustacean.]

Pterygodermatites Wedl, 1861, 464–466. P. plagiostoma Wedl, 1861, 464–466, pl. 1, figs. 5–11, only species, hence type.

Ptychocephalus Diesing, 1861a, 614, 637–638. P. spirotheca (Györy, 1856) Diesing, 1861a, 638, only species, hence type. See Helicothrix and Pseudonymus.

[Not Ptychocephalus Agassiz, 1843, fish.]

pulchrum Molin, 1857, 223, figs. 13-15.

1857: Gongylonema.

pulmonalis Gmelin, 1790a, 3035.

1790: Ascaris. [1801: Liorhynchus.] 1802: Ascaris. [1883: Rhabdonema (type).]

punctata Eberth, 1863a, 20, pl. 2, figs. 5-7.

1863: Phanoglene. 1865: Leptosomatum.

punctatus Bastian, 1865c, 164, pl. 13, figs. 217–218.

1865: Cyatholaimus.

purpurea Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 417-419, figs. 12, i-iv.

1894: Chromagaster (type).

purpureus Schneider, 1866, 326.

1866: Rhamphogordius. 1868: Polygordius.

pustulosus Baird, 1853a, 37.

1853: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

pyri Bastian, 1865c, 123–124, pl. 10, figs. 103, a-c. 1865: Aphelenchus.

quadricostata Molin, 1860, 927.

1860: Spiroptera. 1861: Cheilospirura.

quadridentatum Molin, 1861, 475, pl. 3, fig. 2.

1861: Diploodon.

quadridentatus Molin, 1858, 155.

1858: Acanthocheilus (type).

quadrilabiatum Molin, 1858, 417.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Tetracheilonema (type).

quadriloba Rudolphi, 1819a, 25, 241-242.

1819: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus.

quadrispina Diesing, 1851a, 271-272. Includes F. martis Gmelin, 1790a.

1851: Filaria (? type, see also attenuata Rudolphi, 1803a).

radiatus Rudolphi, 1803a, 13-15.

1803: Strongylus. 1885: Uncinaria. 1900: Strongylatus.

rajæ Mueller, 1776, 214.

1776: Ascaris. [1801: Ophiostoma.] 1803: Ophiostoma. [?]: Proboscidea.

Ramphogordius Rathke, 1843, 237–238. R. lacteus Rathke, 1843, 238, pl. 12, fig. 16, only species, hence type.

1846: Rhamphogordius Agassiz, 1846, 320, 322.

rapax Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 393-394, figs. 5, i-iv.

1894: Demonema (type).

raphælis Camerano, 1893c, 213-215, fig. 1.

1893: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

rectum von Linstow, 1899, 19-20, pl. 5, fig. 56.

1899: Oxynema (type).

redivivum Linnæus, 1767, 1326 [confined to glutinis by Mueller, 1783, 162; see also Anguillula Mueller, 1773, 41].

1767: Chaos. [1783: Vibrio.] [1786: Anguillula (type).]

reflexa Zeder, 1800a, 33-36, pl. 4, fig. 7; in part.

1800: Fusaria. [1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia) inflexa sub.] [1845: Heterakis vesicularis sub (type).]

renale Gœze, 1782a, 73.

[1782: Ascaris renales.] [1802: Dioctophyme (type).] [1851: Eustrongylus (type).] 1901: Dioctophyme.

renardi Lindemann, 1865, 495, pl. 12, figs. 1-6.

1865: Paradoxites (type).

reticulata Diesing in Hermann, 1841b, 200.

1841: Onchocerca (type).

reticulata Schlotthauber, 1860, 126.

1860: Piguris (type).

retortæformis Zeder, 1800a, 75-77.

1800: Strongylus. 1905: Trichostrongylus (type).

retusa Rudolphi, 1819a, 30, 258.

1819: Physaloptera.

revoluta Rudolphi, 1819a, 26, 247.

[1811: Acuaria.] 1819: Spiroptera.

Rhabditis Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 239-243, 653. Type species R. terricola, designated by Bastian, 1865c, or R. glutinis type by inclusion. See discussion, p. 45.

1845: Tribactis Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653. Renamed Rhabditis.

[Not Rhabdites Haan, 1825, mollusk.]

terricola Dujardin, 1845a, 240-241. ♀ To Angiostomum by Diesing, 1851a, 139; returned to Rhabditis as type by Bastian, 1865c; retained here by Railliet, 1893a, with Pelodera teres as synonym.

aceti (Mueller, 1783) Dujardin, 1845a, 242. 3 9 To Anguillula by Diesing, 1851a, 129; designated type of "Anguillula Ehrenberg," 1828a, by Bastian [but not one of the original species of "Anguillula Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a, new genus"]; to Leptodera by Schneider, 1866; generally-retained as an Anguillula by most of the recent authors.

tritici ([Steinbuch, 1799] Bauer, 1823) Dujardin, 1845a, 243, Q including Vibrio anguillula y Mueller, and Vibrio agrostis Steinbuch, 1799, 233, and Vibrio tritici Bauer, 1823, 1. To Anguillula by Diesing, 1851a; to Anguillulina by Gervais & van Beneden, 1859b; to Tylenchus by Bastian, 1865c; to Anguillula by Schneider, 1866; to Tylenchus by Bastian, 1865c; to Anguillulina, possibly as type, by Railliet, 1893a, 553.

glutinis (Mueller, 1783) Dujardin, 1845a, 243, Ω including Vibrio anguillula β glutinus Mueller and Vibrio glutinis Dugès, 1826a, 225. Equals redivivum Linnæus, 1767, type of Anguillula, 1786, not 1828. To Anguillula by Diesing. 1851a, and Bastian, 1865c; to Leptodera by Schneider, 1866, 160.

For discussion of this case, see p. 45.

Rhabdogaster Metschnikoff, 1867, Aug. 26, 542-543. R. cygnoides Metschnikoff, 1867, 542-543, pl. 31, figs. 9-11, only species, hence type.

[Not Rhabdogaster Loew., 1858, dipteron.]

Rhabdolaimus de Man, 1880, 59-61. Type species R. terrestris, designated in letter from de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903.

aquaticus de Man, 1880, 60.

terrestris de Man, 1880, 60-61. ♂♀ "Sehr häufig."

Rhabdonema Leuckart, 1883, 89. R. nigrovenosum (Goze, 1800) Leuckart, 1883, 89, only species, hence type.

[Not Rhabdonema Kuetzing, 1844, polygastrica; not accessible to us.]

Rhabdonema Perroncito, 1886. [Not accessible to us.]

Rhabdotoderma Marion, 1870, 31-32. R. morstatti Marion, 1870, 31-32, pl. J, fig. 1, only species, hence type.

[Not Rhabdoderma Reis, 1888, fish.]

Rhamphogordius Agassiz, 1846, 320, 322. For Ramphogordius.

Rhigonema Cobb, 1898a, Mar., 311, figs. 29, i-iv. R. brevicollis Cobb, 1898a, 311, figs. 29, i-iv, only species, hence type.

rhodesii Desmarets, 1828a, 79-81.

[1819: Thelazia (type).] 1828: Thelazius (type).

Rhytis Mayer, 1835, 67–72. R. paradoxa Mayer, 1835, 67–72, figs. 1–3, only species, hence type.

[Not Rhytis Zeder, 1803, worm.]

richtersi Jägerskiöld, 1905, Feb. 28, 557-561, 1 fig.

1905: Bunonema (type).

Rictularia Freelich, 1802a, 7–13, pl. 1, figs. 1–3. R. cristata Freelich, only species, hence type.

1845: Laphyctes Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653.

rigida von Siebold, 1836, 33.

1836: Filaria. 1891: Allantonema. 1892: Bradynema (type).

rigidus Leidy, 1850, 102.

1850: Hystrignathus (type).

rivalis Dujardin, 1845a, 235.

1845: Enoplus. 1865: Plectus.

rivicola Corti, 1902a, 113.

1902: Hydromermis (type).

rirularisBastian, 1865c, 97–98, pl. 9, figs. 3–4.

1865: Monhystera.

robusta Bastian, 1865c, 166, pl. 13, figs. 226-227.

1865: Spilophora. [1886: Halichoanolaimus (type).] 1888: Halichoanolaimus (type).

robusta van Beneden, 1871a, 18, 19, pl. 3, figs. 2-7.

1871: Coronilla (? type).

robustum Giles, 1892b, 26-27, 29, 30, figs. 1-5.

1892: Sclerostomum, 1900: Triodontus. [1902: Œsophagodontus (type).] 1903: Œsophagodontus.

robustus Diesing, 1838a, 189, nomen nudum; 1839a, 222–225, pl. 14, figs. 1–7. 1839: Cheiracanthus (type).

rosea Kælliker, 1845b, 88.

1845: Lineola.

rotundatum Linstow, 1903, 117-119, figs. 16-20.

1903: Lissonema (type).

rubra Leidy, 1856, 56.

1856: Filaria. 1861: Dicheilonema.

rude Rudolphi, 1810a, 258-261, pl. 12, fig. 5.

1810: Diceras (type). 1810: Ditrachyceras (type).

Sabatieria de Rouville, 1903, 11. Dec., 1529. S. cettensis de Rouville, 1903, 11. Dec., 1529, only species, hence type.

subelloides Bastian, 1865c, 169-170, pl. 13, figs. 245-246.

1865: Chromadora.

sagax zur Strassen, 1904, 302-346, figs. a, d, g, j, pl. 15, fig. 5.

1904: Anthraconema.

salaris Gmelin, 1790a, 3052.

1782: Cucullanus. 1790: Cucullanus lacustris sub. 1800: Capsularia. [1802: to Ascaris by Rudolphi, 1802.]

salsa Bastian, 1865c, 116, pl. 9, figs. 18-19.

1865: Tripyla.

Schizocheilonema Diesing, 1861a, 621,710. Renamed Tricheilonema Diesing, 1861a, 710, hence type Tricheilonema megalochilum (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 711.

Sclerostoma Rudolphi, 1809a, 35. Type species by inclusion Strongylus equinus. See Strongylus Mueller, 1780.

[Not Sclerostomus Burmeister, 1847, coleopteron.]

Sclerostoma Rudolphi-Continued.

Sclerostoma was based upon two species, Strongylus equinus Mueller, and Strongylus dentatus Rudolphi, 1803. But Strongylus equinus is type of Strongylus, hence Sclerostoma takes the same type and becomes synonym of Strongylus. De Blainville (1828a, 544–545) accepted Rudolphi's subgenus as genus, with the same two species.

Sclerostomum Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 244, 254–260, 263. For Sclerostoma; hence type

species Strongylus equinus.

Sclerotrichum Rudolphi, 1819a, 223. Only species, hence type Twnia spirillum Pallas, 1781 = Trichocephalus lacertæ Gmelin, 1790a = Mastigodes lacertæ (Gmelin) Zeder, 1803a = Trichocephalus echinatus Rudolphi, 1809a = Mastigodes spirillum (Pallas) Blainville, 1828 = Sclerotrichum echinatum (Rudolphi) Dujardin, 1845a.

Sclorostomum Sonsino, 1878, 613. Misprint for Sclerostomum.

scoleciformis Diesing, 1851a, 208.

1851: Aspidocephalus (type).

scutata Mueller, 1869, 127–129; scutata αsophagea bovis Mueller, 1869, 127–129, polynomial, later (? date) used as a binomial.

1869: Spiroptera. 1892: Myzomimus (type).

semiarmatum Molin, 1861, 442. Includes Liorhynchus vulpis Dujardin, 1845a, 283.
1861: Crenosoma.

semicircularis Molin, 1861, 464-467, 469-470, pl. 2, figs. 3-4.

1861: Monodontus (type).

semileres Zeder, 1803a, 61. See also Hamularia nodulosa and Trichosoma longicolle. 1803: Capillaria.

serpentulus Mueller, "1773, 42."

1773: Vibrio. 1828: Amblyura (? type).

serpicula Molin, 1858, 385.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Solenonema.

serratus Looss, 1900, 191.

1900: Triodontus (type). 1902: Triodontophorus (type).

setifera Cobb, 1898a, 312, figs. 30, i-v.

1898: Zoniolaimus (type).

sexalata Molin, 1859, 957-958.

1859: Spiroptera. 1861: Physocephalus (type).

sieboldii Kælliker, 1845b, 88.

1845: Lineola (probably type).

sillicolla van Beneden, 1871a, 6.

1871: Coronilla.

Simondsia Cobbold, 1864b, 79. S. paradova Cobbold, 1864b, 79, only species, hence type.

simplex Rudolphi, 1809a, 170.

1809: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaris (Anisakis).

simplex Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 391-392, figs. 4, i-iv.

1894: Pelagonema (type).

Siphonolaimus de Man, 1893, 99-102. S. niger de Man, 1893, 100-102, pl. 6, fig. 8, only species, hence type.

sipunculoides Acharius, 1780, 49-55, pl. 2, figs. 1-9.

1780: Acanthrus (type).

soleæ Rudolphi, 1819a, 22.

1819: Cucullanus. [1845: Dacnitis esuriens sub.]

Solenolaimus Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 419–420. S. obtusus Cobb, 1894c, 419–420, figs. 13, i-i-v, only species, hence type.

Solenonema Diesing, 1861a, Dec. 6, 620, 704-705. Type species?.

xequale (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 704. 3 Q Host Myrmecophuga jubata. serpicula (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 705. 3 Q Host Phyllostoma brevicaudum.

striata (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 705. S Q Hosts Felis concolor, F. macroura.

spectabile Marion, 1870, 20-21, pl. E, fig. 1.

1870: Eurystoma (type).

sphærocephala Rudolphi, 1809a, 188-189.

[1787: Pleurorinchus (type).] 1809: Ascaris. 1819: Ophiostoma. 1845: Dacnitis (? type, see also esuriens).

Spharolaimus Bastian, 1865c, 95, 157–158. S. hirsutus Bastian, 1865c, 157–158, pl. 13, figs. 192–194, only species, hence type.

Sphærularia Dufour, 1837a, 9. S. bombi Dufour, 1837a, 9, pl. 1 A, fig. 3, only species, hence type.

Spiliphera Bastian, 1865c, 165–167, 178. Corrected to Spilophora Bastian, 1865c, 95, 178. Type by designation, page precedence, and elimination S. elegans.

elegans Bastian, 1865c, 165-166, pl. 13, figs. 221-222.

inæqualis Bastian, 1865c, 166, pl. 13, figs. 223–225. Type of Hypodontolaimus de Man, 1888.

robusta Bastian, 1865c, 166, pl. 13, figs. 226-227. Type of Halichoanolaimus de Man, 1888.

costata Bastian, 1865c, 166–167, pl. 13, figs. 228–229. To Monoposthia, 1889, as type.

Spiliphora Bastian, 1865c. See Scudder, 1884, 298.

Spilophora Bastian, 1865c, 95, 178. Type by elimination Spilophora elegans. See Spiliphera.

[Not Spilophora Bohem., 1850, coleopteron; Spilophorus Lac., 1856, coleopteron.]

spinicauda Diesing, 1851a, 188; renamed acanthura Diesing, 1851a, [591].

1851: Ascaris. [1861: Pharyngodon (type).]

Spinifer Linstow, 1901, Apr. 20, 418–419. S. fülleborni Linstow, 1901, 418–419, figs. A–E., only species, hence type. Type locality Nyassa Sea.

[Not Spinifer Rafinesque, 1831, mollusk.]

 $spinigerum \ {\it Owen}, \ 1836, \ 123-126.$ 

1836: Gnathostoma (type).

Spinitectus Fourment, 1884a, 1–8. S. oriflagellis Fourment, 1884a, 1–8, pl. 16, figs. 1–11, only species, hence type.

spinosa-Buetschli, 1874b, 273, pl. 5, figs. 20, a-b.

1874: Anoplostoma. 1889: Axonolaimus (type).

spinulosus Diesing, 1839a, 227.

1839: Lecanocephalus (type).

spira Diesing, 1851a, 34.

1851: Echinorhynchus. 1892: Gigantorhynchus.

Spira Bastian, 1865c, 95, 159–161. Type species S. parasitifera designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

[Not Spira Brown, 1838, mollusk.]

parasitifera Bastian, 1865c, 159-160, pl. 13, figs. 201-203. ♂♀

lævis Bastian, 1865c, 160, pl. 13, figs. 204-206. ♂ ♀

tenuicaudata Bastian, 1865c, 160–161, pl. 13, figs. 207–209. 3 Q Probably belongs to some other genus. See Bastian, 1865c, 160, and de Man, 1888, 15. spirale Molin, 1857, 222, figs. 10–12.

1857: Gongylonema.

"spiralis Pallas" of Grundler's Bremser, 1824a, 147-148.

[1819: Sclerotrichum (echinatus)] type. 1824: Tænia.

spiralis Owen, 1835, 315-324, pl. 41, figs. 1-9.

1835: Trichina (type). 1895: Trichinella (type).

spiralis Diesing, 1851a, 231. Includes Spiroptera obvelata Creplin.

1851: Histiocephalus. [1861: Cosmocephalus alatus.]

spiralis Molin, 1860, 9+7.

1860: Spiroptera. 1879: Filaria. 1897: Oxyspirura.

spirillum Pallas, 1781, 111-112.

1781: Tænia. [1782: Trichocephalos [no specific name].] 1790: Trichocephalus (lacertæ). [1803: Mastigodes (lacertæ).] [1809: Trichocephalus (echinatus).] [1819: Sclerotrichum (echinatus)] type. 1828: Mastigodes. 1845: Sclerotrichum (type).

Spironoura Leidy, 1856, Feb., 52-53. Type species? S. gracile.

1861: Spirura Diesing, 1861, for Spironoura Leidy, not Spirura E. Blanchard, 1849.

gracile Leidy, 1856, 52–53.  $\delta$   $\circ$ 

affine Leidy, 1856, 53. 3 9

Spiroptera Rudolphi, 1819a, 22–29, 235–255. Acuaria and Anthuris renamed, hence same type, Spiroptera anthuris. For discussion of this very complicated case, see p. 48.

Spiropterina van Beneden, "1858a, 270;" 1861a, 270–271. S. coronata, only species, hence type.

Spiropteru Rudolphi, 1819a, 237. Misprint for Spiroptera.

spirotheca Györy, 1856, 327-332, figs. 1-15.

1856: Oxyuris. 1857: Pseudonymus (type). .1859: Ascaris. 1861: Ptychocephalus (type). 1878: Oxyuris (Helicothrix [type]).

Spiroxis Schneider, 1866, 29. Corrected to Spiroxys Schneider, 1866, 125.

Spiroxys Schneider, 1866, 125–126. S. contorta (Rudolphi, 1819) Schneider, 1866, 125; 
=Spiroptera contorta Rudolphi, 1819a, 25, 242–243, only species, hence type. 
1866: Spiroxys Schneider, 1866, 29. Corrected to Spiroxys.

Spirura E. Blanchard, 1849a, 161-165. Type species probably S. talpw.

[Not Spirura Diesing, 1861a.]

talpæ (Gmelin, 1790a) E. Blanchard, 1849a, 162–164. & Q Host Talpa europæa. See also Spiroptera strumosa. To Filaria by Schneider, 1866.

megastoma (Rudolphi, 1819) E. Blanchard, 1849a, 164–165. 💰 🔾 Host

Equus caballus. To Filaria by Schneider, 1866.

Under ordinary circumstances it would be better to select megastoma as type, on account of its host, but Blanchard seems to have based his genus more upon talpæ than upon megastoma; on this account, his original intentions will probably be better carried out by taking talpæ as type.

Spirura Diesing, 1861a, Dec. 6, 681-682. Spironoura Leidy, 1856, renamed, hence

takes same species as type.

gracilis (Leidy, 1856) Diesing, 1861a, 681–682.

affinis (Leidy, 1856) Diesing, 1861a, 682.

splenæcum Dujardin, 1843a, 332-338, pl. 14, figs. A, 1-10.

1843: Trichosomum. 1845: Calodium.

squali Dujardin, 1845a, 272.

1845: Dacnitis.

stagnalis Dujardin, 1845a, 231, pl. 3, fig. C.

1845: Dorylaimus (probably type).

stagnalis Bastian, 1865c, 97, pl. 9, figs. 9–11. 1865: Monhystera (type). Stelmius Dujardin, 1845a, 281–282. S. præcinctus Dujardin, 1845a, 282, only species, hence type.

Stenodes Dujardin, 1845a, 244, 264-265. S. acus Dujardin, 1845a, 264-265, only species, hence type.

[Not Stenodes Guen., 1845, lepidopteron.]

stenodon Dujardin, 1845a, 234.

1845: Enoplus.

Stenolaimus Marion, 1870, 16-18. Type by original designation S. lepturus.

[Not Stenolemus Sign., 1858, hemipteron.]

lepturus Marion, 1870, 16-17, pl. C, fig. 1 (type).

macrosoma Marion, 1870, 17-18, pl. C, fig. 2.

Stenurus Dujardin, 1845a, 244, 265–267. "Stenurus inflexus (Rudolphi, 1809)," only species, hence type; = Strongylus inflexus Rudolphi, 1809, 227, includes Strongylus minor Raspail, 1829, 244, pls. 7–8. See also Pseudalius and Prosthecosacter.

[Not Stenura Dejean, 1834, coleopteron; Stenuris Kirby, 1837, coleopteron.]
Steongylus Giles, 1892d, 48. Misprint for Strongylus.

Stephanurus Diesing, 1839a, 232–233. S. dentatus Diesing, 1839a, 232–233, pl. 15, figs. 9–19, only species, hence type. See Strongulus.

stercoralis Bavay, 1876a, Oct. 9, 694-696.

1876: Anguillula. [1879: Strongyloides (type).] [1879: Strongiloides (type).] 1881: Pseudorhabditis (type).

Stomachida Pereboom, 1780, 1–24. S. vermis Pereboom, 1780, 1–24, figs. 1–4; = Ascavis lumbricoides, only species, hence type. See Ascaris.

Streptogaster Cobb, 1898a, March, 320. S. papillatus Cobb, 1898a, 320, fig. 45, i-iv. only species, hence type.

Streptostoma Leidy, 1849, Oct., 230–231. S. agile Leidy, 1849, 230–231, only species, hence type.

1853: Streptostomum Leidy, 1853, 45-46.

Galeb (1878b, 289) makes Oxyuris diesingi the type of Streptostomum.

[Not Streptotoma Guér., 1862, coleopteron.]

Streptostomum Leidy, 1853, Apr., 45-46. See Streptostoma.

striata Molin, 1858, 388-389.

1858: Filaria. 1861: Solenonema.

striata de Man, 1876, 117-119, pl. 6, fig. 15 a-d.

1876: Tylopharynx (type).

striatipunctata Marion, 1870, 35-36, pl. K, fig. 3.

1870: Acanthopharynx.

striatocaudatus de Man, 1888, 35-36, pl. 3, pl. 4, fig. 16.

1888: Syringolaimus (type).

striatus Zeder, 1800a, 83-85,

1800: Strongulus. 1861: Crenosoma (probably type).

striatus Bastian, 1865c, 125, pl. 10, figs. 107-108.

1865: Cephalobus.

striatus Bastian, 1865c, 164, pl. 13, figs. 219-220.

1865: Cuatholaimus.

Strongiloides Grassi, 1879a, 233. Type species Anguillula intestinalis = A. stercoralis. See Strongyloides.

Strongilus Rudolphi, 1801, 54. Misprint for Strongylus.

Strongylacantha van Beneden, 1873b, 13–16. S. glycirrhiza van Beneden, 1873b, 13–16, pl. 1, figs. 1–7, only species, hence type.

Strongylatus Railliet, 1900, 15. May, 87. Probably lapsus for Strongylus. Strongylatus radiatus only species mentioned.

"Strongylinæ Erichson, 1843, coleopteron."

strongyloides Diesing, 1851a, 297; =Strongylus galeatus Rudolphi, 1819a, 648, renamed; = Sclerostoma galeatum Dujardin, 1845a, 260.

[1819: Strongylus galeatus.] [1845: Sclerostoma galeatum.] 1851: Diaphanocephalus (? type).

strongyloides Schneider, 1860, 228, pl. 6, fig. 12.

1860: Pelodytes (type). 1866: Pelodera (type).

Strongyloides Grassi, 1879f, June, 497. S. intestinalis (Bavay, 1877) Grassi, 1879f, 497; =stercoralis, only species, hence type.

1879: Strongiloides Grassi, 1879e, 233.

1881: Pseudorhabditis Perroncito, 1881, 499-519. -

Strongyluris Mueller, 1894, July, 113, 116–117. S. brevicaudata Mueller, 1894, 113, 116–117, pl. 7, fig. 2, only species, hence type.

Strongylus Mueller, "1780, pl. 42, figs. 1-12;" 1784, 6-8. Type species S. equinus Mueller.

1801: Strongilus Rudolphi, 1801, 54. Misprint.

1809: Sclerostoma Rudolphi, 1809a, 35. Type Strongylus equinus Mueller.

1845: Sclerostomum Dujardin, 1845a, 3. For Sclerostoma.

1878: Sclorostomum Sonsino, 1878, 613. Misprint for Sclerostomum.

1892: Steongylus Giles, 1892d, 48. Misprint for Strongylus.

[Not Strongylus Herbst, 1792, coleopteron; Strongylus for Stroggulus Motsch, 1845, coleopteron.]

strumosa Zeder, 1800a, 64–66. See also Ascaris strumosa Frælich, 1791a, 82, and Ascaris talpæ Gmelin, 1790a.

1800: Fusaria. [1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia) gibbosa (sub).]

strumosus Rudolphi, 1802, 63-64.

1802: Echinorhynchus. 1904: Corynosoma (type).

strumosus Molin, 1861, 542.

1861: Kalicephalus.

stylosus von Linstow, 1883, 299, figs. 36–38.

1883: Gordius. 1897: Paragordius.

subcompressa Zeder, 1803a, 45.

1803: Tentacularia.

subtilis Looss, 1895, 161-169.

1895: Strongylus, 1905: Trichostrongylus.

subula Dujardin, 1845a, 73-74.

1845: Dispharagus.

subulata Eberth, 1863a, 21, pl. 2, figs. 9-10.

1863: Phanoglene. 1865: Leptosomatum. subulatum Molin, 1861, 445–446, pl. 1, figs. 3–4. See dentatus Rudolphi, 1803a.

1861: Œsophagostoma (type).

subulatus Molin, 1861, 543-544.

1861: Kalicephalus.

Subulura Molin, 1860, 332-333. S. acutissima Molin, 1860, 332-333, only species, hence type.

sygmoidea Molin, 1860, 920.

1860: Spiroptera. 1897: Oxyspirura.

Symplocostoma Bastian, 1865c, 94, 132–134. Type species S. longicollis, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

longicollis Bastian, 1865c, 133, pl. 11, figs. 119-122. ♂♀

tenuicollis (Eberth, 1863) Bastian, 1865c, 133. 3 9

viviparaBastian, 1865c, 133–134, pl. 11, figs. 123–125, as doubtful member of this genus.  ${\mathcal S}$   ${\mathbb Q}$ 

Symplocostoma Bastian—Continued.

Syngamus Siebold, 1836, 105–116. S. trachealis Siebold, 1836, 105–116, pl. 3, figs. i–ii, only species, hence type.

Synæcnema Magalhães, 1905, Jan. 15, 314–318. S. fragile Magalhães, 1905, 314–318, figs. 4, 1–4, only species, hence type.

Symonchus Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 411–413. Type S. fasciculatus, designated by Cobb in letter to Stiles, dated Dec. 15, 1903.

fasciculatus Cobb, 1894c, 411-413, figs. 10, i-vi. ♂♀

hirsutus Cobb, 1894c, 413.

[Not Synonycha Chevrolat, 1833, coleopteron.]

Symplecta Leidy, 1851, 239–240. S. pendula Leidy, 1851, 240, only species, hence type.
Syringolaimus de Man, 1888, 34–36. S. striatocaudatus de Man, 1888, 35–36, pls. 3, 4, fig. 16, only species, hence type.

Tachygonetria Wedl, 1862, 471–472. T. vivipara Wedl, 1862, 471–472, pl. 2, figs. 24–26, only species, hence type.

Tachyhodites Bastian, 1865c, 95, 155–156. Type species T. natuns, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

natans Bastian, 1865e, 155–156, pl. 13, figs. 182–184. ♂ ♀

parvus Bastian, 1865c, 156, pl. 13, figs. 185-186. Q

tænioides Diesing, 1851a, 23.

1851: Echinorhynchus. 1892: Gigantorhynchus.

tenioides Lindemann, 1865, 496.

1865: Paradoxites.

Tæniola Pallas, "1760, 52;" 1768, 289. [De Hærucula seu Tæniola osculis obscuris.]
See Hærucula.

talpæ Gmelin, 1790a, 3032. See also Schrank, 1790, 121.

1790: Ascaris. [1791: Ascaris strumosa.] [1803: Fusaria convoluta.] [1809: Ascaris strumosa.] [1819: Spiroptera strumosa.] 1849: Spirura (probably type).

Tanqua R. Blanchard, 1904, 15. May, 478. New name for Ctenocephalus von Linstow, 1904 [not Kol., 1857]. Hence type species Tanqua tiara (Linstow, 1879) Stiles & Hassall, 1905, 141.

1904: Ctenocephalus von Linstow, 1904, Feb., 12–13 of reprint [not Kol., 1857]. Type Ct. tiara.

1904: Tanqua R. Blanchard, 1904, 15. May, 478. New name for Ctenocephalus von Linstow, hence type T. tiara.

1904: Tetradenos von Linstow, 1904, Aug., 301. New name for Ctenocephalus von Linstow, 1904, hence type Ct. tiara.

tardus de Man, 1889, 8.

1889: Camacolaimus (type).

tardus de Man, 1893, 82-83, pl. 5, fig. 1.

1893: Thalassoalaimus (type).

Tentacularia Zeder, 1800a, 5; = Hamularia Treutler renamed, hence type T. sub-compressa, 1803; = H. lymphatica.

[Not Tentacularia Bosc, 1797, worm.]

tentaculata Rudolphi, 1819a, 658.

1819: Ascaris. 1866: Oxysoma.

tentaculatus Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a.

1828: Crossophorus.

tenue Dujardin, 1845a, 28-29. [Includes Trichosoma columbæ Rudolphi, 1819a.] 1845: Calodium.

tenue Marion, 1870, 21, pl. E, fig. 2.

1870: Eurystoma.

tenuicaudata Bastian, 1865c, 160-161, pl. 13, figs. 207-209. 1865: Spira.

tenuicollis Rudolphi, 1819a, 30, 258-259.

1819: Physaloptera.

tenuicollis Eberth, 1863a, 41-42, pl. 4, fig. 16; pl. 5, figs. 1-2. 1863; Enoplus. 1865; Symplocostoma.

tenuis Dujardin, 1845a, 24-25.

1845: Eucoleus.

tenuis Dujardin, 1845a, 73.

1845: Dispharagus.

tenuis Bastian, 1865c, 119, pl. 10, figs. 83-84. 1865: Plectus.

tenuis von Linstow, 1876, 5-6, pl. 1, figs. 7-9.

1876: Acanthophorus (? type). tenuis Cobb, 1894c, 420-421, figs. 14, i-iv.

1894: Fimbria (type), 1905: Fimbrilla (type).

Teratocephalus de Man, 1876, 137-139. T. terrestris (Buetschli, 1873) de Man, 1876, 138-139, pl. 7, fig. 25, only species, hence type.

terdentatum von Linstow, 1898, 470-471, pl. 35, figs. 12-14.

1898: Amblyonema (type).

teres Schneider, 1866, 153, pl. 10, fig. 8.

1866: Pelodera.

terrestris Linnæus, 1758a, 647-648. 1758: Lumbricus (type).

terrestris Buetschli, 1873a, 69, pl. 7, fig. 43.

1873: Anguillula. 1876: Teratocephalus (type).

terrestris de Man, 1880, 60-61.

1880: Rhabdolaimus (type).

terricola Dujardin, 1845a, 240-241.

1845: Rhabditis (type). 1851: Angiostomum.

terricola Bastian, 1865c, 127-128, pl. 10, figs. 115-116. 1865: Tylenchus.

Terschellingia de Man, 1888, 11-12. T. communis de Man, 1888, 12, pl. 1, fig. 7, only species, hence type.

tetracanthus Mehlis, 1831, 79.

1831: Strongylus. 1861: Cyathostomum (type). 1902: Cylichnostomum (type).

Tetracheilonema Diesing, 1861a, Dec. 6, 621, 711. T. quadrilabiatum (Molin, 1858) Diesing, 1861a, 711, only species, hence type.

Tetradenos Linstow, 1904, Aug., 301. Ctenocephalus Linstow, 1904, renamed. See Tanqua.

Tetrameres Creplin, 1846a, 130, 135, 142; = Tropisurus Diesing renamed, hence type species Tropisurus paradoxus Diesing, 1835.

[Not Tetrameres Schaufuss, 1877, coleopteron.]

Thalassironus de Man, 1889, 4-5. T. britannicus de Man, 1889, 4-5, only species, hence type.

Thalassoalaimus de Man, 1893, 81-83. T. tardus de Man, 1893, 82-83, pl. 5, fig. 1, only species, hence type.

Thelandros Wedl, 1862, 470-471. T. alatus Wedl, 1862, 470-471, pl. 2, figs. 20-22, only species, hence type.

Thelastoma Leidy, 1849, 231. T. attenuatum Leidy, 1849, 231, only species, hence type. See also Aorurus.

1853: Thelastomum Leidy, 1853, 46. For Thelastoma.

Thelastomum Leidy, 1853, Apr., 46. For Thelastoma Leidy, 1849.

Thelazia Bosc, 1819, 214–215. La Thélazie de Rhodes Bosc, 1819, 214–215, figs. 1–2 (from cattle); = Thelazius rhodesii Desmarets, 1828a, 79–81, only species, hence type. See also Filaria lacrymalis Gurlt, 1831.

1828: Thelazius Desmarets, 1828a, 79.

Thelazius Bosc, 1819, 498-499; = Thelazia Bosc, 1819.

rhodesii Desmarets, 1828a, 79-81.

Theristus Bastian, 1865c, 95, 156-157. Type by elimination T. acer.

acer Bastian, 1865c, 156–157, pl. 13, figs. 187–188. & (Type.)

velox Bastian, 1865c, 157, pl. 13, figs. 189–191. Q [To Monohystera (Penzancia [type]) by de Man, 1889, 7.]

Thominx Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 22–23. T. manica Dujardin, 1845a, 22–23, only positive species, hence type.

manica Dujardin, 1845a, 22-23.

tridens Dujardin, 1845a, 23. & Given as doubtful.

Thoracostoma Marion, 1870, 25-30. Type species probably T. echinodon.

echinodon Marion, 1870, 26, pl. H, figs. 1-1k. ["de beaucoup la plus commune."] 3 Q

dorylaimus Marion, 1870, 27, pl. H, fig. 2. ["assez rare."] ♂♀

montredonense Marion, 1870, 27-29, pl. I, figs. 1-1f. 3 Q

zolæ Marion, 1870, 29-30, pl. I, figs. 2-2e. ♂♀

tiara Linstow, 1879, 320, pl. 5, fig. 1.

1879: Ascaris. 1904: Ctenocephalus (type). 1904: Tanqua (type). 1904: Tetradenos (type).

tolosanus Dujardin, 1842a, 118; 1842e, 146-149.

1842: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes (type).

trachealis Siebold, 1836, 105-116, pl. 3, figs. i-ii.

1836: Syngamus (type).

Trefusiade Man, 1893, 84–86.  $T.\ longicauda$ de Man, 1893, 85–86, pl. 5, fig. 3, only species, hence type.

triacanthus Diesing, 1853a, 35.

1853: Cephalacanthus.

Tribactis Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653, renamed Rhabditis Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 239–246, 653. Hence same type species.

[Not Tribacis Billb., 1820, lepidopteron.]

Tricheilonema Diesing, 1861a, Dec. 6, 710-711. T. megalochila (Diesing, 1851) Diesing, 1861a, 711; = Schizocheilonema Diesing, 1861a, renamed, only species, hence type.

Tricheilostomi Diesing, 1851a, 264, 278–279. Subsection of Cheilostomi of Filaria.

Only species Filaria megalochila. See Tricheilonema.

Trichina Owen, 1835, 315–324. T. spiralis Owen, 1835, 315–324, pl. 41, figs. 1–9, only species, hence type. See Trichinella Railliet.

[Not Trichina Meig., 1830, dipteron; Trichina Kirby, 1837, coleopteron; Trichinia Bisch., 18—, worm; Trychina Klug., coleopteron for Trychine Klug., coleopteron.]

Trichinella Railliet, 1895, 1303; = Trichina Owen renamed, hence type species Trichinella spiralis.

1835: Trichina Owen, 1835, 315–324. [Not Trichina Meig., 1830.] 1881: Trichinas Fraser, 1881a, 12 pp., 2 pls. For Trichina.

Trichinus Fraser, 1881a, 12 pp., 2 pls. For Trichina.

trichiura Linnæus, 1767, 543; 1771, 543.

[1761: Trichuris (type).] 1767: Ascaris. [1782: type of Trichocephalos Gozze, 1782a, by inclusion.] [1790: type of Trichocephalus Gmelin, 1790a, by inclusion.] [1800: type of Mastigodes Zeder, 1800a, by inclusion.]

Trichnia Tyson, 1903, 1191. Misprint for Trichina, 1835.

trichocephala Schrank, "1796, 232." [Not accessible to us.]

1796: Linguatula. [1803: Capillaria tumida (type).] [1809: Trichocephalus capillaria sub.] [1819: Trichosoma brevicolle sub (type).]

Trichocephalis Gœze, 1782a, 119. See Trichocephalos.

Trichocephalos Goze, 1782a, 40, 112–123; = Trichuris renamed, hence type Trichuris trichiura.

Trichocephalus Schrank, 1788, 4–5; Gmelin, 1790a, 3024, 3038–3039. For Trichocephalus Goze, 1782a, hence type species Trichuris trichiura.

Trichoderma Greef, 1869a, 115–117. T. oxycandata Greef, 1869a, 115–117, pl. 6, figs. 9–10, only species, hence type.

[Not Trichoderma Steph., 1835, coleopteron; Swains., 1839, fish; Nonfried, 1894, insect.]

Trichodes von Linstow, 1874, 271–286. Trichosoma crassicauda (Bellingham, 1845) von Linstow, 1874, 271–286, pl. 8, figs. 1–6, only species, hence type. See Trichosomoides.

[Not Trichodes Herbst, 1792, coleopteron; Trichodes Felder, 1874, lepidopteron; Trichode Huebner, 1806, lepidopteron.]

Trichonema Cobbold, 1874h, Feb., 85–87. T. arcuata Cobbold, 1874h, 85–87, figs. a–g, only species, hence type.

[Not Trichonema Fromentel, 1875, protozoon; Trichocnemus Stål, 1873, hemipteron.]

Trichosoma Rudolphi, 1819a, 13–16, 219–223; = Capillaria Zeder, 1800a, renamed, hence type T. brericolle equals Capillaria anatis (Schrank, 1790).

1839: Trichosomum Creplin, 1839a, 278.

[Not Trichosoma Boisd., 1834, lepidopteron; Trichosoma Swains., 1839, fish; Trichosomus Swains., 1839, fish; Trichosomus Chevrolat, 1881?, coleopteron (see Scudder, 1884, 341).]

Rudolphi deliberately renamed Capillaria and included both of Zeder's originals among his original species, namely, (1) Trichosoma brevicolle Rudolphi, 1819; = Trichocephalus capillaris Rudolphi, 1809, which included Capillaria tumida Zeder, 1803a, Linguatula trichocephala Schrank, 1797, 232, and Trichocephalus anatis Schrank, 1790; (2) Trichosoma longicolle Rudolphi, 1819a; = Capillaria semiteres, 1803. This latter species Rudolphi (1809a, 84) namel Hamularia nodulosa, including as synonyms Capillaria semiteres Zeder, 1803a, Linguatula umilinguis Schrank, 1796, 231, Filaria gallinæ Gmelin, 1790a, 3040, and "Gordius gallinæ Gœze," 1782, of Rudolphi, 1809a.

Trichosomoides Railliet, 1895, 1302; = Trichodes renamed. Type species Trichosomoides crassicauda (Bellingham, 1845).

Trichosomum Creplin, 1839a, 278; = Trichosoma Rudolphi, renamed, hence type species Capillaria anatis.

Trichostrongylus Looss, 1905, 413–417. Type species T. retortxformis (Zeder, 1800).
 retortxformis (Zeder, 1800) Looss, 1905, 413, 417–418, pl. 1, figs. 1–3.
 subtilis (Looss, 1895) Looss, 1905, 418–419, pl. 1, figs. 4–6, 8; pl. 2, fig. 7.

probolurus (Railliet, 1896) Looss, 1905, 419–421, pl. 2, figs. 9–11.vitrinus Looss, 1905, 421, pl. 2, figs. 12–14.

instabilis (Railliet, 1893) Looss, 1905, 422.

trichuira Werner, 1782, 84. Misprint for trichiura.

Trichuris Ræderer & Wagler, 1761, 10. Oct., 243; 1762, 41–42, 185–189, 193, pl. 3, figs. 4, a-b. T. trichiura, only species, hence type. Apparently no specific name was used by Ræderer & Wagler. Also type by virtual tautonymy.

1782: Trichocephalos Gœze, 1782a; = Trichuris renamed, hence type species is Trichuris trichiura.

1790: Trichocephalus Gmelin, 1790a. For Trichocephalos.

1800: Mastigodes Zeder, 1800a; = Trichuris renamed, hence type species Trichuris trichiura.

1801: Tricocephalus Lamarck, 1801, 338. For Trichocephalus. Type T. hominis = Trichuris trichiura.

[Not Trichurus Wagner, 1843; for Trichosurus Lesson, 1828, manmal; Trichura Huebn., 1816, lepidopteron; Trichiurus Linnæus, 1758, fish; Trichiura Steph., 1829, lepidopteron.]

triciti = tritici, misspelled. See Bastian, 1865c, 126.

Tricocephalus Lamarck, 1801, 338. For Trichocephalus. T. hominis is the only species mentioned.

tricolor Dujardin, 1845a, 290-291.

1845: Hystrichis (type).

Tricoma Cobb, 1894c, Apr. 13, 389-391. T. cincta Cobb, 1894c, 390-391, figs. 2-3, only species, hence type.

[Not Tricomia Walk., 1865, lepidopteron.]

Tricontus Dujardin, 1845a, 3, 653. Changed to Enoplus Dujardin, 1845a, 230, 233—235, 653. Type species? Enoplus tridentatus. See Enoplus.

tricuspidata Dufour, 1828d, 223-224, pl. 12 C, fig. 1.

1828: Filaria. 1897: Paragordius.

tricuspis Leuckart, 1865, 227.

1865: Ollulanus (type).

tridens Dujardin, 1845a, 23.

1845: Thominx.

tridentatus Dujardin, 1845a, 233-234.

1845: Enoplus (? type). [1845: Tricontus (? type).]

trigonocephalus Rudolphi, 1809a, 231-232.

1809: Strongylus. 1845: Dochmius. [1861: Monodontus.] 1886: Uncinaria. [?]: Ankylostoma. [1902: Bunostomum (type).]

Trilobus Bastian, 1865c, 93, 99–100. Type species T. gracilis, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

gracilis Bastian, 1865c, 99, pl. 9, figs. 20-22. ♂♀

pellucidus Bastian, 1865c, 100, pl. 9, figs. 23-24.

longus (Leidy, 1851) Bastian, 1865c, 100. [Not *Trilobus* Bruenn., 1781, crustacean.]

Triodontophorus Looss, 1902, 13. May, 37, 78-86; = Triodontus Looss, 1900 [not Westwood, 1845], renamed. Type species Triodontophorus serratus, designated as type in a personal letter from Looss to Stiles, dated Oct. 3, 1903.
minor (Looss, 1900) Looss, 1902, 82-83, pl. 3, figs. 23-30.

minor (Looss, 1900) Looss, 1902, 82–83, pl. 3, figs. 23–30. 3 Serratus (Looss, 1900) Looss, 1902, 83–84, pl. 3, figs. 31–38.

Triodontoporus Gedælst, 1903a, 56, 93. For Triodontophorus, 1902.

Triodontus Looss, 1900, 12. Feb., 153, 190–191. Type species by present designation T. serratus. See also Triodontophorus.

[Not Triodontus Westwood, 1845, coleopteron; Triodon Cuvier, 1829, fish; Ameghino, 1875, mammal.]

6328-No. 79-05-10

Triodontus Looss-Continued.

minor Looss, 1900, 190-191. ♂♀

serrutus Looss, 1900, 191. 8 9

robustus (Giles, 1892) Looss, 1900, 190. Type of Esophagodontus, 1902.

Tripula Bastian, 1865c, 93, 178. Changed to Tripyla.

 $\label{eq:Tripyla} Tripyla \mbox{ Bastian, 1865c, 93, 115–116; } = Tripyla \mbox{ renamed.} \mbox{ Type species } T. \mbox{ glomerans, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.,}$ 

1865: Tripula Bastian, 1865c, 93, 178.

[Not Tripylus Phil., 1845, echinoderm.]

glomerans Bastian, 1865c, 115-116, pl. 9, figs. 16-17.

salsa Bastian, 1865c, 116, pl. 9, figs. 18-19. Q

Tripyloides de Man, 1886, 60–66. Type species T. vulgaris, designated in letter by de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903.

vulgaris de Man, 1886, 61-66, pl. 11, figs. 1-11.

[marina Buetschli, 1874] de Man, 1886, 60, 66.

tritici Steinbuch, 1799, 251; or Bauer, 1823, 1–16, pl. 1, figs. 1–23; pl. 2, figs. 1–2. [Both authors quote Roffredi.]

1799; Vibrio. 1823; Vibrio. [1838; Anguillula.] 1845; Rhabditis. [1850; Anguillula.] 1859; Anguillulina (probably type). 1865; Tylenchus. 1893; Anguillulina (probably type).

tritici Bastian, 1865c, 120, pl. 10, figs. 91-92.

1865: Plectus.

Tropidocerca Diesing, 1851a, 80, 207. T. paradoxa (Diesing, 1835) Diesing, 1851a, only species, hence type; includes Tropisurus paradoxus Diesing, 1835a, Tetrameres humochrous Creplin, 1846a, and Spiroptera inflata Mehlis. See also Acanthophorus.

Tropidurus Wiegmann, 1835, 338, for Tropisurus Diesing, 1835.

[Not Tropidurus Neuwied, 1824, reptile.]

Tropisurus Diesing, 1835a, 83, 93–105. T. paradoxus Diesing, 1835a, 94–105, only species, hence type.

1835: Tropidurus Wiegmann, 1835 [not Neuwied, 1824, reptile].

1846: Tetrameres Creplin, 1846a [not Schaufuss, 1877, coleopteron].

1851: Tropidocerca Diesing, 1851a.

[Not Tropidurus v. Neuwied, 1824, lizard.]

truncata Rudolphi, 1793, 12.

1793: Ascaris. [1801: Liorhynchus (probably type).] 1802: Liorhynchus.

truncata Zeder, 1803a, 105-106 [not Rudolphi, 1793].

1803: Fusaria. 1809: Ascaris. 1845: Ascaridia (? type).

truncata Creplin, 1825a, 12-14.

1825: Spiroptera. 1845: Dispharagus.

truncata Plieninger, 1852, 255,

1852: Filaria.

truncatus Lamarck, 1801, 340.

1801: Crino (type).

truncatus Bastian, 1865c, 101, pl. 9, figs. 25-26.

1865: Mononchus (type).

truttæ Fabricius, 1794, 30-33, pl. 3, figs. 9-12.

1794: Cucullanus. [1845: Dacnitis globosa sub.]

tuberculatus Eberth, 1863a, 38-39, pl. 4, figs. 1-5.

1863: Enoplus. 1865: Phanoderma.

tubifera Fabricius, 1780a, 273. Includes Ascaris urksuk Mueller.

1780: Ascaris. 1790: Echinorhynchus. 1791: Proboscidea. [1801: Liorhynchus.] tumida Zeder, 1803a, 61.

1803: Capillaria.

tunbridgensis Bastian, 1865c, 102, pl. 9, figs. 31-32.

1865: Mononchus.

tunicatus Diesing, 1839a, 230–232, pl. 15, figs. 1–8; = neterolobus Diesing, 1838a, 189, renamed.

1839: Heterocheilus (type).

Tylelenchus Bastian, 1865c, 94. Changed to Tylenchus Bastian, 1865c, 125-128, 178.

Tylencholæmus. Can not trace (see Scudder, 1884, 330). For Tylencholaimus.

Tylencholaimus de Man, 1876, 119–123. Type species T. mirabilis, designated in letter from de Man to Stiles, dated Nov. 30, 1903.

mirabilis (Buetschli, 1873) de Man, 1876, 120.

minimus de Man, 1876, 120–122, pl. 6, figs. 16, a–b.  $\,$   $\,$   $\,$ 

zeelandicus de Man, 1876, 122-123, pl. 6, figs. 17, a-b. ♀

Tylenchus Bastian, 1865c, 125–128; = Tylelenchus renamed. Type species T. davainii, designated by Bastian in letter to Stiles, dated March 22, 1904.

davainii Bastian, 1865c, 126, pl. 10, figs. 109-111. ♂ ♀

terricola Bastian, 1865e, 127–128, pl. 10, figs. 115–116. ♀

obtusus Bastian, 1865c, 128, pl. 10, figs. 117-118. ♂♀

dipsaci (Kuhn, 1857) Bastian, 1865c, 128.

agrostidis Bastian, 1865c, 128. Includes Vibrio graminis Steinbuch, Anguillula gramineorum Diesing partim.

Tylolaimophorus de Man, 1880, 63-64. T. typicus de Man, 1880, 64, only species, hence type.
 Tylopharyns de Man, 1876, 116-119. T. striata de Man, 1876, 117-119, pl. 6, figs. 15,

a-d, only species, hence type.

typica Diesing, 1861a, 644; = allodapa renamed.

[1853: Oxyuris allodapa.] 1861: Allodapa (type).

typicus Diesing, 1861a, 669.

1861: Conocephalus (type). 1883: Peritrachelius. 1894: Ascaris (Peritrachelius). typicus de Man, 1880, 64.

1880: Tulolaimophorus (type).

tupicus Cobb, 1891c, 157-158, figs. 9, i-iv.

1891: Dipeltis (type). 1905: Diplopeltis (type).

Unciaria Fischer, 1799a, 99. Apparently a misprint for Uncinaria.

Uncinaria Frœlich, 1789a, 130-139. Type species Uncinaria vulpis Frœlich, 1789a.

1799: Unciaria Fischer, 1799a, 99. Apparently misprint for Uncinaria.

1845: Dochmius Dujardin, 1845a, 267, 276-279; = Uncinaria renamed; hence type species Uncinaria vulpis.

1902: Unicinaria von Linstow, 1902. Misprint for Uncinaria.

1903: Uncinnaria Schmaltz, 1903. Misprint for Uncinaria.

[Not Uncinaria Vest., 1867, mollusk.]

uncinatus Molin, 1858, 154.

1858: Echinocephalus (type).

uncinipenis Molin, 1860, 928-929.

1860: Spiroptera. 1861: Cheilospirura.

Uncinnaria Schmaltz, 1903, 15. Jan., 42. Misprint for Uncinaria.

Unicinaria von Linstow, 1902, 16. Dec. (Zool. Centralbl., Leipz., v. 9 (24–25), 778).
Misprint for Uncinaria.

"unilinguis Schrank, 1797, 231, n. 2." [Not accessible to us.]

1797: Linguatula. [1809: Hamularia nodulosa.] [1819: Trichosoma longicolle.]

Uracanthus Diesing, 1861a, Dec. 6, 728. U. brevispinosus Diesing, 1861, only species, hence type.

[Not Uracantha Hope, ante 1846 [see Agassiz, 1842–46], coleopteron; Uracanthus Fitzinger, 1865, bird.]

Urolubes Carter, 1858a, June, 414. U. palustris Carter, 1858a, 414, only species, hence type.

ustilago Linnæus, 1767, 1326.

1767: Chaos.

vacilans Leidy, 1856, 50.

1856: Nema (type).

vacillatum Leidy, 1855, 144.

1855: Pontonema (? type).

varius Leidy, 1851, 263.

1851: Gordius. 1897: Paragordius (type).

vejdovskyi Janda, 1895a, 3–4, pl. 6, figs. 1–4.

1895: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

veligera Rudolphi, 1819a, 656.

1819: Ascaris. 1866: Dermatoxys (type).

velox Bastian, 1865c, 119, pl. 10, figs. 85-86.

1865: Plectus.

velox Bastian, 1865c, 157, pl. 13, figs. 189-191.

1865: Theristus. 1889: Monohystera (Penzancia (type)).

Vena Gallandat, 1773a, 103-116. "Qui Draeunculus dicitur sive Vena Medinensis."
See Draeunculus.

vermicularis Linnæus, 1758a, 648.

1758; Ascaris. 1803; Fusaria. 1819; Oxyuris. 1905; Oxyurias (type).

vermis Pereboom, 1780, 1-24, figs. 1-4.

1780: Stomachida (type). See Ascaris.

resicularis Fredich, 1791a, 85–88, pl. 3, figs. 12–14, emend. Creplin. See papillosa Bloch, 1782a.

1791: Ascaris. 1845: Heterakis (type).

vesicularis Rudolphi, 1809a, 129, in part. See also Heterakis vesicularis and Ascaris vesicularis Fredich, 1791a.

1809: Ascaris. [1845: Ascaris (Ascaridia) inflexa sub.]

vesiculosa Schneider, 1866, 109, 1 fig.

1866: Ceratospira (type).

Vibrio Mueller, 1773, 39-49. Type species very doubtful.

lineola Mueller, 1773, 39. To Melanella atoma by Bory, 1824; to Vibrio by Ehrenberg, 1830a, 61, 66, 69, 70; 1831, 69, 70; 1838a, 79.

bacillus Mueller, 1773, 40. To *Enchelys* by Oken, 1815, 36; to *Vibrio* by Bory, 1824a; Ehrenberg, 1830a, 1831, 1838a.

anguillula Mueller, 1773, 41; =Anguillula glutinis (Mueller, 1783) Mueller, 1786, 64 (type of Anguillula).

serpentulus Mueller, 1773, 42. To Amblyura by Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828a (? type).

\*\*Corporation Wineller\*, 1773, 42–43. To Bursaria intestinalis by Ehrenberg, 1835a, 164; 1838a, 82, 327.

undula Mueller, 1773, 43-44. To Spirillum by Ehrenberg, 1830a, 38; 1831, 68; 1838a, 84.

intestinum Mueller, 1773, 44. To Enchelys? by Ehrenberg, 1838a, 82.

proteus Mueller, 1773, 45, or (Pallas, 1766). Includes Proteus Baker, 1752, see Chaos chaos, p. 38; and Brachionus cf. Pallas. To Amaba (? 1878). Possibly type of Vibrio, by inclusion.

falx Mueller, 1773, 46. To Trachelius Ehrenberg, 1838a, 82, 323.

anser Mueller, 1773, 46-47. To Amiba by Bory, 1822a; to Amphileptus Ehrenberg, 1830a, 43; 1831, 116; 1833; 1835a; 1838a.

cygnus Mueller, 1773, 47. To Trachelius, 1803, 56; to Amiba by Bory, 1822a; to Amphileptus anser by Ehrenberg, 1830a, or 1838a, 82.

malleus Mueller, 1773, 47-48. To Cercaria by Ehrenberg, 1838a, 82.

Vibrio Mueller-Continued.

utriculus Mueller, 1773, 48. ? To Trachelius by Schrank, 1803; Ehrenberg, 1838a, 82, 323.

fasciola Mueller, 1773, 48-49. To Paramecium by Mueller, 1776, 280; to Trachelius by Ehrenberg, 1830a, 54, 56, 78; 1831, 116; 1835a, 164; 1838a, 356. columbus Mueller, 1773, 49. To Amphileptus by Ehrenberg, 1838a, 82.

This case is so complicated that we have no desire to suggest a ruling upon it at present. So far as we have followed it, however, the nematodes seem to be eliminated from any further necessity of consideration as type of *Vibrio*. Our catalogue does not as yet contain full cross references for this genus, but possibly *proteus* is type by inclusion.

villosus Bastian, 1865c, 123, pl. 10, figs. 99-101,

1865: Aphelenchus.

violaceus Baird, 1853a, 36-37.

1853: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

viperæ Rudolphi, 1819a, 37.

1819: Strongylus. 1851: Diaphanocephalus.

Viscosia de Man, 1890, 184–189. Subgenus of Oncholaimus. Type species by virtual tautonymy O. (Viscosia) viscosus; also by subsequent designation by de Man. Oncholaimus (Viscosia) viscosus Bastian, 1865c, 136, pl. 11, figs. 131–133. ♂ ♀ Oncholaimus (Viscosia) langrunensis de Man, 1890, 186–188, pl. 4, fig. 8. ♂ ♀ Oncholaimus (Viscosia) glaber Bastian, 1865c, 136, pl. 11, figs. 129–130. ♂ ♀ viscosus Bastian, 1865c, 136, pl. 11, figs. 131–133.

1865: Oncholaimus. 1890: Oncholaimus (Viscosia [type]).

vitiensis Gilson, 1898a, 335-369, 1 pl., figs. 1-23,

1898: Carnoya (type).

vitrea Hammerschmidt, 1838a, 358, pl. 4, figs. a-b.

1838: Filarina (type).

vitrinus Looss, 1905, 421, pl. 2, figs. 12-14.

1905: Trichostrongylus.

viripara Wedl, 1862, 471–472, pl. 2, figs. 24–26. 1862: Tachygonetria (type).

viripara Bastian, 1865c, 133–134, pl. 11, figs. 123–125.

1865: Symplocostoma. 1874: Anoplostoma (type).

viviparus von Linstow, 1899, 12–13, pl. 2, figs. 22–24, 26–27; pl. 4, fig. 41. 1899: Pterocephalus (type).

vryburgi Railliet, 1902, 107-108.

1902: Agriostomum (type).

rulgaris Mérat, 1821, 225; lumbricoides, 1758, renamed.

[1758: Ascaris (type).] 1821: Lombricoides (type).

vulgaris Bastian, 1865c, 158-159, pl. 13, figs. 195-197.

1865: Comesoma (type).

rulgaris Bastian, 1865c, 167-168, pl. 13, figs. 233-235.

1865: Chromadora (type). 1886: Euchromadora (type).

vulgaris de Man, 1886, 61–66, pl. 11, figs. 1–11.

1886: Tripyloides (type).

vulgaris de Man, 1893, 119-122, pl. 7, fig. 13.

1893: Enoplolaimus (type).

rulgaris Cobb, 1898d, Dec. 9, 406-407.

1898: Graphonema (type).

rulpis Freelich, 1789a, 137-139, pl. 4, figs. 18-19.

1789: Uncinaria (type). [1845: Dochmius (type).]

wedlii Molin, 1861, 467-469. [See Strongylus cernuus and Strongylus trigonocephalus.] 1861: Monodontus. [1902: Bunostomum (type).] weismanni zur Strassen, 1904, 302-346, figs. b, c, e, h, pl. 15, figs. 1-4; pl. 16, figs. 6-9.
1904: Anthraconema (type).

wolterstorffii Camerano, 1888e, Apr. 6, 6.

1888: Gordius. 1897: Parachordodes.

Xyo Cobb, 1898a, Mar., 315. X. histrix Cobb, 1898a, p. 315, fig. 37, only species, hence type.

zeelandicus de Man, 1876, 122-123, pl. 6, figs. 17, a-b.

1876: Tylencholaimus.

zeelandicus de Man, 1880, 14-15.

1880: Desmolaimus (type).

zolæ Marion, 1870, 29-30, pl. I, fig. 2.

1870: Thoracostoma.

Zoniolaimus Cobb, 1898a, Mar., 312. Type species Z. setifera, designated by Cobb in letter to Stiles, dated Dec. 15, 1903.

setifera Cobb, 1898a, Mar., 312, figs. 30, i-v. 3 9

brevicaudatus Cobb, 1898a, Apr., 440-441, figs. 102-103. ♂♀

## ADDENDA.

Cacullanus Rafinesque, 1815, 151, misprint for Cucullanus.

Crinola Rafinesque, 1815, 151, new name for Crino Lam., hence same type.

Dacnites van Beneden, 1858a; 1861a, 271; = Dacnitis Duj.

Dyctophymus Rafinesque, 1815, 151, new name for Dioctophyme, hence same type: Echiramphus Rafinesque, 1815, 151, new name for Echinorinchus Mueller, hence same type.

Harucula Rafinesque, 1815, 151, new name for "Haruca L.," hence same type.

Heteroura Siebeld, 1836, 116; Hedruris Nitzsch renamed, hence type androphora.

Loa Stiles, MS. (new subgenus). Type Filaria loa Guyot. 1778.

Oxyurias Stiles, MS. (new subgenus). Type Oxyuris vermicularis (Linnæus, 1758). Rhabdias Stiles & Hassall, 1905, 123, 150, type R. bufonis (Schrank, 1788), equals Ascaris nigrovenosa.

Spherurus Rafinesque, 1815, 151, nomen nudum; new genus of Scolexia to contain species of Ascaris; but these are not mentioned.

Toxocara Stiles, MS. (new genus). Type Lumbricus canis Werner, 1782.

Trichostrongulus Looss, 1905 (retortæformis); add to table of genera, p. 31.